# Study of the subfamily Ophioninae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) in southern Iran

#### A. Amiri<sup>1</sup>, A. A. Talebi<sup>1\*</sup>, R. Jussila<sup>2</sup>, E. Rakhshani<sup>3</sup> and H. Hajiqanbar<sup>1</sup>

1. Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran, 2. Zoological Museum, Section of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences, University of Turku, Turku, Finland, 3. Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, University of Zabol, Zabol, Iran.
\*Corresponding author. E-mail: talebia@modares.ac.ir

#### Abstract

A survey was conducted to study the fauna of the subfamily Ophioninae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) in southern Iranian provinces of Fars and Hormozgan. The specimens were collected using Malaise traps, light traps and sweep nets from a variety different habitats between 2011 and 2013. A total of twelve species of three genera (*Enicospilus* Stephens; *Ophion* Fabricius and *Eremotylus* Forster) were identified, of which the five species *Enicospilus flavocephalus* (Kirby), *E. merdarius* (Holmgren), *E. monostigmata* (Vollenhoven), *E. ramidulus* (L.) and *Eremotylus sibiricus* Szépligeti are newly recorded from Iran. This work raise the number of ophionines of Iran to 26 species and four genera. A key to the Iranian genera and species of Ophioninae is provided. **Key words:** Fars, Hormozgan, *Enicospilus, Ophion*, identification key, new record

# چکیدہ

مطالعه زيرخانواده (Ophioninae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) در جنوب ايران

عباس امیری، علیاصغر طالبی، رحیو جوسیلا، احسان رخشانی و حمیدرضا حاجی قنبر

تحقیق حاضر جهت تعیین گونههای زیرخانواده (Ophioninae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae در بعضی قسمتهای جنوبی ایران (استانهای فارس و هرمزگان) انجام شد. نمونهها طی سالهای ۱۳۹۱–۱۳۹۲ با استفاده از تلههای مالیز، نوری و تور حشرهگیری در زیستگاههای مختلف جمعآوری شدند. دوازده گونه متعلق به سه جنس (Isas) Stephens, 1835 *Cphion E. Enicospilus* Stephens, 1835 و تقاری و تور Fabricus و Fabricos (Kirby) شناسایی شدند که از بین آنها پنج گونه (Kirby) و Termotylus Stephens (Kirby) و Termotylus sibiricus Szépliget و *E. ramidulus* (L.) *E. monostigmata* (Vollenhoven) *merdarius* (Holmgren) برای فون ایران جدید هستند. این تحقیق تعداد اعضای زیرخانواده میگردد.

واژگان كليدى: فارس، هرمزگان، Ophion ،Enicospilus، كليد شناسايي، گزارش جديد

#### Introduction

The subfamily Ophioninae is a moderately large and cosmopolitan group comprising 32 genera and over 1000 described species worldwide (Yu et al., 2012). The females can deliver a mild sting, and males display the same behavioral characters referred to as pseudo stinging, which is a form of behavioral mimicry (Quicke, 2015). Some species of this subfamily play an important role in the biological control of Lepidoptera including the species Ophion flavidus Brullé, 1846 that has parasitized up to 79% of Spodoptera frugiperda (Smith, 1797) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) under experimental conditions (Rohlf & Mack, 1985). Several Enicospilus species are parasitoids of some of agricultural pests (Gauld, 1995); however most species appear to have a wider host range (Gauld, 1984). Ophioninaeare mainly known assolitary koinobiont endoparasitoids on the immature stages of Lepidoptera including Arctidae, Lymantridae, Geometridae. Lasiocampidae, Noctuidae, Saturniidae and Sphingidae

(Townes, 1971). Despite their abundance, very little information is available on the biology of Ophioninae. The majority of hosts are exophagous and consequently these wasps have rather short ovipositors (Townes, 1971).

Species of Ophioninae can be morphologically distinguished from other subfamilies of Ichneumonidae by fore wing vein 2m-cu proximal to rs-m cross vein and the lower section of the fore wing with a distinct adventitious vein paralleling the wing margin (Gauld, 1985). Taxonomy of Ophioninae has been studied by Shestakov (1926), Gauld (1973, 1977, 1979, 1988) and Gauld & Mitchell (1978, 1981). A phylogeny of the genera was proposed by Gauld (1985), who divided Enicospilus genus-group into five subgroups Orientospilus, Ophiogastrella, Stauropoctonus, Leptophion and Enicospilus. Recently, in Iran, some efforts has been made to deepen the understanding of the domestic fauna of Ichneumonidae subfamilies (Mohammadi-Khoramabadi & Talebi 2013; Mohammadi-Khoramabadi et al., 2013 a,

b), including Ophioninae (Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005; Masnadi *et al.*, 2010; Barahoei *et al.*, 2012; Mohammadi-Khoramabadi *et al.*, 2016).

In this study, we report five species of the subfamily Ophioninae for the first timefrom southern Iran. We also provide a key to Iranian Ophioninae and diagnostic characters, photographs and distributional data for the newly recorded species.

## Material and methods

The sampling was conducted using Malaise traps, sweeping nets and light traps at different locations in Fars and Hormozgan provinces from February 2011 through August 2013. These areas include different ecosystems such as forests, rangelands, deserts, mangroves (*Avicennia marina*) and fruit orchards. The captured specimens were treated with a mixture of ethanol (60%) /Xylene (40%) for two days, followed by Amyl acetate for two days (AXA method, van Achterberg, 2009) and finally placed on the filter paper to dry. The dried specimens were then card

### Table 1. Updated list of Ophioninae of Iran.

mounted and labeled. Morphological terminology follows Townes (1969) and Yoder *et al.* (2010). Microsculpture terminology follows Eady (1968). The keys by Morley (1912), Viktorov (1957), Townes (1971), Kasparyan (1981), Horstmann (1981) and Brock (1982) were used for identification of the specimens. Illustrations were made by using an Olympus<sup>TM</sup> SZX9 stereomicroscope equipped with a Sony<sup>TM</sup> digital camera. A series of 7–10 captured images were merged into a single in-focus image using the image-stacking software Zerene Stacker *version 1.04*. All specimens are deposited in the Collection of Department of Entomology, Tarbiat Modares University (TMUC), Tehran, Iran.

## Results

In present study, 11speciesof three genera (*Enicospilus*, *Eremotylus* and *Ophion* of Ophioninae were collected and identified, of which five species are new for the fauna of Iran. A key is provided to the genera and species of Iranian Ophioninae (Table 1).

Ophioninae species	Distribution in Iran (provinces)	References
Enicospilus ahngeri (Kokujev, 1907)	Fars Not defined	(Current study) (Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005)
Enicospilus cruciator Victorov, 1957	Not defined	(Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005)
Enicospilus flavocephalus (Kirby, 1900)	Fars, Kerman	(Current study)
Enicospilus kokujevi Victorov, 1957	Fars, Kerman	(Current study, Mohammadi-khoramabadi et al., 2016)
Enicospilus merdarius (Holmgren, 1860)	Fars	(Current study)
Enicospilus monostigmata (Vollenhoven, 1879)	Fars	(Current study)
Enicospilus ocellatus Shestakov, 1926	Not defined	(Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005)
Enicospilus perlatus Shestakov, 1926	Not defined	(Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005)
Enicospilus ramidulus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Fars	(Current study)
Enicospilus tenopsis (Kohl, 1905)	Sistan & Baluchestan	(Barahoei et al., 2012)
Enicospilus tournieri (Vollenhoven, 1879)	Fars	(Masnadi et al., 2010)
Enicospilus variicarpus Kokujev, 1907	Sistan & Baluchestan	(Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005; Barahoei et al., 2012)
Eremotylus boguschi (Meyer, 1935)	Tehran	(Masnadi et al., 2010)
Eremotylus intermedius (Hedwig, 1957)	Sistan & Baluchestan	(Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005)
Eremotylus pumilus (Hedwig, 1957)	Sistan & Baluchestan	(Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005)
Eremotylus sibiricus Szépligeti 1905	Fars	(Current study)
Ophion luteus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Yazd, Golestan	(Zarepour et al., 2008), (Masnadi et al., 2010).
Ophion minutus Kriechbaumer, 1879	Sistan & Baluchestan; Hormozgan	(Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005), (current study)
Ophion mirsa (Shestakov, 1926)	Not defined	(Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005)
Ophion mocsaryi Brauns, 1889	Not defined	(Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005).
Ophion obscuratus Fabricius, 1798	Tehran, Golestan, Hormozgan, Kerman, Fars, Yazd	(Zarepour et al., 2008), (Masnadi et al., 2010), (current study)
Ophion muscari Kriechbaumer, 1879	Sistan & Baluchestan; Fars, Hormozgan	(Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005), (current study)
Ophion turcomanicus Szépligeti, 1905	Kordestan	(Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005)
Ophion ventricosus Gravenhorst, 1829	Yazd	(Zarepour et al., 2008, 2009)

# List of the species

Tribe Enicospilini Townes, 1971 Genus Enicospilus Stephens, 1835 Enicospilus ahngeri (Kokujev, 1907) (Fig. 1, A–C) Material examined: Iran, Fars province, Jahrom, Goldamcheh (28°40′28.52″ N, 53°33′47.79″ E, 1031 m a.s.l.), 27.iv.2013, light trap, 1♂, leg. A. Amiri. **General distribution:** Palaearctic (Yu *et al.*, 2012). **Distribution in Iran:** Fars province (current study), north of Iran (Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005).

*Enicospilus flavocephalus* (Kirby, 1900) (Fig. 2, A-F) **Material examined:** Iran, Hormozgan province, Zakin  $(27^{\circ}28'53.23'' \text{ N}, 56^{\circ}18'27.03'' \text{ E}, 680 \text{ m a.s.l.}),$ 11.iv.2013, light trap, 1, leg. A. Ameri.



**Fig. 1.** A–C. *Enicospilus ahngeri*, male; A. Head, dorsal view; B. Propodeum, dorsal view; C. Fore wing, discosubmarginal cell; D–F. *Enicospilus tournieri*, female; D. Head, lateral view; E. Fore wing, disco-submarginal cell; F. Propodeum, dorsal view.



Fig. 2. *Enicospilus flavocephalus*, female; A. Head, frontal view; B. Head, dorsal view; C. Head, lateral view; D. Propodeum, dorsal view; E. Fore wing, disco-submarginal cell; F. Adult, habitus.

**Diagnosis – female:** Body length 17 mm, fore wing length 11 mm, antenna with 47 segment, first flagellomere 1.83 x as long as second; clypeus 1.8 x as wide as long (fig. 2, A); labrum 2.5 x as wide as long; face 0.74 x as long as wide (fig. 2, A), malar space 0.5 x as long as basal width of mandible; ocelli adjacent or nearly adjacent to the compound eye (separated only by width of lateral and posterior sulcus of stemmaticum) (fig. 2, B), temple 0.36 x as wide as transverse diameter of the eye in lateral view (fig. 2, C), occipital carina complete and rounded in upper part (fig. 2, B); propodeum

with strong anterior transverse carina (fig. 2, D); fore wing with 2 distinct sclerites in glabrous area of discosubmarginal cell (fig. 2, E), hind wing with 2 basal and 6 distal hamuli; hind coxa 1.65 x as long as wide, hind trochantellus 1.4 x as long as wide, hind basitarsus 11.1 x as long as wide.

**Coloration:** Body generally yellowish white, antenna pale brown, clypeus reddish yellow with apical teeth dark brown to black, postpetiol and second abdominal tergite reddish, middle and hind femur and tibia reddish brown, hind tarsi brownish. General distribution: Australian and Oriental (Yu *et al.*, 2012).

**Distribution in Iran:** Hormozgan provinces (current study). New record for Iran.

Enicospilus kokujevi Victorov, 1957 (Fig. 3, A-F)

**Material examined:** Iran, Hormozgan province, Minab, Chelo  $(27^{\circ}10'30.39'' \text{ N}, 57^{\circ}01'09.79'' \text{ E}, 16 \text{ m a.s.l.}),$ 27.xi.2012, Malaise trap,  $2^{\circ}$ , leg. A. Ameri.

**Diagnosis – female:** Body length 14-16 mm, fore wings length 9-11 mm, antenna with 53-54 segment, first flagellomere 2 x as long as second; clypeus 1.3 x as wide as long (fig. 3, A); labrum 4 x as wide as long; face 0.8 x as

long as wide, malar space 0.53 x as long as basal width of mandible; ocelli adjacent or nearly adjacent to the compound eye (separated only by width of lateral and posterior sulcus of stemmaticum) (fig. 3, B), temple 0.44 x as wide as transverse diameter of the eye in lateral view (fig. 3, C), occipital carina complete; propodeum with strong v-shape anterior transverse carina (fig. 3, D); fore wings with three sclerites in glabrous area of discosubmarginal cell (fig. 3, E), proximal sclerite attached to distal (fig. 3, E), hind wing with 2-3 basal and 7 distal hamuli; hind coxa 2.1 x as long as wide, hind trochantellus 1.36 x as long as wide, hind basitarsus 16.8 x as long as wide.



Fig. 3. *Enicospilus kokujevi*, female; A. Head, frontal view; B. Head, dorsal view; C. Head, lateral view; D. propodeum, dorsal view; E. Fore wing, disco-submarginal cell; F. Adult, habitus.

**Coloration**: Body reddish brown, antenna reddish brown, teeth of mandibles black.

General distribution: Palaearctic (Yu *et al.*, 2012). Distribution in Iran: Hormozgan province (Current study), Kerman province (Mohammadi-Khoramabadi *et al.*, 2016). *Enicospilus merdarius* (Holmgren, 1860) (Fig. 4, A-F) **Material examined:** Iran, Fars province, Seddeh (30°41'2.99" N, 52°08'13.46" E, 2140 m a.s.l.), 07.x.2012, Malaise trap,  $2^{\circ}$ , Dejekord (30°43'58.91" N, 51°56'55.10" E, 2171 m a.s.l.), 10.vi. 2012,  $2^{\circ}$ , Shahrmian (30° 54' 39.07" N, 52°28'16.82" E, 2120 m a.s.l.), 07.x.2013, light trap,  $1^{\circ}$ , leg. A. Amiri.



Fig. 4. *Enicospilus merdarius*, female; A. Head, frontal view; B. Head, dorsal view; C. Head, lateral view; D. Propodeum, dorsal view; E. fore wing, disco-submarginal cell; F. Adult, habitus.

**Diagnosis–female:** Body length 21–23 mm, fore wing length 12–13.5mm, antenna with 61–63 segment, first flagellomere 1.87 x as long as second; clypeus 1.7 x as wide as long (fig. 4, A); labrum 3.1 x as wide as long, face 0.66 x as long as wide, malar space 0.4 x as long as basal width of mandible; ocelli adjacent or nearly adjacent to the compound eye (separated only by width of lateral and posterior sulcus of stemmaticum) (fig. 4, B), temple 0.48 x as wide as transverse diameter of the eye in lateral view (fig. 4, C), occipital carina complete; propodeum with strong anterior transverse carina (fig. 4, D); fore wing with

three sclerites in glabrous area of discosubmarginal cell (fig. 4, E), hind wing with 3–5 basaland 8 distal hamuli; hind coxa 2.04 x as long as wide, hind trochantellus 1.66 x as long as wide, hind basitarsus 18.1 x as long as wide. **Coloration**: Body reddish yellow, teeth of mandible black. **General distribution:** Nearctic, Oriental, Palaearctic (Yu *et al.*, 2012).

**Distribution in Iran:** Fars province (current study). New record for Iran.

*Enicospilus monostigmata* (Vollenhoven, 1879) (Fig. 5, A-F)



Fig. 5. *Enicospilus monostigma*, female; A. Head, frontal view; B. Head, dorsal view; C. Head, lateral view; D. Propodeum, dorsal view; E. Fore wing, disco-submarginal cell; F. Adult, habitus.

**Material examined:** Iran, Hormozgan province, Geno  $(27^{\circ}24'16.16'' \text{ N}, 56^{\circ}08'51.80'' \text{ E}, 1274 \text{ m.s.l.}),$  11.iv.2013, light trap,  $2\mathfrak{Q}$ , leg. A. Ameri.

**Diagnosis – female:** Body length 16 - 18 mm, fore wing length 11-12 mm, antenna with 45 - 46 segments, first flagellomere 1.52 x as long as second; clypeus 2.1 x as wide as long (fig. 5, A); labrum 2.1 x as wide as long; face 0.86 x as long as wide, malar space 0.3 x as long as basal width of mandible; ocelli adjacent to the eye (separated only by width of lateral and posterior sulcus of stemmaticum) (fig. 5, B), temple 0.5 x as wide as transverse diameter of the eye in lateral view (fig. 5, C), occipital carina complete and sinuated in upper part; propodeum with transverse striation and with weak anterior transverse carina (fig. 5, D); fore

wing with one triangle brown sclerites in glabrous area of disco-submarginal cell (fig. 5, E), hind wing with 2 basal and 6–7 distal hamuli; hind coxa 1.6 x as long as wide, hind trochantellus 1.57 x as long as wide, hind basitarsus 10 x as long as wide.

**Coloration:** Head brown with yellow spots, antennal segments brown, mandible yellowish red with black apical teeth, clypeus white with yellow semicircle spot at the middle lower edge, eye orbits whitish, vertex and temple white; thorax brown with whitish yellow spots, abdomen brown, legs brown.

**General distribution:** West Palaearctic (Yu *et al.*, 2012). **Distribution in Iran:** Hormozgan province (current study). New record for Iran.



Fig. 6. *Enicospilus ramidulus*, female; A. Head, frontal view; B. Head, dorsal view; C. Head, lateral view; D. Propodeum, dorsal view; E. Fore wing, disco-submarginal cell; F. Femalehabitus.

*Enicospilus ramidulus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Fig. 6, A-F) **Material examined:** Iran, Fars province, Dejekord (30°43′58.91″ N, 51°56′55.10″ E, 2171 m a.s.l.), 16.vi.2012, sweeping net, 2♀, 1♂, 04.ix.2013, 1♂, Seddeh (30°41′2.99″ N, 52°08′13.46″ E, 2140 m a.s.l.), 07.vi.2012, sweeping net, 1♀, leg. A. Amiri.

Diagnosis - female: Female: Body length 17.5-22 mm, fore wing length 12-13 mm, antenna with 56 -59 segments, first flagellomere 2 x as long as second; clypeus 1.44 x as wide as long (fig. 6, A); labrum 4.1 x as wide as long; face 0.59 x as long as wide, malar space 0.4 x as long as basal width of mandible; ocelli adjacent or nearly adjacent to the compound eye (separated only by width of lateral and posterior sulcus of stemmaticum) (fig. 6, B), temple 0.66 x as wide as transverse diameter of the eye in lateral view (fig. 6, C), occipital carina complete; propodeum with strong anterior transverse carina (fig. 6, D); fore wing with three sclerites in glabrous area of disco-submarginal cell (fig. 6, E), hind wing with 3 basal and 7-8 distal hamuli; hind coxa 2 x as long as its maximum width, hind trochantellus 1.75 x as long as wide, hind basitarsus 16 x as long as wide.

**Male**: similar to female but antenna with 62 – 64 flagellomeres.

**Coloration (female):** Body reddish yellow, frontal orbits white (fig. 6, A), teeth of mandible black, metasomal tergites 5 - 8 black.

**General distribution:** Afrotropical, Oriental, Palaearctic (Yu *et al.*, 2012).

**Distribution in Iran:** Fars province (current study). New record for Iran.

Enicospilus tournieri (Vollenhoven, 1879) (Fig. 1, D-F).

Material examined Iran, Fars province, Shahrmian (30°54′41.39″ N, 52°28′14.66″ E, 2561 m a.s.l.), 12.vii.2013, 2♀, Seddeh (30°44′09.31″ N, 52°09′06.73″ E, 2307 m a.s.l.), 11.vii.2012, 1♀, leg. A. Amiri.

General distribution: Palaearctic (Yu et al., 2012)

**Distribution in Iran:** Fars province (Masnadi *et al.*, 2010).

Tribe Ophionini Shuckard, 1840

Genus Eremotylus Forster, 1869

Eremotylus sibiricus Szépligeti, 1905 (Fig. 7, A-F)

**Material examined:** Iran, Fars province, Jahrom (28°34′20.87″ N, 53°41′28.50″ E, 1104 m a.s.l.), 22.iv.2012, Malaise trap, 1♂, leg. A. Amiri.

**Diagnosis** –male: Body length 1.8 mm, antenna with 56 segment, fore wing length 10 mm, first flagellomere 1.7 x as long as second; clypeus 1.38 x as wide as long (fig. 7, A); labrum 2.5 x as wide as long; face 0.5 x as long as wide, malar space 0.4 x as long as basal width of mandible; ocelli separated from compound eye by 0.25 its diameter (fig. 7, B), temple 0.54 x as wide as transverse diameter of the eye in lateral view (fig. 7, C), occipital carina complete, with sharp angle in upper part (fig. 7, B); propodeum without any carina (fig. 7, D); hind wing with 3 basal and 6 distal hamuli; hind coxa 1.6 x as long as wide, hind trochantellus 1.66 x as long as wide, hind basitarsus 15 x as long as wide.

**Coloration:** Body generally brownish black, Head and antenna brown, mandible with dark apical teeth, second, third and fourth abdominal tergites red, the rest brownish black, wing venation brown, all tarsi brown.

**General distribution:** Palaearctic (Yu *et al.*, 2012). **Distribution in Iran:** Fars province (current study). New record for Iran.

Genus Ophion Fabricius, 1798

*Ophion minutus* Kriechbaumer, 1879 (Fig. 8, A-C) **Material examined:** Iran, Hormozgan province,

Hajiabbad, Tezerj (27°17′51.81″ N, 55°45′14.76″ E, 867 m a.s.l.), 13.–iv.2013, light trap, 1♀, leg. A. Ameri.

**General distribution:** Palaearctic (Yu *et al.*, 2012). **Distribution in Iran:** Sistan and Baluchestan province (Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005), Hormozgan province (current study).



Fig. 7. *Eremotylus sibricus*, male; A. Head, frontal view; B. Head, dorsal view; C. Head, lateral view; D. Propodeum, dorsal view; E. Mesopleuron, ventro-lateral view; F. Female habitus.

Ophion muscari Kriechbaumer, 1879 (Fig. 8, G-I)

Material examined: Iran, Fars province, Seddeh (30°41'2.99" N, 52°08'13.46" E, 2140 m a.s.l.), 06.vi.2013,

sweeping net, 1♀, leg. A. Amiri.

**General distribution** Nearctic, Palaearctic (Yu *et al.*, 2012).

**Distribution in Iran:** Sistan and Baluchestan province (Kolarov & Ghahari, 2005), Fars province (current study).

Ophion obscuratus Fabricius, 1798 (Fig. 8, D-F)

**Material examined:** Iran, Fars province, Seddeh  $(30^{\circ}41'2.99'' \text{ N}, 52^{\circ}08'13.46'' \text{ E}, 2140 \text{ m a.s.l.}), 23.v.2012, 1<math>\bigcirc$ , 14.vi.2012, sweeping net, 2 $\bigcirc$ , leg. A. Amiri; Hormozgan province, Zakin (27°28'53.23'' N, 56°18'27.03'' E, 680 m a.s.l.), 02.ii.2011, Malaise trap, 2 $\bigcirc$ , leg. A. Ameri. **General distribution:** Nearctic, Oriental, Palaearctic (Yu *et al.*, 2012).

**Distribution in Iran:** Yazd (Zarepour *et al.*, 2008), Tehran, Golestan, Hormozgan, Kerman, Fars (Masnadi *et al.*, 2010), Fars and Hormozgan provinces (current study).



Fig. 8. A–C. *Ophionminutus*, female A. Hind wing, nervellus; B. Fore wing, radius junction to stigma; C. Femalehabitus; D-F. *Ophionobs curatus*female, D. Propodeum, lateral view; E. Mesosternum, epicnemialcarina; F. Femalehabitus; G–H. *Ophionmus cari* female, G. Propodeum, dorsal view; H. Fore wing, radius; I. Female habitus.

### Key to Iranian genera and species of Ophioninae

curved; lower margin of mesopleuron with a tubercle

behind middle (fig. 7, E) (genus Eremotylus Forster, 1869).....10 3. Fore wing length less than 11mm, nervellus somewhat reclivous (fig. 8, A), radius somewhat thickened at its junction with stigma (fig. 8, B); antennal flagellum with 40-49 segments; lateral ocelli separated from eye by distance equal to or a little more than width of posterior stemmaticum sulcus......Ophion minutus Kriechbaumer, 1879 - Fore wing length more than 11 mm; nervellus not reclivous; radius not or seldom thickened towards stigma; antenna with more than 50 flagellomeres; lateral ocelli separated from eye by distance, distinctly more than width of posterior stemmaticum sulcus.....4 4. Body with black pattern, thorax with large radius thickened punctures, toward stigma......Ophion ventricosus Gravenhorst, 1829

- Head and thorax without black pattern, thorax with superficial punctation, radius not noticeably thickened 5. Face convex, densely wrinkly-punctured, with a small tubercle under the antennae......Ophion .....mirsa (Shestakov, 1926) - Face not convex, sparsely punctured, without tubercle 6. Ocellar-ocular interspace wider than the posterior sulcus of the stemmaticum.....7 - Ocellar-ocular interspace not exist, posterior ocelli touching eyes, or separated from them by width of 7. Occipital carina usually rounded, squared or sometimes weakly angled, mandibular gap usually acute, first flagellar segment more than 4 x longer than wide, lateral longitudinal carina of propodeum absent......Ophion luteus (Linnaeus, 1758) - Occipital carina weakly to very sharply angled in upper mid, mandibular gap obtuse to rectangular, first flagellar segment less than 3 x longer than wide, lateral longitudinal carina of propodeum complete and strong (fig. 8, D), sternopleural angle of epicnemium obtuse to weakly acute (fig. 8, E) .....Ophion .....obscuratus Fabricius, 1798 8. First flagellar segment at least 3.4 x as long as wide, lateral longitudinal carina of propodeum usually as strong as dorsal propodeal carina (fig. 8, G), radius not sinuated but thickened toward base (fig. 8, H) membrane of petiolar segment approximately opposite of the spiracle, pleurosternal angle of epicnemium rounded and broadly obtuse......Ophio..parvulus Kriechbaumer, 1879 - First flagellar segment often less than 3 x longer than wide, lateral longitudinal carina of propodeum usually weaker than dorsal propodeal carina......9 9. Lateral longitudinal carina of propodeum absent or weaker than dorsal propodeal carina, pleurosternal angle of epicnemium not broadly obtuse, radius sinuated toward bas... Ophion mocsaryi Brauns, 1889 - Lateral longitudinal carina of propodeum present and radius sinuated strong, not toward base .....Ophion turcomanicus Szepligeti, 1905

**10.** Antenna with 56 segments; tarsal claws of hind legs in male with 12 teeth; mesopleuron with more or less distinct ventrolateral tubercles (fig. 7, E), malar space as wide as 0.4 length of basal width of mandible; body with dark pattern, mesoscutum and mesosternum drawn dark, antennae with three apical segments dark (fig. 7, E).....*Eremotylus sibricus* Szepligeti, 1905 - Antenna with 40-55 segments; tarsal claws of hind legs in females with 10 teeth, and in males with more than 12 teeth; mesopleuren without ventrolateral tubercles...11

11. Occipital carina interrupted in middle; malar space as wide as 0.25 length of basal width of mandible; anterior margin of clypeus weakly rounded......Eremotylus boguschi (Meyer, 1935) Occipital carina complete, without median interruption; malar space wider; anterior margin of clypeus straight or emar..ginate in the middle.....12 12. Anterior margin of clypeus straight; postpectal carina interrupted before midcoxae; propodeum without apical transverse carina......Eremotylus .....intermedius (Hedwig, 1957) - Anterior margin of clypeus emarginated in the middle; postpectal carina complete; propodeum with apical transverse carina......Eremotylus .....pumilus (Hedwig, 1957) 13. Postpectal carina absent except at lateral ofmiddle coxae; temples slightly narrowed behind the eyes; basal part of radius somewhat thickened......Simophion calvus Viktorov, 1961 - Postpectal carina complete; temple distinctly narrowed behind the eyes, basal part of radius distinctly thickened (figs. 1-6, E), disco-submarginal cell with large glabrous area extending over vein Rs + 2r, often with sclerites (figs 1-6, E) (genus Enicospilus Stephens, 1835).....14 14. Fore wing with one distinct sclerite in glabrous area of discosubmarginal cell (figs. 1, C, 1, E, 5, E).....15 - Fore wing with 2 - 3 distinct sclerite in glabrous area of discosubmarginal cell (figs. 2, E, 3, E, 6, E) .....18 15. Body brown with white spots, propodeum with brown color, transversely roundish striation (fig. 5, D); fore wing without central sclerite (fig. 5, E).....Enicosp

.....ilus monostigma (Vollenhoven, 1879) - Body red or yellowish white, propodeum without transverse striation but may be rugose (fig. 1, B).....16 16. Body red, propodeum not strongly rugose, with normal length; proximal sclerite triangle or pyriform.....17 - Body yellowish white, propodeum strongly rugose and relatively short (fig. 1, B), proximal sclerite somewhat triangle (fig. 1, C).....Enicospilus .....ahngeri (Kokujev, 1907) 17. Fore wing with almost pyriform proximal sclerite, propodeum weakly rugose, without longitudinal aciculation.......Enicospilus stenopsis (Kohl, 1905) - Fore wing with triangle proximal sclerite (fig. 1, E), propodeum distinctly rugose, with longitudinal aciculation (fig. 1, F).....Enicospilus .....tournieri (Vollenhoven, 1879) 18. Disco-submarginal cell in fore wing with two distinct and pigmented sclerites......19 - Disco-submarginal cell in fore wing with three distinct and pigmented sclerites......20 19. Central sclerite in the fore wing distinct, commashaped and stretched (fig. 2, E), 1st part of the radial vein in the middle gradually narrowed to the apex ..... Enicospilus flavocephalus (Kirby, 1900) - Central sclerite in forewing transparent and not distinct, 1st part of the radial vein in the middle sharply narrowed to apex......Enicospilus .....ocellatus Shestakov, 1926 - Wing surface with short and sparse hairs......23 21. Central sclerite of disco-submarginal cell round and unpigmented.....Enicospilus cruciator Viktorov, 1957 - Central sclerite of disco-submarginal cell pigmented 22. Metasomal tergites 5 – 8 completely black (fig. 6, F).....Enicospilus ramidulus (Linnaeus, 1758) - Metasomal tergites uniformly red to reddish yellow (fig. 4, F) .... Enicospilus merdarius (Holmgren, 1860) 23. Posterior ocelli separated from margin of the eyes by 0.3-0.5 x diameter of ocellus; central sclerite distinctly pigmented (no paler than proximal sclerite)......Enicospilus variicarpus (Kokujev, 1907)

Posterior ocelli contiguous to margin of eyes or nearly so; central sclerite stretched or rounded......24
24. Central sclerite of disco-submarginal cell stretched, abdomen 2 x longer than head and thorax combined.......*Enicospilus perlatus* Shestakov, 1926
Central sclerite of disco-submarginal cell rounded, (fig. 3, E), abdomen 2.5 x longer than head and thorax together......*Enicospilus kokujevi* Victorov, 1957

### Discussion

In this study 11 species of subfamily Ophioninae were collected and identified. The results of this study and review of the previously recorded taxa by Zarepour *et al.* (2008), Barahoei *et al.* (2012), and Mohammadi-khoramabadi *et al.* (2016) revealed the existence of 26 species, two tribes (e.g. Ophionini, Enicospilini) and four genera (e.g. *Ophion* (8 species), *Enicospilus* (13 species), *Eremotylus* (4 species) and *Simophion* (1 species)) of which, 15 species are from southern provinces. Only two species *E. ahngeri* and *O. obscuratus* occur in both regions. The species *E. flavocephalus* previously reported from Australian and oriental regions (Yu *et al.*, 2012).

The number of Malaise trapped specimens of Ophioninae was less than those captured by light traps and sweeping method. It is likely due to nocturnal behavior of Ophioninae parasitic wasps. Despite the frequency of Ophioninae in the west and east Palaearctic (100 and 125 species respectively) and Oriental regions (243 species), diversity of these wasps was less than what we had expected. The greatest diversity of the Ophioninae exists in tropical area, where the wasps apparently are able to exploit the caterpillars as their hosts (Gauld & Janzen, 2004).

Southern region of Iran is characterized by a great diversity in vegetation, natural ecosystems and farmlands due to differences in the topography and the various climatic conditions (Ameri *et al.*, 2014) and can be searched as potential locations to collect Ophioninae and other ichneumonid wasps. The southern provinces of Iran such as Hormozgan, are the confluence of Oriental and Palaearctic geographic regions, but little information is known from the subfamily Ophioninae in this region. Majority of the Ophioninae members belong to *Enicospilus* and *Ophion* genera (Quicke, 2015), that can be found almost everywhere.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Department of Entomology, Tarbiat Modares University for funding this research. The contribution by Ehsan Rakhshani was partially supported by the grant No. 89-9198, University of Zabol. We are grateful to Dr. Dicky Yu for sending valuable papers. Our special thanks go to Dr. Ali Ameri who collected the specimens. We cordially thank two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

#### References

- Ameri, A., Talebi, A. A., Beyarslan, A., Kamali, K. & Rakhshani, E. (2014) Study of the genus *Bracon* Fabricius, 1804 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) of southern Iran with description of a new species. *Zootaxa* 3754, 353-380.
- Barahoei, H., Rakhshani, E. & Riedel, M. (2012) A checklist of Ichneumonidae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonoidea) from Iran. *Iranian Journal of Animal Biosystematics* 8, 83-132.
- Brock, J. P. (1982) A systematic study of the genus Ophion in Britain (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). Tijdschrift voor Entomologie 125, 57-97.
- Eady, R. D. (1968) Some illustrations of microsculpture in the hymenoptera. Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London 43, 66-72.
- Gauld, I. D. (1973) Notes on the British Ophionini (Hym; Ichneumonidae) including a provisional key to species. Entomologist's Gazette 24, 55-65.
- Gauld, I. D. (1977) A revision of the Ophioninae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) of Australia. Australian Journal of Zoology (Supplementary Series) 49, 1-112.
- Gauld, I. D. (1979) An analysis of the classification of the *Ophion*genus-group (Ichneumonidae). *Systematic Entomology* 5, 59-82.
- Gauld, I. D. (1984) An Introduction to the Ichneumonidae of Australia. with a Contribution on the Metopiinae by M. G. Fitton. 413 pp. British Museum (Natural History), London.
- Gauld, I. D. (1985) The phylogeny, classification and evolution of parasitic wasps of the subfamily Ophioninae (Ichneumonidae). Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Entomology 51, 61-185.
- Gauld, I. D. (1988) A survey of the Ophioninae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) of tropical Mesoamerica with special reference to the fauna of Costa Rica. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Entomology 57, 1-309.
- Gauld, I. D. (1995) Ichneumonidae. pp. 390–431 in Hanson, P.E. & Gauld, I.D. (Eds), *The Hymenoptera of Costa Rica*, Oxford University Press, New York and The Natural History Museum, London, 893 pp.
- Gauld, I. D. & Janzen, D. H. (2004) The systematics and biology of the Costa Rican species of parasitic wasps in the *Thyreodon* genus-group (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 141, 297-351.
- Gauld, I. D. & Mitchell, P. A. (1978) The Taxonomy, Distribution and Host Preferences of African Parasitic Wasps of the Subfamily Ophioninae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). 287 pp. Commonwealth Institute of Entomology, Slough.
- Gauld, I. D. & Mitchell, P. A. (1981) The Taxonomy, Distribution and Host Preferences of Indo-Papuan Parasitic Wasps of the Subfamily Ophioninae. 611 pp. Commonwealth Institute of Entomology, Slough.
- Horstmann, K. (1981) Die paläarktischen Arten der Gattungen *Eremotylus* Förster, 1869, und *Simophion* Cushman, 1947 (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae). *Entomofauna* 2, 415-432.
- Kasparyan, D. R. (1981) A guide to the insect of the European part of the USSR. Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae 11 Ctenopelmatinae, 12 Phrudinae, 13 Tersilochinae, 14 Cremastinae, 15 Campopleginae, 16 Ophioninae. (in Russian). Opred Faune SSSR3, 316-431.

- Kolarov, J. & Ghahari, H. (2005) A catalogue of Ichneumonidae (Hymenoptera) from Iran. *Linzer Biologische* Beitrage 37, 503-532.
- Masnadi, A., Jussila, R. & Riedel, M. (2010) The Iranian fauna of the subfamilies Acaenitinae, Banchinae, Campopleginae, Ophioninae and Tryphoninae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) with some new records. *Entomologica Fennica*21, 70-83.
- Mohammadi-Khoramabadi, A. & Talebi, A. A. (2013) A study of the genus Orthocentrus (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae, Orthocentrinae) in Gilan and Tehran provinces of Iran, with first records of seven species and one subspecies. Applied Entomology and Phytopathology 80, 30-39.
- Mohammadi-Khoramabadi, A., Talebi, A. A., & Zwakhals, K. (2013a) Study on Diplazontinae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) in north central Iran. *Journal of Crop Protection* 2, 241-261.
- Mohammadi-Khoramabadi, A., Talebi, A. A., & Zwakhals, K. (2013b) A study of the subfamily Pimplinae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) in the north of Iran, with eleven new species records. *Entomofauna* 34, 29-56.
- Mohammadi-Khoramabadi, A., Hesami, S. & Shafiei, S. (2016) A contribution to the knowledge of the fauna of Ichneumonidae in Rafsanjan county of Kerman province, Iran. *Entomofauna* 37, 453-468
- Morley, C. (1912) A revision of the Ichneumonidae based on the collection in the British Museum (Natural History) with descriptions of new genera and species. Part I. Tribes Ophionides and Metopiides. 88 pp. British Museum, London.
- Quicke, L. J. D. (2015) The Braconid and Ichneumonid parasitoid wasps. Biology, systematic, evolution and ecology. Metopiinae. 682pp. Wiley Blackwell.
- Rohlfs, W. M. & Mack, T. P. (1985) Seasonal parasitism rates, host size, and adult emergence pattern of parasitoids of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith), with emphasis on *Ophion flavidus* Brulle (Hymenoptera). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*. 78, 217-220.
- Shestakov, A. (1926) Ad cognitionem specierum tribus Ophionini. Konowia 5, 256-263.
- Townes, H. (1969) The genera of Ichneumonidae, part 1. 300 pp. Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute.
- Townes, H. K. (1971) The genera of Ichneumonidae, Part 4.372 pp. Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute.
- Van Achterberg, K. (2009) Can Townes type Malaise traps be improved? Some recent developments. *Entomologische Berichten* 69, 129-135.
- Viktorov, G. A. (1957) Species of the genus *Enicospilus* Stephens in USSR (in Russian with English summary). *Entomologicheskoye Obozreniye* 36, 179-210.
- Yoder, M. J., Mikó, I., Seltmann, K. C., Bertone, M. A. & Deans, A. R. (2010) A gross anatomy ontology for Hymenoptera. PLoS ONE 5 (12), e15991. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0015991.
- Yu, D. S., Van Achterberg, K. & Horstmann, K. (2012) World Ichneumonoidae 2011. Taxonomy, Biology, Morphology and Distribution. (CD-ROM) Taxapad. available from www. Taxapad.com.
- Zarepour, A. R., Talebi, A. A. & Loni, S. (2008) Fauna of Ichneumonid wasps from Yazd, Iran. Journal of Entomological Research 2, 13-20.
- Zarepour, A. R., Talebi, A. A. & Vafaei Shoushtari, R. (2009) Three new species records of Ichneumonid wasps (Hym; Ichneumonidae) from Yazd, Iran. *Journal of Entomological Research* 1, 67-77.

Received: 22 November 2015