Rostaniha 21(2): 231-247 (2020)-Research Article

رستنیها ۲۱(۲): ۲۴۷–۲۳۱ (۱۳۹۹)-مقاله پژوهشی

DOI: 10.22092/BOTANY.2020.351724.1217

Genetic diversity and population structure of Iranian Ephedra major

Received: 08.09.2020 / Accepted: 04.11.2020

Somayeh Ghanbari Hamedani: PhD Student, Department of Biology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Younes Asri: Research Associate Prof., Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands, Agricultural Research Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), P.O. Box 13185-116, Tehran, Iran

Iraj Mehregan⊠: Associate Prof., Department of Biology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran (iraj@daad-alumni.de)

Abstract

As a highly valuable source of ephedrine, *Ephedra major* is well-adapted to various habitats in Iran. Over the last few decades, human's activity, in particular, over exploitation of its populations to extract medicinally valuable constitutes, have endangered this species. In this investigation, the level of genetic diversity and genetic structure in 16 natural populations of *E. major* for the very first time have been assessed utilizing molecular markers, inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR). A high level of genetic diversity was revealed among the population where Dehbar region (Khorasan Razavi province, Iran) exhibited the highest quantity of genetic parameters (P = 67.96%, H = 0.365 236, I = 0.365). Intra-population genetic diversity (71%) was significantly higher than inter-population genetic diversity (29%) and only 1% genetic diversity among-regions. The unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) and Bayesian clustering methods indicated the presence of a relatively strong population structure and to some extent in accordance with the geographical origin of populations. However, the success of UPGMA in grouping populations in congruence with their geographical location was more tangible. From the outcomes of this study, it could be asserted that, ISSR markers are effective in detecting the pattern of genetic diversity in *E. major* populations. On the other hand, by having reliable knowledge of the status of genetic diversity and structure of populations of this species, the first steps can be taken to protect populations with low genetic diversity, and also pave the way for breeding programs to finding and selection of superior populations.

Keywords: Ephedraceae, clustering, conservation, genetic distance, ISSR

سمیه قنبری همدانی: دانشجوی دکتری، گروه زیستشناسی، واحد علوم و تحقیقات، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، تهران، ایران یونس عصری: دانشیار پژوهش، مؤسسه تحقیقات جنگلها و مراتع کشور، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، صندوق پستی ۱۱۶-۱۳۱۸۵، تهران، ایران

ایرج مهرگان 🖂: دانشیار گروه زیستشناسی، واحد علوم و تحقیقات، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، تهران، ایران (iraj@daad-alumni.de)

خلاصه

بطی چند دهه اخیر فعالیتهای انسانی، به ویژه بهرهبرداری بیش از حد از جمعیتهای گونه مذکور جهت استخراج مواد دارویی ارزشمند، این گونه ملی چند دهه اخیر فعالیتهای انسانی، به ویژه بهرهبرداری بیش از حد از جمعیتهای گونه مذکور جهت استخراج مواد دارویی ارزشمند، این گونه منافر معرض خطر قرار داده است. در این تحقیق، سطح تنوع ژنتیکی و ساختار ژنتیکی در ۱۶ جمعیت استخراج مواد دارویی ارزشمند، این گونه منافرهای مولکولی *E. major حمو*ن خطر قرار داده است. در این تحقیق، سطح تنوع ژنتیکی و ساختار ژنتیکی در ۱۶ جمعیت *Major جمعیت مشاهده شد که منطقه در ا*موری مولکولی است. در این تحقیق، سطح تنوع ژنتیکی و ساختار ژنتیکی در ۱۶ جمعیت *Major جمعیت مشاهده شد که منطقه در ا*مواقع در استان خراسان رضوی بیشترین تنوع در پارامترهای ژنتیکی را نشان داد (۲۰۵5 ع 2.0 میان جمعیت مشاهده شد که منطقه دهبار واقع در استان خراسان رضوی بیشترین تنوع در پارامترهای ژنتیکی را نشان داد (۲۹۶۰) بود و تنها ۱ درصد تنوع ژنتیکی بین مناطق وجود داشت. درون جمعیت (۲۱) به طور قابل توجهی بالاتر از تنوع ژنتیکی بین جمعیت (۲۹٪) بود و تنها ۱ درصد تنوع ژنتیکی بین مناطق وجود داشت. روشهای متوبط واقع در استان خراسان رضوی بیشترین تنوع در پارامترهای ژنتیکی بین جمعیت (۲۹٪) بود و تنها ۱ درصد تنوع ژنتیکی بین مناطق وجود داشت. موشهای متوسط فاصله بین گروهها (UPGMA) و همچنین روشهای خوشهندی بایسین (Bayesian) بینگر وجود ساختار نسبتا قوی جمعیت است تا روشهای متوسط فاصله بین گروها (UPGMA) و همچنین روشهای خوشهندی بایسین (Bayesian) بر وجود ساختار نسبتا قوی جمعیت میاست تا دروشهای متوست و با توجه به نتایج این مطالعه، میتوان ادعا کرد، نشانگرهای UPGMA در گروهبندی جمعیت متناسب با موقعیت جغرافیایی آنها محصوستر بوده و با توجه به نتایج این مطالعه، میتوان ادعا کرد، نشانگرهای UPGMA در ترمویندی جمعیتهای نوع ژنتیکی در جمعیتهای بر هموای نور در جمعیتهای و موندی مرور و موبود و بونو با توع ژنتیکی در جمعیتهای روشهای مولاحی در تنوع ژنتیکی در جمعیتهای بر مولای در راستای مولات از موی دیگر، با داشتن دانش قابل اعتماد از وضعیت تنوع ژنتیکی و ساختار جمعیتی این گونه، می توان گرهای یا در را مولاحی در انتخوم و تنتیک و مولاحی در انتخام جمعیتهای مولای در را مولوی مولای در موهای خرونهای نوع ژنتیکی و مولو ها مولاحی در تامو مول و مولو و

واژەھاى كليدى: افدرا، حفاظت، خوشەبندى، فاصلە ژنتيكى، ISSR

* مستخرج از رساله دكترى نگارنده نخست به راهنمايي دكتر ايرج مهرگان ارايه شده به واحد علوم و تحقيقات دانشگاه آزاد اسلامي

Introduction

Intensive human-driven alternation and destruction in natural habitats (i.e., over 30% of the land surface on earth) have notably endangered a number of plant species as critical food and medicinal resources (Lienert 2004, Bakhshipour et al. 2019). Habitat disruption imposes significant threats on plant species through shrinking population size and contributing to geographical isolation which given their association with the extinction risk of plant species acquiring a profound understanding on genetic variability and population structure of plant species is an indispensable prerequisite to establishing comprehensive programs to conserve plant biodiversity since information on population genetic holds a key in conserving populations in many approaches, for instance, the intensified decline in genetic diversity of small and isolated populations could be the result of inbreeding and genetic drift (Méndez et al. 2014, Ellegren & Galtier 2016, Lee et al. 2018, Mafakheri et al. 2020). The literature is enriched with information on genetic diversity and population structure of important medicinal plants (Mafakheri et al. 2020). However, little attention has been paid to Ephedra L. with approximately 65-75 species commonly widespread in Asia, Central and North America, and Europe. Iran is known to hold a great diversity of Ephedra with 10 species (Riedl 1967) and in some references reported eight species from Iran (Assadi 1998), among them Ephedra major Host, a dioecious evergreen plant belonging to the family Ephedraceae from an evolutionary viewpoint, considered as an advanced gymnosperm with a number of angiosperm characteristics (Owens et al. 1990). This species is profusely branched woody stems and herbaceous branchlets with shrub growth habit and height from 20 to 150 cm (Vidakovi 1991).

Classification of *Ephedra* has long been a matter of debate, as individuals have few morphological characteristics to assist classification.

In particular, the distinction between *E. procera* C.A. Mey. And E. major is uncertain due to morphological similarities (Assadi 1998). Based on some gross morphological and geological examinations, E. procera falls has been reduced as E. major subsp. procera (Fisch. & C.A. Mey.) Bornm. (Rydin et al. 2010). Therefore, during the present study, an effort was made to illustrate any grouping at the rank below species. Being dioecious and lacking well-developed leaves has made it very difficult to efficiently evaluate the populations of E. major using morphological characters. Therefore, using molecular markers as a tool for clarifying possible relationships between its populations, seems to be the best solution.

Ephedra extract is sympathomimetic, has a 5000-year application as an effective remedy for respiratory issues as asthma, colds, influenza, typhoid fever, and cough in traditional Chinese medicals (Lee & Lee 2009, Parsaeimehr *et al.* 2010) besides recently many reports asserted the presence of potent antioxidant and antibacterial potentials (Jaradat *et al.* 2015) as well as its positive influence on improving athletic performance (Luther 2012) which these phytochemical capabilities to a large degree are owing to ephedrine and associated alkaloids such as pseudoephedrine, methyl ephedrine, methyl pseudoephedrine, norephedrine, and norpseudoephedrine (Rustaiyan *et al.* 2011, Gul *et al.* 2017).

To evaluate genetic diversity in plant species, there are numerous approaches that each one comes with its own advantageous and drawbacks, but PCRbased molecular markers have long proven to be a reliable method and are available in great diversity, albeit, opting markers of interest affected by various factors and considerably depend on the goals of the study. Here, in this research, Inter Simple Sequence Repeats (ISSR) markers were employed to estimate the genetic variation and population structure in *E. major* wildly grow in west, north-western, central Alborz, and north-eastern regions of Iran.

In spite of being dominant markers with some drawbacks, ISSRs still a potent and user-friendly biotechnological tool with notable abundancy throughout genomes that can be applied to determine polymorphism, and differentiate populations reliably (Costa *et al.* 2016).

Holding such merits, molecular markers have been frequently applied alone or as complementary with other markers (Meena et al. 2016, Mafakheri et al. 2020) to assess the genetic variation within and between plant population and species. Previously nuclear and plastid markers have been utilized for phylogenetic investigation in Ephedra (Huang et al. 2005, Qin et al. 2013, Ickert-Bond & Renner 2016), and only a couple studies have undertaken more readily available markers for studying the relationship between species or populations of Ephedra such as RAPD (Rong-li 2003, Takeuchi et al. 2003, Hanyu et al. 2006, Ghafoor et al. 2007, Ehtesham-Gharaee et al. 2017), ISSR (Zhu et al. 2013) or combination of RAPD and ISSR (Saeed et al. 2015) and most lately directed amplification of mini-satellite DNA (DAMD) and ISSR (Meena et al. 2016, Meena et al. 2019). Given the fact that genetic diversity determines the potential fitness of a population and, ultimately, its long-term persistence, because genes encode phenotypic information. The risk of plant species extinction has often been linked with low genetic diversity, and several researchers have documented reduced fitness in populations with low genetic diversity. For example, low heterozygosity has been associated with low seedling survival and reduced population growth. Thus understanding the genetic diversity status of plant populations can help in locating the decline in genetic

diversity of populations and practice conservation approaches before the extinction of the population (Costa et al. 2016, Mafakheri et al. 2020). Extensive studies are required to comprehend the status of plant populations, particularly those that have received the economical and medicinal attention of humans, such as E. major. Other species of Ephedra, including E. gerardiana Wall. ex Stapf, and E. foliata Boiss. that have medicinal applications as E. major have been reported to be endangered already (Meena et al. 2016, Meena et al. 2019). Despite the medicinal use of E. major in Iran and the negative impacts of human exploitation, no study has been so far conducted to evaluate the genetic status of its populations. That is why here we attempted to: a) estimate the genetic variability of 16 populations of E. major in Iran using ISSR markers, b) evaluate intrapopulation and inter-population variation, and c) discuss possible underlying factors affecting the distribution pattern and means that, this information can contribute in conserving of E. major populations.

Materials and Methods

- Plant materials

The specimens collected from 16 populations of *E. major* covered areas from north-western, north, and north-eastern Iran (Table 1) by relying mainly on Iran. Flora Iranica (Riedl 1967) and Flora of Iran (Assadi 1998). From each population, six individuals as samples were taken by considering a 20 m distance between individuals. Collectively, 94 individuals were obtained from 16 populations. Voucher samples have been deposited in the Islamic Azad University Herbarium (IAUH), Tehran, Iran (Table 1).

Locality	Country	Latitude	Longitude	Date	Altitude (m)	Collector	Herbarium No.
Mazandaran prov.: 20 km from Chalous to Marzanabad	Iran	36°31	50° 21	30.09.2016	316.17	Ghanbari	IAUH0000 15316
Khorassan (N) prov.: Bojnord, 13 km from Hesar-hoseini road to Rakhtian	Iran	37°21'	57° 12'	01.08.2016	1814	Eskandari	IAUH0000 15318
Zanjan prov.: Sarcham road	Iran	37°8'	47° 47'	12.09.2016	1400	Eskandari	IAUH0000 15317
Kordestan prov.: 15 km from Ghorveh to Songhor	Iran	35°6'	47° 57'	12.10.2016	1829	Ghanbari	IAUH0000 15319
Gilan prov.: 10 km from Lowshan to Amarloo	Iran	36° 46'	49° 44	15.11.2016	1800	Eskandari	IAUH0000 15320
Ardabil prov.: Hir, 28 km from Hir to Ardebil	Iran	37°37'	48° 32'	10.11.2016	1400	Eskandari	IAUH0000 15321
Golestan prov.: Azadshahr, 10 km from Azadshahr to Shahroud	Iran	36° 56'	55° 22	07.08.2016	1400	Eskandari	IAUH0000 15322
Markazi prov.: Saveh road, 85 km from Nowbaran to Saveh	Iran	35° 07'	49° 33'	13.10.2016	1755.65	Ghanbari	IAUH0000 15323
Ardebil prov.: Khalkhal	Iran	37° 40'	48° 29'	08.11.2016	1700	Eskandari	IAUH0000 15324
Hamedan: Abbas Abad	Iran	34° 46'	48° 27'	01.09.2016	2189	Ghanbari	IAUH0000 15325
Khorasan Razavi prov.: 12 km from Torghebeh to Dehbar	Iran	36° 5'	59° 29	13.08.2016	1578	Ghanbari	IAUH0000 15326
Alborz prov.: Chalous road, 15 km from Shahrestanak to Gachsar	Iran	36°02	51° 30	14.07.2016	2015.49	Ghanbari	IAUH0000 15327
W. Azarbaijan prov.: Takab	Iran	36°35	47° 11	16.07.2016	1850	Eskandari	IAUH0000 15328
Alborz prov.: Baraghan, 10 km from Baraghan to Karaj	Iran	35°055	57° 47	07.07.2016	1625	Ghanbari	IAUH0000 15329
Tehran prov.: Soleghan, 10 km from Soleghan to Tehran	Iran	35°47'	51° 16	16.07.2016	1430	Ghanbari/ Eskandari	IAUH0000 15330
Tehran prov.: Damavand, 5 km from Absard to Khosravan	Iran	35° 39	52° 13	18.05.2017	2273	Ghanbari	IAUH0000 15331

Table 1. Localities along with related data of collected plant material examined in this study

- DNA extraction and ISSR assay

To extract of genomic materials from silica gel dried leaf, the CTAB method was employed (Doyle & Doyle 1987). Utilizing agarose gel (1%) the quality of the DNA was assessed. Of the molecular markers, 12 ISSR primers were tested which eight generated polymorphic bands (CAA)5, (AGA GAG)2AGAGT, (ACA CAC)2ACACT, (CAC ACA)2GC, (GACA)4, (AGA GAG)2AGAGT, (ACA CAC)2ACACYT, and (CAC ACA)2CACARG (Biolegio, Netherland) were used for amplification of each individual (Agarwal et al. 2015). PCR amplification procedure was followed as detailed in Morales et al. (2011). Briefly, 13 µl PCR reaction mixture composed of 6.5 µl master mix, 0.5 µl DMSO, 4.75 µl water, 0.5 µl primer, and 0.75 µl of genomic DNA. The PCR (LabCycler Basic thermocycler, Sensoquest, Göttingen, Germany) program was that after initial denaturation at 94 °C for 4 min, each cycle consisted 1 min of denaturation at 94 °C, 1 min of annealing at 54 °C, 2 min extension at 72 °C along with 7 min final extension at the end of 35 cycles. Further, the success in the reaction was examined by a 1% agarose gel. A molecular ladder (100 bp) was utilized to estimate the size of fragments (Fermentas, Germany).

- ISSR data analyses

The data obtained from ISSR primers aligned with the aim of GeneMarker Ver. 1.95 (GeneMarker, SoftGenetics, State College, Pennsylvania). Peaks (fragments) in length from 50 to 500 bp were manually scored as 1 (present) or 0 (absent). To confirm the presence or absence of peaks, each sample was checked considering signal intensity higher than 200. To make sure on peak accuracy, assay was repeated in a triplet.

- Genetic diversity and population structure

Utilizing GenAlex 6.4 (Peakall & Smouse 2006) parameters related to the genetic diversity of each population was evaluated including Polymorphic bands (PB), Polymorphism (%P), Nei's gene diversity (He), Shannon information index (I), the number of effective alleles (Ne), and UHe = unbiased Nei gene diversity (Weising et al. 2005, Ferreira et al. 2013). To assess the significant genetic difference amongst populations and their geographical locations an AMOVA (analysis of molecular variance) test was carried out (with 1000 permutations) (Podani 2000). The cluster analysis of plant samples performed with the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) and Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) using PAST Ver. 3.18 (Hammer et al. 2001). Exploiting the Bayesian-based model STRUCTURE (Ver. 2.3.4) analysis (Pritchard et al. 2000), the genetic structure of E. major was investigated.

Results and Discussion

- Genetic diversity

The ISSR markers used in this study generated a high number of repeatable bands provided a proper opportunity to investigate the genetic diversity of *E. major* populations. The indices of biodiversity observed in 16 *E. major* populations indicated a relatively high genetic biodiversity (Fig. 1). The number of private bands (unique alleles) for populations was mainly zero, except for three cases; Dehbar, Tekab, and Absard were 1, 2, and 1, respectively. The highest number of common bands (50%) greatly varied amongst populations where populations from Dehbar (71), Chalous road (67), and Soleghan (66) were found to have the highest values, respectively. Samples which collected from populations of *E. major* in the northwest (Ardebil, Azadshar, and Khalkhal) and center of Iran (Nobaran and Hamedan) tend to show the lowest number of common bands (50%). Given the number of common bands (25%), to some extent, a pattern observed similar to 50%, in which populations of Chalous (18) and Dehbar (14) in addition to Marzanabad (14) and Qurveh (13) exhibited maximum values. Other critical indices for genetic diversity, heterozygosity (He) found to be significantly diverse among populations, the highest He was observed in Dehbar, Qurveh, and Bojnord with 0.236, 0.210, and 0.210, respectively (Fig. 1 & Table 2).

Several other parameters of genetic diversity were assessed in populations (Table 2) which PB in populations Dehbar and Bojnord found to be the highest (167 and 143, respectively), while %P in populations of Dehbar and Soleghan were higher than the rest of the populations. Again, Dehbar and Bojnord with 1.413 and 1.308 exhibited the maximum number of different alleles (Na), and Shannon information index (0.356 and 0.318, respectively). Further, populations from Dehbar and Qurveh indicated the highest number of effective alleles (Ne; 1.397 and 1.364, respectively), and unbiased Nei gene diversity (HUe; 0.258 and 0.234, respectively).

More assessments using AMOVA revealed notable molecular differences amongst populations, equal to 28%, of the existing genetic diversity among investigated populations of *E. major*, whereas the percentage of within-population diversity was significantly higher with 71%. Also, the remaining 1% belonged to diversity among regions (Fig. 2).



Fig. 1. Band patterns across populations: No. LComm Bands 25%) = No. LComm bands (Freq 5%) found in 25% or fewer populations, No. LComm bands (50%) = No. LComm bands (Freq. 5%) found in 50% or fewer populations. Pop.: population, MRZ: Marzanabad, ZNJ: Zanjan, BJN: Bojnord, QRV: Qorveh, LSH: Lowshan, ARD: Ardebil, AZD: Azadshahr, NOB: Nowbaran, KHL: Khalkhal, HMD: Hamedan, DHB: Dehbar, CHL: Chalous road, TKB: Takab, BRQ: Baraghan, SOL: Soleghan, ABS: Absard.

. Biodiversit	y indices of	16 natural populat	ions of Ephedra n	najor			
Ν	PB	%P	Na	Ne	I	He	UHe
6	120	55.39%	1.201	1.290	0.273	0.178	0.194
6	143	44.31%	1.015	1.243	0.221	0.145	0.158
6	85	61.38%	1.308	1.351	0.318	0.210	0.229
6	129	58.08%	1.257	1.364	0.313	0.210	0.234
6	79	42.22%	0.973	1.248	0.221	0.147	0.160
6	59	38.02%	0.847	1.247	0.210	0.142	0.157
6	75	46.11%	0.961	1.303	0.253	0.172	0.187
6	55	33.83%	0.841	1.208	0.179	0.120	0.131
6	78	42.22%	0.976	1.246	0.218	0.145	0.158
6	89	44.91%	1.054	1.294	0.249	0.168	0.183
6	168	67.96%	1.413	1.397	0.356	0.236	0.258
6	127	54.19%	1.228	1.301	0.276	0.182	0.198
6	102	52.69%	1.108	1.302	0.271	0.180	0.196
6	109	52.40%	1.147	1.300	0.266	0.176	0.192
6	139	63.47%	1.275	1.290	0.288	0.184	0.200
6	96	50.00%	1.075	1.283	0.255	0.168	0.183
-	103.3	50.45	1.10	1.29	0.26	0.172	0.188
	Biodiversit	Biodiversity indices of N PB 6 120 6 143 6 85 6 129 6 79 6 59 6 75 6 55 6 78 6 89 6 168 6 102 6 102 6 139 6 96 - 103.3	N PB %P 6 120 55.39% 6 143 44.31% 6 85 61.38% 6 129 58.08% 6 79 42.22% 6 59 38.02% 6 75 46.11% 6 55 33.83% 6 78 42.22% 6 89 44.91% 6 168 67.96% 6 102 52.69% 6 139 63.47% 6 96 50.00% - 103.3 50.45	NPB%PNa6120 55.39% 1.201 6143 44.31% 1.015 685 61.38% 1.308 6129 58.08% 1.257 679 42.22% 0.973 659 38.02% 0.847 675 46.11% 0.961 678 42.22% 0.976 675 33.83% 0.841 678 42.22% 0.976 689 44.91% 1.054 6168 67.96% 1.413 6127 54.19% 1.228 6102 52.69% 1.108 6139 63.47% 1.275 696 50.00% 1.075 - 103.3 50.45 1.10	N PB %P Na Ne 6 120 55.39% 1.201 1.290 6 143 44.31% 1.015 1.243 6 85 61.38% 1.308 1.351 6 129 58.08% 1.257 1.364 6 79 42.22% 0.973 1.243 6 59 38.02% 0.847 1.247 6 75 46.11% 0.961 1.303 6 55 33.83% 0.841 1.208 6 78 42.22% 0.976 1.246 6 78 42.22% 0.976 1.247 6 78 42.22% 0.976 1.248 6 78 42.22% 0.976 1.246 6 89 44.91% 1.054 1.294 6 168 67.96% 1.413 1.302 6 102 52.69% 1.108 1.302	N PB %P Na Ne I 6 120 55.39% 1.201 1.290 0.273 6 143 44.31% 1.015 1.243 0.221 6 85 61.38% 1.308 1.351 0.318 6 129 58.08% 1.257 1.364 0.313 6 79 42.22% 0.973 1.248 0.221 6 59 38.02% 0.847 1.247 0.210 6 79 42.22% 0.973 1.248 0.221 6 59 38.02% 0.847 1.247 0.210 6 75 46.11% 0.961 1.303 0.253 6 55 33.83% 0.841 1.208 0.179 6 78 42.22% 0.976 1.246 0.218 6 89 44.91% 1.054 1.294 0.249 6 168 67.96% 1.413 </td <td>N PB %P Na Ne I He 6 120 55.39% 1.201 1.290 0.273 0.178 6 143 44.31% 1.015 1.243 0.221 0.145 6 85 61.38% 1.308 1.351 0.318 0.210 6 129 58.08% 1.257 1.364 0.313 0.210 6 79 42.22% 0.973 1.248 0.221 0.147 6 59 38.02% 0.847 1.247 0.210 0.142 6 75 46.11% 0.961 1.303 0.253 0.172 6 55 33.83% 0.841 1.208 0.179 0.120 6 78 42.22% 0.976 1.246 0.218 0.145 6 89 44.91% 1.054 1.294 0.249 0.168 6 168 67.96% 1.413 1.397 0.356</td>	N PB %P Na Ne I He 6 120 55.39% 1.201 1.290 0.273 0.178 6 143 44.31% 1.015 1.243 0.221 0.145 6 85 61.38% 1.308 1.351 0.318 0.210 6 129 58.08% 1.257 1.364 0.313 0.210 6 79 42.22% 0.973 1.248 0.221 0.147 6 59 38.02% 0.847 1.247 0.210 0.142 6 75 46.11% 0.961 1.303 0.253 0.172 6 55 33.83% 0.841 1.208 0.179 0.120 6 78 42.22% 0.976 1.246 0.218 0.145 6 89 44.91% 1.054 1.294 0.249 0.168 6 168 67.96% 1.413 1.397 0.356

Abbreviations: N = number of samples, PB = polymorphic bands %P = polymorphism Na = number of different alleles Ne = number of effective alleles, I = Shannon information index, He = Nei gene diversity, UHe = unbiased Nei gene diversity. Pop.: Population, MRZ: Marzanabad, ZNJ: Zanjan; BJN: Bojnord; QRV: Qorveh, LSH: Lowshan, ARD: Ardebil, AZD: Azadshahr; NOB: Nowbaran, KHL: Khalkhal, HMD: Hamedan, DHB: Dehbar, CHL: Chalous road, TKB: Takab, BRQ; Baraghan, SOL: Soleghan, ABS: Absard.



Fig. 2. A pie chart presenting AMOVA results. Genetic variance was hierarchically divided into two parts: 1. Among populations (28% of total genetic variance), 2. Within population (71% of total genetic variance), and among regions (1% of total genetic variance).

- Population structure

The UPGMA based clustering method of populations (Fig. 3) manifested a relatively wellstructured paradigm of genetic distances. The 16 studied populations of *E. major* were divided into two main clusters: Cluster I, populations 1 to 13, and cluster II composed of populations from Bojnord and Dehbar. The former cluster encompassed two large sub-clusters where in the first sub-cluster successful clustered for example, Hamedan and Qorveh or Lowshan and Chalous populations together. Also, populations from Markazi and Nobaran, and those from northwest of Iran (Takab, Zanjan, and Ardebil) were clustered together. Individuals of each population are mainly grouped together, and clustering of populations corresponding to their geographical location. However, discrepancy among individuals was observed in which individuals from Khalkhal and Borghan populations did group together mainly but not according to their geographical origins at the population level. Overall, the populations, to a significant degree, grouped close to their geographical locations, as detailed above. Further analysis with PCoA to ensure the accuracy of the grouping of the population in UPGMA revealed the absence of a grouping pattern in PCoA. Populations mainly clustered in one group (Fig. 4).



Fig. 3. Clustering of individuals of 16 *Ephedra major* populations based on UPGMA method using ISSR data. Pop.: population, MRZ: Marzanabad, ZNJ: Zanjan; BJN: Bojnord; QRV: Qorveh, LSH: Lowshan, ARD: Ardebil, AZD: Azadshahr, NOB: Nowbaran, KHL: Khalkhal, HMD: Hamedan, DHB: Dehbar, CHL: Chalous road, TKB: Takab, BRQ: Baraghan, SOL: Soleghan, ABS: Absard.



Fig. 4. Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of Ephedra major populations.

The analysis of Nei's genetic distance across 16 *E. major* populations are shown in Table 3. The genetic distances were the shortest between Soleghan and Takab (0.073) and between Absard and Marzanabad (0.083), while the highest genetic distance was between

Nowbaran, Khalkhal, and Hamedan with Baraghan (0.207, 0.210, and 0.221, respectively). The genetic relationship between populations was mainly not close to the geographical location of the populations.

-		1	1			U	¥		1		J 1	1				
MRZ	ZNJ	BJN	QRV	LSH	ARD	AZD	NOB	KHL	HMD	DHB	CHL	ТКВ	BRQ	SOL	ABS	
0.000																MRZ
0.100	0.000															ZNJ
0.104	0.122	0.000														BJN
0.098	0.099	0.108	0.000													QRV
0.130	0.165	0.150	0.130	0.000												LSH
0.103	0.151	0.140	0.126	0.144	0.000											ARD
0.097	0.134	0.108	0.132	0 161	0.130	0.000										AZD
0.132	0.149	0.183	0.137	0.187	0.156	0.182	0.000									NOB
0.095	0.148	0.141	0.130	0.170	0.132	0.130	0.138	0.000								KHL
0.134	0.139	0.144	0.098	0.178	0.159	0.177	0.183	0.153	0.000							HMD
0.119	0.139	0.103	0.121	0.140	0.126	0.108	0.163	0.154	0.164	0.000						DHB
0.111	0.128	0.147	0.116	0.172	0.146	0.114	0.186	0.153	0.154	0.126	0.000					CHL
0.103	0.145	0.122	0.141	0.160	0.091	0.106	0.186	0.150	0.178	0.102	0.146	0.000				TKB
0.155	0.166	0.148	0.158	0.181	0.156	0.144	0.207	0.210	0.221	0.128	0.158	0.128	0.000			BRQ
0.114	0.148	0.123	0.139	0.151	0.113	0.096	0.188	0.156	0.191	0.087	0.151	0.073	0.128	0.000		SOL
0.083	0.114	0.113	0.127	0.161	0.106	0.111	0.166	0.150	0.149	0.108	0.126	0.100	0.114	0.108	0.000	ABS

Pop.: population, MRZ: Marzanabad, ZNJ: Zanjan, BJN: Bojnord, QRV: Qorveh, LSH: Lowshan, ARD: Ardebil, AZD: Azadshahr, NOB: Nowbaran, KHL: Khalkhal, HMD: Hamedan, DHB: Dehbar, CHL: Chalous road, TKB: Takab, BRQ: Baraghan, SOL: Soleghan, ABS: Absard.

STRUCTURE analysis based on the Bayesian model utilized to investigate the genetic structure of 16 *E. major* populations. Evanno test indicated an optimum of K = 2, the highest clustering likelihood of molecular data (Table 4, Figs 5 and 6). Cluster I composed of 62 individuals of assumed populations from Hamedan, Qurveh, Zanjan, Khalkhal, Ardabil, Marzanabad, Loshwan, Azadshahr, Bojnord, and Nobaran; and cluster II encompassed 32 individuals covering six remaining populations including Takab, Dehbar, Soleghan, Chalous, Absard, and Baraghan. This result reflects the existence of two genetic population's type with a high degree of admixing of individuals in *E. major* populations collected from 16 various locations in Iran. The UPGMA and Bayesian analyses in this investigation revealed the presence of a relatively potent geographical affiliation of natural populations of *E. major*.

K	Reps	Mean LnP (K)	St-Dev. [L(K)]	L"/std.d	Ln'(K)	Ln" (K)	Delta K
1	20	-9858.505	0.1932	•	-	•	-
2	20	-9183.335	2.6731	-166.3957	675.174	-444.795	166.3957
3	20	-8952.960	6.2947	-6.93517	230.374	-43.655	6.93517
4	20	-8766.240	12.7416	-1.174105	186.721	-14.959	1.174105
5	20	-8594.480	2.6128	-65.73683	171.762	-171.76	65.73683
•	ПШ						
::	ΠΗΠ						
- <mark>-</mark>		<mark>┽_╤┸┥╖╹╖╹╖╹╖╹╖╹╖╹</mark>					Le .
- 1							
10 C 1							

Table 4. Value of the best K in the genetic structure of 16 populations of *Ephedra major* obtained using Bayesian model

Fig. 5. Estimated genetic structure of 16 populations of *E. major* obtained using Bayesian model. Bar plots showing the arrangement of individuals based on its most probable. The individuals grouped into genetic clusters.



Fig. 6. The map indicating the relationship between geographical location and genetic structure of 16 natural populations of *Ephedra major* collected from west, northwest, central Alborz and north east of Iran. Pop.: population, MRZ: Marzanabad, ZNJ: Zanjan, BJN: Bojnord, QRV: Qorveh, LSH: Lowshan, ARD: Ardebil, AZD: Azadshahr, NOB: Nowbaran, KHL: Khalkhal, HMD: Hamedan, DHB: Dehbar, CHL: Chalous road, TKB: Takab, BRQ: Baraghan, SOL: Soleghan, ABS: Absard.

To develop proper and effective management strategies for preserving the natural population of plant species, having a comprehensive understanding genetic relationship among populations of the species is critical which later can be combined with the knowledge on reproductive biology (Ghafoor et al. 2007, Neel 2008, Silva et al. 2011). The level of genetic diversity is determinative in the stability of the population besides helping to gain a profound grasp of the evolutionary history of a species (Booy et al. 2000, Latzel et al. 2013). Further, populations that possess a considerable level of genetic diversity or those with differentiation can be utilized to conserve and manage plant populations by reviving genetic diversity (Hamrick & Godt 1996, Prieto et al. 2015). Preservation of depleting genetic resources is urgently required to ascertain the long term demands and to ensure a sustainable supply of raw materials for drug and pharmaceutical industries. Taking the importance of such understandings into account, in this investigation, we utilized molecular markers, ISSR to

assess the genetic variability and population structure in 16 natural populations of E. major collected from western, northwestern, central Alborz and northeast of Iran covering highly heterogeneous environments. The generated molecular data indicated a significant level of diversity at the population level where the degree of genetic diversity varied significantly among populations possibly owing to the location of collected specimens from populations since a number of environmental and anthropological factors (e.g., excessive animal grazing and cutting shrubs) may endanger the natural generation process. Additionally, stems of E. major are valuable sources of medicinal constitutes (e.g. ephedrine), thus the risk of overexploitation of its populations by local inhabitants is notably high, as it has occurred in E. gerardiana L. (Pant et al. 2006, Bhatti & Vashishtha 2008). In a study on the genetic diversity of endangered E. gerardiana employing ISSR, Meena et al. (2016) unmasked a significant level of polymorphism among populations (P = 62.43%, H = 0.22, I = 0.33).

Analogously, genetic diversity in *Ephedra foliata* observed to be high (P = 49.44%, H = 0.18, I = 0.26) (Meena *et al.* 2016). In this study, the genetic diversity parameters (P = 67.96%, H = 0.35, I = 0.23), except for I, were higher than previous reports on *Ephedra* species. In consistence with our results, high genetic diversity using ISSR has been reported in many other gymnosperms (Liu *et al.* 2013, Wang *et al.* 2016, Chen *et al.* 2017, Semerdjieva *et al.* 2020) analyzed using ISSR markers.

Having such a high level of genetic diversity may have conferred significant environmental adaptability to E. major (Zhu et al. 2013) that can be located in heterogeneous geography. Gymnosperms mainly reported having high degrees of genetic diversity with a low level of population differentiation (Hamrick et al. 1992). Nonetheless, Gnetum parvifolium (Warb.) C.Y. Cheng ex Chun, a close relative of Ephedra, exhibited significant of genetic diversity and moderate intrapopulation genetic differentiation (Huang et al. 2010), which is predictable outcomes for perennial woody, relatively high longevity, outcrossing, and windpollinated species (Hamrick et al. 1992, Yang et al. addition to environmental factors, 2019). In characteristics of mating system, growth habit, dispersal strategies of pollen and seed can indeed influence genetic diversity in a species (Sun 1996, Ohsawa et al. 2008). The high level of genetic diversity in some populations of this study, Dehbar and Bojnord may reflect their small size and the fact that the decrement of population size has recently taken place. On the other hand, it has been suggested that an intact large population may have a higher level of genetic diversity as it was observed in populations of E. foliata (Meena et al. 2016). Gymnosperms are majorly relying on wind for pollination, whereas some are insect-pollinated, and Ephedra (e.g. E. major) uses both wind and insect for pollination (Rydin & Bolinder 2015, Semerdjieva et al. 2020).

In other species of *Ephedra*; *E. foliata*, an unbalanced ratio between male over female plants has been reported therefore when chances for the appropriate

seed setting is low, efficient seed production could be challenging (Singh 2004). Comprehensive field and genetic investigations to generate reliable information on the mating system of *E. major* are needed, since, for example, embryo abortion and therefore empty seed formation in conifer species are common owing to inbreeding depression (Williams & Savolainen 1996, Bower *et al.* 2007).

In the present study, within-population genetic diversity was significantly higher than among population genetic diversity which indicates that, genetic diversity mainly is preserved within populations and it's the major source of variation. High similarity among populations than within individuals can reflect that individuals formed the population had a high degree of interpopulation gene flow (Huang et al. 2014, Zhang et al. 2015, Zhao et al. 2017). High intra-population genetic diversity in E, major could be caused by its dependence on wind and insects for pollination, out-crossing, and pollen exchange among various populations. Generally, in on vegetative propagation (Posselt 2010, Shi et al. 2008). A higher percentage of intra-population genetic diversity in this study also can suggest that, plants from different had little, if none, gene exchange, while plants in one area had high gene exchange causing high intrapopulation genetic diversity against low inter-population genetic diversity. Using the clustering method, UPGMA, revealed the existence of relatively obvious geographical pattern among natural populations where individuals mainly placed in population and populations with close geographical distance clustered together. This pattern can mirror the critical role of environmental variables in shaping the genetic diversity of populations. Additionally, several other factors such as geographical limitation, location-specific environmental properties, habitat fragmentation, and erosion, can be responsible for this genetic differentiation (Tomimatsu & Ohara 2003, Oostermeijer & De Knegt 2004). While Bayesian clustering indicated a high admix population, however, to some extent, populations based on the geographical origin clustered together (Dhir & Singhvi 2012). Gene flow is a chief determinate in genetic diversity among and within populations that can be affected by geographical limitations, the information on gene flow in *E. major* populations can greatly contribute to improving our understanding of *E. major* species in Iran.

The high genetic diversity and relatively high genetic differentiation in natural populations of *E. major* all indicate the high adaptability of this species to a wide spectrum of climatic ranges. Additionally, the clustering of populations similar to their geological origin is another evidence for the dominant effect of climatic variables on controlling the distribution of *E. major* populations. Significant intrapopulation genetic diversity also reflects the influence of

References

- Agarwal, T., Gupta, A.K., Patel, A.K. & Shekhawat N.S. 2015. Micropropagation and validation of genetic homogeneity of *Alhagi maurorum* using SCoT, ISSR and RAPD markers. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 120: 313–323.
- Assadi, M. 1998. Flora of Iran: Ephedraceae, No. 22. Research Institute of Forest and Rangelands, Tehran, 58 pp.
- Bakhshipour, M., Mafakheri, M., Kordrostami, M., Zakir, A., Rahimi, N., Feizi, F. & Mohseni, M. 2019. In vitro multiplication, genetic fidelity and phytochemical potentials of *Vaccinium arctostaphylos* L.: An endangered medicinal plant. Industrial Crops and Products 141: 111812. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111812.
- Bhatti, V.P. & Vashishtha, D.P. 2008. Indigenous plants in traditional healthcare system in Kedarnath valley of western Himalaya. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge 7(2): 300–310.
- Booy, G., Hendriks, R., Smulders, M., Van Groenendael, J. & Vosman, B. 2000. Genetic diversity and the survival of populations. Plant Biology 2: 379–395.
- Bower, A.D. & Aitken S.N. 2007. Mating system and inbreeding depression in whitebark pine (*Pinus*

high out-crossing nature and sexual propagation of this species. The revealed admixed population structure call for more research on gene flow among these populations of *E. major*. This study seems to be the first attempt on using molecular markers, ISSR analyses genetic diversity, and population structure. To further unmask the underlying relationships among populations of *E. major* in Iran, utilizing more ISSR and increasing the number of the sampled population are highly recommended.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. Majid Eskandari for his help in collecting some plant materials.

albicaulis Engelm.). Tree Genetics & Genomes 3(4): 379–388.

- Chen, Y., Peng, Z., Wu, C., Ma, Z., Ding, G., Cao, G., Ruan, S. & Lin, S. 2017. Genetic diversity and variation of Chinese fir from Fujian province and Taiwan, China, based on ISSR markers. PLoS One 12(4): e0175571. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0175571.
- Costa, R., Pereira, G., Garrido, I., Tavares-de-Sousa, M.M. & Espinosa, F. 2016. Comparison of RAPD, ISSR, and AFLP molecular markers reveal and classify to orchard grass (Dactylis glomerata L.) Germplasm Variations. PLoS One 11(4): e0152972. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0152972.
- Dhir, R.P. & Singhvi, A.K. 2012. The Thar Desert and its antiquity. Current Science 102(7): 1001–1008.
- Doyle, J. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochemical Bulletin 19(1): 11–15.
- Ehtesham-Gharaee, M., Hoseini, B.A., Hassanzadeh Khayyat, M., Emami, S.A., Asili, J., Shakeri, A., Hassani, M., Ansari, A., Arabzadeh, S., Kasaian, J. & Behravan, J. 2017. Essential oil diversity and molecular characterization of *Ephedra* species using RAPD analysis. Research Journal of Pharmacognosy (RJP) 4(3): 21–27.

- Ellegren, H. & Galtier, N. 2016. Determinants of genetic diversity. Nature Reviews Genetics 17: 422–433.
- Ferreira, V., Matos, M., Correia, S., Martins, N., Gonçalves, S., Romano, A. & Pinto-Carnide, O. 2013. Genetic diversity of two endemic and endangered *Plantago* species. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology 51: 37–44.
- Ghafoor, S., Shah, M., Ahmad, H., Swati, Z., Shah, S., Pervez, A. & Farooq, U. 2007. Molecular characterization of *Ephedra* species found in Pakistan. Genetic and Molecular Research 6(4): 1123–1130.
- Gerard, J., Oostermeijer, B. & de Knegt, B. 2004. Genetic population structure of the windpollinated, dioecious shrub *Juniperus communis* in fragmented Dutch heathlands. Plant Species Biology 19(3): 175–184.
- Gul, R., Jan, S.U., Faridullah, S., Sherani, S. & Jahan, N.
 2017. Preliminary phytochemical screening, quantitative analysis of alkaloids, and antioxidant activity of crude plant extracts from *Ephedra intermedia* indigenous to Balochistan. The Scientific World Journal: 5873648. doi:10.1155/2017/5873648.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D.A., David, A.T. & Ryan, P.D. 2001. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis, 4: 1–9. http://palaeo-lectronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm.
- Hamrick, J.L., Godt, M.J.W. & Sherman-Broyles, S.L. 1992. Factors Influencing Levels of Genetic Diversity in Woody Plant Species. Pp. 95–124. *In*: Population Genetics of Forest Trees, Springer, Berlin.
- Hamrick. J.L. & Godt, M.J.W.1996. Effects of life history traits on genetic diversity in plant species. Philosophical Transactions Biological Sciences 351: 1291–1298.
- Hanyu, J., Wei, L. & Sheng, L. 2006. Relationship analysis of five species in the genus Ephedra L. by RAPD. Journal of Gansu Agricultural University 6: 49–52.

- Huang, C.L., Ho, C.W., Chiang, Y.C., Shigemoto, Y., Hsu, T.W., Hwang, C.C., Ge, X.J., Chen, C., Wu, T.H., Chou, C.H., Huang, H.J., Gojobori, T., Osada, N. & Chiang, T.Y. 2014. Adaptive divergence with gene flow in incipient speciation of *Miscanthus floridulus/sinensis* complex (Poaceae). The Plant Journal 80(5): 834–847.
- Huang, J., Giannasi, D.E. & Price, R.A. 2005. Phylogenetic relationships in *Ephedra* (Ephedraceae) inferred from chloroplast and nuclear DNA sequences. Molecular Phylogenetic and Evolution 35: 48–59.
- Huang, S., Hu, Y., Wu, D., Tian, Q. & Li, H.Q. 2010. Genetic diversity of *Gnetum parvifolium* of Fujian by ISSR markers. Guihania 30 (5): 601–607.
- Ickert-Bond, S.M. & Renner, S.S. 2016. The Gnetales: recent insights on their morphology, reproductive biology, chromosome numbers, biogeography, and divergence times. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 54: 1–16.
- Jaradat, N., Hussen, F. & Al Ali, A. 2015. Preliminary phytochemical screening, quantitative estimation of total flavonoids, total phenols and antioxidant activity of *Ephedra alata* Decne. Journal of Materials and Environmental Science 6: 1771–1778.
- Latzel, V., Allan, E., Silveira, A.B., Colot, V., Fischer, M. & Bossdorf, O. 2013. Epigenetic diversity increases the productivity and stability of plant populations. Nature Communications 4: 1–7.
- Lee, C.H. & Lee, H.S. 2009. Growth inhibiting activity of quinaldic acid isolated from *Ephedra pachyclada* against intestinal bacteria. Journal of the Korean Society for Applied Biological Chemistry 52: 331–335
- Lee, S.R., Choi, J.E., Lee, B.Y., Yu, J.N. & Lim, C.E. 2018. Genetic diversity and structure of an endangered medicinal herb: implications for conservation. AoB Plants. 10(2): ply021. doi: 10.1093/aobpla/ply021.

- Lienert, J. 2004. Habitat fragmentation effects on fitness of plant populations - a review. Journal of Natural Conservation 12: 53–72.
- Liu, J., Shi, S., Chang, E., Yang, W. & Jiang, Z. 2013. Genetic diversity of the critically endangered *Thuja sutchuenensis* revealed by ISSR markers and the implications for conservation. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 14(7): 14860–14871.
- Luther, J.M. 2012. Drug-induced Autonomic Dysfunction. Pp. 511–514. *In*: Primer on the Autonomic Nervous System. Elsevier, Berlin.
- Mafakheri, M., Kordrostami, M., Rahimi, M. & Matthews, P.D. 2020. Evaluating genetic diversity and structure of a wild hop (*Humulus lupulus* L.) germplasm using morphological and molecular characteristics. Euphytica 216: 58. doi: 10.1007/s10681-020-02592-z.
- Meena, B., Singh, N., Mahar, K.S., Sharma, Y.K. & Rana, T.S. 2019. Molecular analysis of genetic diversity and population genetic structure in *Ephedra foliata*: an endemic and threatened plant species of arid and semi-arid regions of India. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants 25: 753–764.
- Meena, B., Tiwari, V., Singh, N., Mahar, K.S., Sharma, Y.K. & Rana, T.S. 2016. Estimation of genetic variability and population structure in *Ephedra* gerardiana Wall. ex Stapf (Ephedraceae): An endangered and endemic high altitude medicinal plant. Agri Gene 1: 116–125.
- Méndez, M., Vögeli, M., Tella, J.L. & Godoy, J.A. 2014.
 Joint effects of population size and isolation on genetic erosion in fragmented populations: finding fragmentation thresholds for management. Evolutionary Applications 7(4): 506–518.
- Morales, R.G.F., Resende, J.T.V., Faria, M.V., Andrade, M.C., Resende, L.V., Delatorre, C.A. & da Silva, P.R. 2011. Genetic similarity among strawberry cultivars assessed by RAPD and ISSR markers. Scientia Agricola 68: 665–670.

- Neel, M.C. 2008. Patch connectivity and genetic diversity conservation in the federally endangered and narrowly endemic plant species *Astragalus albens* (Fabaceae). Biological Conservation 141: 938–955.
- Ohsawa, T., Saito, Y., Sawada, H. & Ide, Y. 2008. Impact of altitude and topography on the genetic diversity of *Quercus serrata* populations in the Chichibu Mountains, central Japan Flora. Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants 203: 187–196.
- Owens J.N., Hardev, V. & Eckenwalder, J.E. 1990. Sex expression in gymnosperms. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 9: 281–294.
- Pant, S., Samant & S.S. 2006. Diversity, distribution, uses and conservation status of plant species of the Mornaula Reserve Forests, West Himalaya, India. The International Journal of Biodiversity Science and Management 2: 97–104.
- Parsaeimehr, A., Sargsyan, E. & Javidnia, K. 2010. A comparative study of the antibacterial, antifungal and antioxidant activity and total content of phenolic compounds of cell cultures and wild plants of three endemic species of *Ephedra*. Molecules 15: 1668–1678.
- Peakall, R. & Smouse, P.E. 2006. GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. Journal of Molecular Ecology Notes 6: 288–295.
- Podani, J. 2000. Introduction to the exploration of multivariate biological data. Backhuys Publishers, 351 pp.
- Posselt, U.K. 2010. Breeding Methods in Crosspollinated Species. Pp. 39–87. In: Fodder Crops and Amenity Grasses, Springer, Berlin.
- Prieto, I., Violle, C., Barre, P., Durand, J.L., Ghesquiere, M. & Litrico, I. 2015. Complementary effects of species and genetic diversity on productivity and stability of sown grasslands. Nature Plants 1: 15033. https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2015.33.

- Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155(2): 945–959.
- Qin, A.L., Wang, M.M., Cun, Y.Z., Yang, F.S., Wang, S.S., Ran, J.H. & Wang, X.Q. 2013. Phylogeographic evidence for a link of species divergence of *Ephedra* in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau and adjacent regions to the Miocene Asian aridification. PloS one 8(2): e56243. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056243.
- Riedl, H. 1967. *Ephedra. In*: K.H. Rechinger (ed.), Flora Iranica Vol. 3. Akademische Druck- und Verlagsanstalt. Graz.
- Rong-li, D., Yong-hong, M., Xia W. & Qing-hua, L. 2003. RAPD analysis of three species of *Ephedra* Tourn. ex L. growing in Xinjiang. Chinese Traditional and Herbal Drugs (9): 861–862.
- Rustaiyan, A., Javidnia, K., Farjam, M.H., Aboee-Mehrizi & F. & Ezzatzadeh, E. 2011. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of the *Ephedra sarcocarpa* growing in Iran. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research 5: 4251–4255.
- Rydin, C., Khodabandeh, A. & Endress, P.K. 2010. The female reproductive unit of *Ephedra* (Gnetales): comparative morphology and evolutionary perspectives. Botanical Journal of Linnean Society 163: 387–430.
- Rydin, C. & Bolinder, K. 2015. Moonlight pollination in the gymnosperm *Ephedra* (Gnetales). Biological Letters 11(4): 20140993. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2014.0993.
- Saeed, S., Barozai, Y.K., Ahmed, A., Tareen, R.B., Ali, G.M., Shehzad, A. & Begum, S. 2015. Genetic diversity of *Ephedra procera* from high altitudes of Quetta valley, Balochistan using RAPD and ISSR. Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Research 21(2): 163–172.
- Semerdjieva, I., Petrova, G., Yankova-Tsvetkova, E., Doncheva, T., Kostova, N., Nikolova, R. & Zheljazkov, V.D. 2020. Genetic diversity, reproductive capacity and alkaloids content in

three endemic *Alkanna* species. PLoS One 15(6): e0233516. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0233516.

- Shi, W., Yang, C.F., Chen, J.M. & Guo, Y.H. 2008. Genetic variation among wild and cultivated populations of the Chinese medicinal plant *Coptis chinensis* (Ranunculaceae). Plant Biology 10(4): 485–491.
- Silva, L., Elias, R.B., Moura, M., Meimberg, H. & Dias, E.J. 2011. Genetic variability and differentiation among populations of the Azorean endemic gymnosperm *Juniperus brevifolia*: baseline information for a conservation and restoration perspective. Biochemical Genetics 49(11–12): 715–734.
- Singh, A. 2004. Endangered economic species of Indian desert. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 51: 371–380.
- Sun, M. 1996. Effects of population size, mating system,
 and evolutionary origin on genetic diversity in
 Spiranthes sinensis and S. hongkongensis.
 Conservation Biology 10: 785–795.
- Takeuchi, V.M., Nakashima, A., Mizukami, H., Hiraoka, N. & Kohda, H. 2003. RAPD analysis of *Ephedra* plants. Natural medicines 57: 50–54.
- Tomimatsu, H. & Ohara, M. 2003. Genetic diversity and local population structure of fragmented populations of *Trillium camschatcense* (Trilliaceae). Biological Conservation 109: 249–258.
- Vidakovi, M. 1991. Conifers: Morphology and Variation. Grafi ko Zavod Hrvatske, Zagreb, 754 pp.
- Wang, T., Wang, Z., Xia, F. & Su, Y. 2016. Local adaptation to temperature and precipitation in naturally fragmented populations of *Cephalotaxus oliveri*, an endangered conifer endemic to China. Scientific Reports 6: 25031.
- Weising, K., Nybom, H., Wolff, K. & Kahl, G. 2005. DNA fingerprinting in plants: principles, methods, and applications. Annals of Botany 97(3): 476–477.

- Williams, C.G. & Savolainen, O. 1996. Inbreeding depression in conifers: implications for breeding strategy. Forest Science 42(1): 102–117.
- Yang, S., Xue, S., Kang, W., Qian, Z. & Yi, Z. 2019. Genetic diversity and population structure of *Miscanthus lutarioriparius*, an endemic plant of China. PLoS One 14: e0211471. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0211471.
- Zhang, J., Xie, W., Wang, Y. & Zhao, X. 2015. Potential of start codon targeted (SCoT) markers to estimate genetic diversity and relationships among

Chinese *Elymus sibiricus* accessions. Molecules 20(4): 5987–6001.

- Zhao, Y., Basak, S., Fleener, C.E., Egnin, M., Sacks, E.J., Prakash, C.S. & He, G. 2017. Genetic diversity of *Miscanthus sinensis* in US naturalized populations. Global Change Biology Bioenergy 9: 965–972.
- Zhu, T., Jin, L., Cui, Z., Zhang, X. & Wu, D. 2013. Genetic relationship analysis of *Ephedra intermedia* from different habitat in Gansu by ISSR analysis. Journal of Chinese Medicinal Materials 36(9): 1397–1401.

ROSIA