## **Research Article**

# Seasonal variations in diet and feeding habits of *Saurida* tumbil (Bloch, 1795), *Netuma thalassina* (Rüppell, 1837) and *Pomadasys kaakan* (Cuvier, 1830) in the northern coasts of the Oman Sea

## Vahabnezhad A.<sup>1\*</sup>; Taghavi motlagh S.A.<sup>1</sup>; Ghodrati shojaei M.<sup>2</sup>

Received: December 2020

Accepted: February 2021

#### Abstract

The aim of the present study was to explore seasonal variations in the diet of Saurida tumbil, Netuma thalassina and Pomadasys kaakan in the Iranian coasts of Oman Sea during 2018-2019. These abundant fishes share same habitats in the Oman Sea .Diet analysis including frequency of occurrence of prey, feeding intensity index, the index of empty stomachs and length weight relationship for 1166 individual were calculated.Based on Gastrosomatic (GaSI) and vacuity index, the maximum feeding intensity was noticed for S.tumbil and P. kaakan during post monsoon and for N. thalassina in pre monsoon time. S. tumbil showed the lowest GaSI index and the highest empty stomachs in monsoon. The findings of food composition indicated remarkable dietary overlap between species in this research, especially between N. thalassina and P.kaakan on decapods, gastropods and fishes (dominant prey) during all seasons. For S. tumbil, the most important prey items were fishes, following, cephalopod and penaeidae and it was determined that the feeding intensity of P. kaakan and N. thalassina could not be altered by monsoon but remarkable seasonal variation was noticed in their diet composition contents. The results showed that the premonsoon, monsoon and post-monsoon periods have significant effects on the diet composition of all three species under study, which could be explained by changes in resource abundance, availability and diversity of prey.

Keywords: Feeding intensity, Food composition, Monsoon, Oman Sea

<sup>1-</sup>Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute (IFSRI), Agricultural Research Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Tehran, Iran

<sup>2-</sup>Department of Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Science, Tarbiat Modares University, Noor, Iran.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's Email: avn9400@gmail.com

### Introduction

The Sea of Oman (Gulf of Makran or Sea of Makran) is a gulf that connects the Arabian Sea with the Strait of Hormuz, which then runs to the Persian Gulf.The Strait of Hormuz is a strait between the Persian Gulf and the Sea of Oman. It provides the only sea passage from the Persian Gulf to the open ocean and is one of the world's most strategically important choke points (Jedari Attari *et al.*, 2018).

Seasonal changes are the important features of marine and freshwater populations. ecosystems regulating communities and finally ecosystem processes by modifying the connectivity to habitat (Junk et al., 1989). The well known feature of the Oman Sea is the seasonal upwelling along the Iranian coast, with a peak in February-March, while the onset of the northeast monsoonal winds could happen in December (Piontkovski et al., 2012).

In the past decade many surveys have been conducted to study the biological characteristics of marine species during seasonal changes linked with monsoon in the Oman Sea (Toutouni et al., 2016, Eatemadi et al., 2012). Survey on feeding behavior of marine species not only provides useful information on trophic relationship between species, but also revealed ecosystem functioning by evaluating resource use efficiency (Chea *et al.*, 2017). On the other hand, survey on feeding behavior of marine fish species could be used to develop the management and conservation strategies framework for sustainable exploitation of multi-species ecosystems (Aziz et al., 2006). Some species have been shown alteration to their diet across each season as a result of variations in the connectivity to fluctuation habitats. Thus, variations in diet composition, can reflect changes in the availability and the amount of food resources (Hahn et al., 2004), however according to Heng et al. (2018) same resources can be shared by several species and that each species can successively exploit dissimilar resources during the lifetime.

Lizardfishes (Saurida tumbil. family: Synodontidae), Giant Marinecatfish (Netuma thalassina) family: Ariidae) and javelin grunt (Pomadasys kaakan. family: Haemulidae), are important demersal fishery resources worldwide (Valinassab et al., 2006). According to (Taghavi Motlagh, 2019), S.tumbil and Р. kaakan are commercially important, which targeted by Iranian fishermen in the Oman Sea, and constituted 0.44% and 0.70% of annual catch of Oman sea, on the contrary, N. thalassina, directly not targeted by fishermen in spite of its higher (4.15%) amount of catch.

Many reaserches have been conducted to explain feeding behavior of *S.tumbil* (Barakzaii *et al.*, 2011, Vahabnezhad, 2015), *N. thalassina* (Alimohammadi et al., 2020, Cheraghi et al., 2013) and *P. kaakan* (Valinassab et al., 2011, Azhir et al., 2007). In the Oman sea, seasonal variation in feeding intensity of these species have been faced a least attention so far (Manojkumar and Pavithran, 2016). The aim of this study was to describe feeding habit and seasonal variations of the diet, of *S.tumbil, N. thalassina* and *P. kaakan*. These fishes are distributed within the Oman sea during the whole year and are known to occur in similar habitats, thus providing potential for inter specific competition.

#### Materials and methods

Sampling was performed from commercial fish landings off the coastal waters of Sistan and Baluchistan in periods the three of monsoon phenomenon in the Oman Sea, ie, before the monsoon (March-April), monsoon (June-September) and after the monsoon (October-February) in 2018 and 2019 (Fig. 1).




Figure 1: Location of fish sampling stations in the northern Oman Sea.

Altogether, 1166 specimens were collected randomly from 10 fish landing stations. Each specimen was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm fork length (FL), to the nearest 0.1 g for both body mass ( $M_B$ ) and 0.01 g for stomach content weight.

The digestive organ of individuals were separated and preserved in a 4% formalin solution. Back in the laboratory, the contents of each stomach and foregut were examined under а microscope and further taxonomic identification was done using identification guides (Silva et al., 2006, Asadi and Dehghani, 1996, Jereb and Roper, 2005).

The stomach contents were analyzed by numerical methods as described by (Hyslop, 1980) and feeding indices were evaluated using Equations I, II, III and IV (Biswas, 1993):

(I) The Vacuity Index (VI) (%)=

(Number of empty stomachs) /(Number of total stomachs)×100

- (II) Frequency of occurrence (%F)= (number of stomachs in which an especial food item was found/total number of full stomachs) ×100
- (III) Percentage of numerical abundance (%N)= (number of each prey item in all full stomachs/total number of food items observed)  $\times$ 100
- (IV) The monthly Gastrosomatic index
   (GaSI) was calculated as:
   GaSI=(fresh weight of stomach/ total fresh weight of fish) ×100

The relationship between length and body mass for combined sex was calculated

using:  $M_B = a L_F^b$  (Equation V),

where a is a scaling constant and b is the allometric growth parameter (Beverton and Holt, 1993).

All data was analyzed using Graphpad

Prism version 8.4.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). To verify if b for each species is significantly different from the predictions assigned for isometric growth (b = 3), student t-test comparison was performed (Pauly and Gayanilo, 1996).

To compare the difference in vacuity index among seasons and months of GaSI, a global ANOVA-test was used Zar (1999).

## Results

Table 1 presents the results of the fish biometry and preliminary analysis of the stomach condition.

Fork length and weight of *S.tumbil* were ranged from 11 cm to 50 cm and from 12.25 to 1140 g , respevtively (Table 1). The results of stomach content analysis and feeding intensity (40<VI<60) showed that *S.tumbil* is moderately feeder fish (53.24%) . The percentage of empty stomachs of *S.tumbil* showed higher Vacuity Index in summer (48%) and lower in autumn (19%).

Species	N	Length range (cm)	Mean FL±SD	Weight range (g)	Mean M <sub>B</sub> ±S D	Empty stomachs
S. tumbil	470	11-50	33.12±0.32	12.25 -1140	413.15±0.32	53.3%
N. thalassina P. kaakan	358 338	17.5-64 18.5-63	45.74±9.50 34.9±7.04	107.4 -4000 112 -3612	1123.65±2.2 787.37±0.25	48% 57.7%

 Table 1: Range, Mean ±SD of length and weight and stomach condition in the Northern coasts of

 the Omen See

The lower mean of Gastro-somatic index  $(1.65\pm0.06)$  for *S.tumbil* was observed during the summer (August) whereas maximum  $(2\pm0.1)$  was recorded in Spring (May) (Fig. 2).There was significant variation (p < 0.05) in GaSI values in different months .

The results of frequency (F%) and numerical (N%) occurrence of food items in the stomach content of *S.tumbil* are listed in Table 2. Seasonal variations of the major food items (Fish, cephalopod and penaeidae) were noticed with higher occurrence of fishes (F%=73) (F>50). *Nemipterus* sp., *Saurida* sp., *Trichiurus* sp., *Upeneus* sp., *Platycephalus* sp., Sardine and Ponyfishes were identified in the stomachs of *S.tumbil*. According to the results, fishes formed the dominant dietary of *S. tumbil* throughout the year. Among fish species, *Trichiurus* sp., was the dominant food item found in the stomach of *S. tumbil*, Penaeidae (F%=36.42) and cephalopod (F%=25.36) (10<F<50) were considered as secondary prey species except during summer.




Figure 2: Monthly variations in mean Gastro somatic index of s. Tumbil.

Table 2: Seasonal variation in frequency	(F%) and numerical (N%) occurrence of food items in the
stomach contents of S. Tumbil.	

	Season							
Food items	Autumn (N=143)		Winter (N=75)		Spring (N=190)		Summer (N=62)	
	%F	%N	%F	%N	%F	%N	%F	%N
Nemipterus sp.	2.16	0.52	2.34	0.86	2.03	0.63	0.67	0.06
<i>Saurida</i> sp.	5.00	6.73	12.21	5.51	16.95	4.52	6.08	3.22
Trichiurus sp.	1.87	0.25	37.22	22.07	12.70	31.30	-	-
Upeneus sp.	4.23	2.55	2.69	0.81	3.58	0.81	0.71	0.07
Platycephalus sp.	-	-	-	-	5.43	0.54	-	-
Mullet fish	29.56	35.36	-	-	0.74	0.76	-	-
Sardine	35.56	46.44	9.13	7.17	4.26	2.09	-	-
Ponyfishes	10.70	10.63	-	-	2.13	0.46	0.81	0.05
Penaeidae	22.47	18.80	1.15	3.33	12.8	8.39	-	-
Cephalopod	20.47	16.39	4.15	0.87	0.74	0.60	-	-
Squilla mantis	65.43	50.71	25.06	28.98	23.97	11.74	-	

The length-weight relationship for *S*. tumbil were estimated as  $M_B = 0.0087FL^{3.05}$  (R<sup>2</sup>=0.97, *t*-test, *p*>0.05) (Fig. 3). Fork length of *N. thalassina* ranged from 17.50 cm to 64 cm, weight varied from 107.40 to 4000 g (Table 1). Results of stomach contents and feeding intensity analysis showed that *N. thalassina* was relatively edacious feeder (37.97%). The percentage of empty stomachs in different seasons

showed lower Vacuity Index in Winter (14.10%) and higher in Autumn (25.14%).




Figure 3: Length-weight relationship for s. tumbil from Sea of Oman.

Monthly mean variation of Gastrosomatic index For *N. thalassina* showed lower index  $(0.51\pm0.01)$  during the autumn season (December) and maximum  $(1.26\pm0.08)$  were observed in Spring (May) (Fig. 4). The mean GaSi was significantly different in different months (p<0.05).




Figure 4: Monthly variations in mean Gastro somatic index of N. Thalassina

Frequency of prey in gut contents of *N*. *thalassina* showed decapod with F=50.79% as a major food items throughout the year whereas, fishes (F%=38.91), echinoderms (F%=35.73),

cephalopods (F%=0.07), bivalves and gastropods (F%=23.78) and sea weeds (F%=24.33) could be considerd as a secondary food items except in summer. The family of Portunidae and

Fishes (Carangid, Mullet and Sardine) were found in the stomach contents of *N. thalassina* in all seasons (Table 3).

The length-weight relationship for the combined sexes of *N. thalassina* was calculated as  $M_B = 0.0105FL^{3.16}$  (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.95) (Fig. 5).

 Table 3: Seasonal variation in frequency (F%) and numerical occurrence (N%) of food items in stomach contents of N. thalassina

Season							
Autumn (N=128)		Winter (N=40)		Spring (N=140)		Summer (N=50)	
%F	%N	%F	%N	%F	%N	%F	%N
-	-	14.28	1.9	25.55	34.45	18.32	9.45
47.5	24.07	38.9	31.42	49.28	24.05	19.99	6.48
37.5	14.07	18.9	31.42	39.28	14.05	9.99	6.48
20	8	9	12	11	6	2.99	6
10	4	7	10	16	5	5	-
5.5	1.07	2.9	9.42	10.28	3.05	2	0.48
2	1	-	-	2	-	-	-
15.17	2.31	14.34	2.58	20.47	16.39	0.81	0.64
12.5	8.39	55.01	40.71	22.71	20	-	-
25	11.73	-	-	80.99	50.71	-	-
40.87	34.56	30.17	21.17	1.97	1.02	-	-
	%F           -         47.5           37.5         20           10         5.5           2         15.17           12.5         25	%F         %N           47.5         24.07           37.5         14.07           20         8           10         4           5.5         1.07           2         1           15.17         2.31           12.5         8.39           25         11.73	%F         %N         %F           -         -         14.28           47.5         24.07         38.9           37.5         14.07         18.9           20         8         9           10         4         7           5.5         1.07         2.9           2         1         -           15.17         2.31         14.34           12.5         8.39         55.01           25         11.73         -	Autumn (N=128)Winter (N=40) $\%F$ $\%N$ $\%F$ $9\%N$ 14.281.947.524.0738.931.4237.514.0718.931.422089121047105.51.072.99.422115.172.3114.342.5812.58.3955.0140.712511.73	Autumn (N=128)Winter (N=40)Spring $%F$ $%N$ $%F$ $%N$ $%F$ 14.281.925.5547.524.0738.931.4249.2837.514.0718.931.4239.2820891211104710165.51.072.99.4210.2821215.172.3114.342.5820.4712.58.3955.0140.7122.712511.7380.99	Autumn (N=128)Winter (N=40)Spring (N=140) $%F$ $%N$ $%F$ $%N$ $%F$ $%N$ 14.281.925.5534.4547.524.0738.931.4249.2824.0537.514.0718.931.4239.2814.052089121161047101655.51.072.99.4210.283.05212-15.172.3114.342.5820.4716.3912.58.3955.0140.7122.71202511.7380.9950.71	%F%N%F%N%F%N%F14.281.925.5534.4518.3247.524.0738.931.4249.2824.0519.9937.514.0718.931.4239.2814.059.992089121162.9910471016555.51.072.99.4210.283.05221215.172.3114.342.5820.4716.390.8112.58.3955.0140.7122.7120-2511.7380.9950.71-




Figure 5: Length-weight relationship for N. thalassina from Sea of Oman.

The fork length of *P. kaakan* ranged from 18.50 cm to 63 cm, their weights varied from 112 to 3612 g (Table 1). The results of stomach contents and feeding intensity analysis showed that *P. kaakan* is a moderate feeder species (57.69%)(40<VI<60). The percentage of Vacuity Index showed lower percentage in the winter (14.10%) and higher in autumn (25.14%).

The lower mean of Gastro-somatic index was observed  $(0.73\pm0.16)$  during the Spring season (May) whereas the maximum (1±0.21) was recorded in Autumn (November) (Fig. 6). The mean GaSi in different months were significantly different (*p* 0<0.05). Table 4 shows the result of stomach contents analysis of *P. kaakan* which comprise of fishes (F%=50.91) and decopod (F%=50) as a main diet. The frequency of decapods prey such as *Epialthidae, Portunidae* and *Xanthidae* 

were different throughout the year but *Portunidae* was predominant. Based on the frequency occurrence, Bivalves and Gastropods (F%=35.91) were considered as minor prey species and other negligible diet groups were echinoderms, mantis shrimp and cephalopod.




Figure 6: Monthly variations in mean Gastro somatic index of P. Kaakan.

 Table 4: Seasonal variation in frequency of occurrence (F%) and numerical composition (N%) of food items in *p. kaakan*

	Season							
Food items	Autumn (N=103)		Winter (N=57)		Spring (N=110)		Summer (N=68)	
	%F	%N	%F	%N	%F	%N	%F	%N
Nemipterus sp.	10.47	6.39	2.07	0.39	2.33	1.02	2.67	0.34
<i>Saurida</i> sp.	1.41	0.79	0.71	0.95	-	-	0.38	0.14
<i>Trichiurus</i> sp.	88.61	31.48	61.18	24.43	47.79	11.62	6.03	0.73
Sillaginidae	3.61	2.69	5.21	7.11	-	-	-	-
Blenniidae	-	-	-	-	0.71	0.11	0.81	0.37
Upeneus sp.	13.00	16.68	6.52	12.66	8.03	2.11	-	-
Platycephalus sp.	0.65	0.07	-	-	0.81	0.37	-	-
Mullet	5.71	3.67	1.52	0.33	0.68	-	-	-
Sardine	1.41	0.23	0.71	0.95	1.75	1.10	-	-
Ponyfishes	-	-	0.71	0.48	-	-	-	-
Penaeidae	9.16	2.34	1.17	0.76	0.71	0.19	0.71	0.98
Cephalopod	2.60	1.44	0.62	0.07	1.85	1.04	-	-
Decapods	85.68	44.40	50.42	15.33	49.28	18.40	13.5	0.2
Bivalves and	25.00	29.09	(5.12	50 71	20.20	10.05	22.07	11 74
Gastropods	25.06	28.98	65.43	50.71	29.20	19.95	23.97	11.74
Echinoderms	-	-	2.6	0.87	-	-	-	-
Squilla mantis	1.48	0.15	0.71	0.19	-	-	-	-

The length-weight relationship for the combined sexes for *P. kaakan* were calculated as,  $M_B = 0.0035FL^{2.78}$  (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.98) (Fig. 7).

Feeding index, comparison between species (Seasonal variations in mean Gastro-somatic index)

> 4000 MB = 0.0358FL<sup>2.78</sup> 3500  $R^2 = 0.985$ n=338 3000 2500 2000 Meight 2000 1500 1000 500 0 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 Length (cm)

Figure 7: Length-weight relationship for *p. kaakan* from Sea of Oman.




Figure 8: Sesonal variations in mean Gastro somatic index  $(\pm SD)$  of *S.tumbil*, *p. kaakan* and *N. thalassina* in the Oman Sea.

As provided Fig. 9, crustacean constituted the most food composition of the three fish species under study,

followed by fishes, gastropods, cephalopods and seaweeds.

Figure 8, demonstrated the

in mean Gastro-somatic variations index for the species under studied based on monsoon's season. According to results, the maximum feeding intensity (GaSI) during post monsoon was belonged to S.tumbil (2.3%) and P. kaakan (1%) while for N. thalassina the maximum were observed in pre monsoon period (1.26%).




Figure 9: Diet composition of S. tumbil, P. kaakan and N. thalassina in the Oman Sea.

According to the results, fishes were constituted an important part of the diet composition of *S.tumbil* throughout the year and their occurrence peak was observed during post monsoon period, the occurrence of fish in the diet of this species was lower in pre monsoon. *Nemipterus* sp., *Saurida* sp., *Upeneus* sp. and ponyfishes were lower in the diet composition of the *S.tumbil* during monsoon.

Fishes with 13.48% during postmonsoon and decapods with 85.68% during pre monsoon were the most frequent items, whereas small fishes, cephalopods and penaeids were absent in the stomach contents of *P. kaakan*.

Fishes were contributed major food items throughout the year in the stomach contents of *N. thalassina*, and their occurrence peak was observed during pre monsoon period (%F=39.28). Moreover cephalopods and echinoderms were absent in the stomach contents during monsoon period. Mean number of prey items in the stomach contents of *N. thalassina* among the seasons was significantly different (p<0.05).

#### Discussion

The results of the present study suggest high dietary overlap between three species under study (Fig. 9). The percentage of empty stomachs was calculated as, 53.3%, 48% and 57.7% for S.tumbil, N. thalassina and P. kakkan during study period. respectively. According to the results, the maximum feeding intensity for S.tumbil and P. kaakan were appeared during post monsoon and for N. thalassina in pre monsoon. S.tumbil has showed lowest GaSI index with empty stomachs in monsoon, similar to the finding of Barakzaii et al. (2011) in northern Oman Sea waters . The results of the present study showed highest VI index during summer season for s.tumbil. According to Mirzaei et al.

(2015) spawning of *S.tumbil* occurs at the summer (August-September) and the high number of the empty stomachs of *S.tumbil* during summer could be attributed to the spawning time, when the fish usually decrease their feeding activity and use their lipid reserves (Sakamoto and McCormick, 2006, Lizama and Ambrosio, 2002).

The highest feeding intensity in fall and lowest in summer of this species in the northern part of the Persian Gulf were pointed out by Vahabnezhad *et al.* (2013) which is in conformity with the results of the present study.

According to the results it appeared that monsoon condition do not alter the feeding intensity of *P. kaakan* and *N. thalassina*. The lowest GaSI index for *N. thalassina* was observed in premonsoon and for *P. kaakan* was in post monsoon which coincided with the peak of their spawning period (Kamali *et al.*, 2004). The explanation for decreasing feeding activity during spawning time might be related to seasonal changes in water temperature and foraging behavior of the fish (Sakamoto and McCormick, 2006).

The identification of prey items revealed that the most important prey taken by *P. kaakan* and *N. thalassina* were decapods, gastropods and fishes throughout the year. Meanwhile the most important prey for *S. tumbil* was mostly fishes, *Upeneus* sp., *Trichiurus* sp., *Nemipterus* sp. and sardine. Based on the results it could be concluded that the *S. tumbil* has the carnivorous diet behavior, feeds on wide range of fishes, which is consistent with the findings of

Barakzaii (Barakzaii et al., 2011) in the Oman Waters. The finding of the present study showed that small pelagic and demersal fishes like ponyfishes, mullet and sardine were absent or negligible in the diet of S. tumbil during the monsoon season. A possible explanation may be due to drop in the zooplankton phytoplankton and biomass in coastal waters during monsoon (Gal et al., 2016).

Based on the stomach contents analysis. Р. kaakan could be categorized as carnivorous fish species in which the main diet composition were, decapod, gastropod and fishes. Cephalopod and other benthose group were found to be a minor prey species in the diet of this species. The finding of present study is in agreement with Cheraghi et al. (2013) results, which mentioned that P. kaakan feeds mostly on crustaceans (crab and shrimp), fish, mollusks (bivalves, gastropods and cuttlefish), stomatopoda, and brittle stars. The seasonal variation in the diet composition of P. kaakan also noticed by Cheraghi et al. (2013). According to their finding crab and asteroid were dominant in autumn and Spring, while bony fishes were dominant in winter.

Based on the results of the present study, fishes including Carangid, mullet and sardine are the main prey items, consumed by *N. thalassina*, following by Portunidae (decapod), which constituted parts of stomachs contents of this species in all seasons. The high frequency of fishes and Crustaceans as a most abundant prey items in the Oman Sea have been notified by Alimohammadi *et al.* (2020) which is in conformity with the results of the present study.

According to different studies. monsoon period causes to decline the total biomass of zooplankton to its lowest level which has negative effects on the higher trophic level consumers and specially those feeding directly on zooplankton, because of limited diet resources (Kim et al., 2000, Gal et al., 2016). According to Soleimanirad et al. (2013)there appeared to be considerable differences in the composition of macrobenthos classes during pre and post-monsoon seasons while during monsoon, the composition of crustacean and polychaete densities were only 10%. The lack of cephalopod

as an important prey in the Summer (July-September) in the diet conposition of three fish species under study could be attributed to the negative impact of rainfall on the fish habitats as well as on the environmental variables, especially SST and dissolved oxygen, which indirectly influence the cephalopd availability and its abundance (Mohamed *et al.*, 2018).

The results of LWR of present study were compared with some of other marine ecosystem and presented in Table 5. The differences between values estimated for LWRs might be related to the condition of the species including phenotype and geographic distributions and hence their environments as several researchers have pointed out (Xu *et al.*, 2019).

Species	Ecosystem	а	b	Reference
S. tumbil	Northern part of Oman Sea	0.0087	3.05	present
	India	0.0047	3.14	(Abdulrahiman <i>et al.</i> , 2004)
	Southerne east China Sea	0.00467	3.21	(Tzeng et al., 2002)
	Oman Sea	0.063	3.10	(Barakzaii et al., 2011)
	Malaysia	0.0020	3.340	(Ahmad et al., 2003)
N. thalassina	Northern part of Oman Sea	0.0105	3.16	present
	Kuwait	0.00877	3.022	(Bawazeer, 1987)
	western Indonesia	0.00970	3.05	(Pauly, 1998)
	Oman Sea	0.00498	3.04	(Alimohammadi <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
P. kaakan	Northern part of Oman Sea	0.0035	2.78	present
	Persian Gulf	0.02070	2.90	(Eatemadi et al., 2012)
	Oman Sea	0.0106	2.98	(Saberi et al., 2017)

Table 5: Output of the LWRs of the north part of Oman Sea in comparison with other ecosystems.

In conclusion the results of the current study showed that the pre-monsoon, monsoon and post-monsoon periods have significant effects on the diet composition of fishes under study, which can be explained by changes in resource abundance, availability and diversity of prey. The results of the present study suggest that the monsoon period plays a crucial role in competitive interactions between the three species by shifting their diets as the availability of resource changes during this time.

#### Acknowledgements

The present study was carried out within the framework of the research project "The effect of fishing on the dynamics of Persian Gulf and Oman Sea ecosystems", funded by Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute (IFSRI) and Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) (award no. 93042400). The use of data is restricted, and they can only be used under the exclusive permission granted by the Iran National Science Foundation (INSF).

#### References

- Abdulrahiman, K., Harishnayak, T.,
  Zacharia, P. and Mohamed, K.,
  2004. Length-weight relationships of commercially important marine fishes and shellfishes of the southern coast of Karnataka, India, NAGA, WorldFish Center Quarterly, 27(2), 9-14.
- Ahmad, A.T., Isa, M.M., Ismail, M.S. and Yusof, S., 2003. Status of demersal fishery resources of Malaysia. Assessment, Management and Future Directions for Coastal Fisheries in Asian Countries, 83.
- Alimohammadi, M., Valinassab, T., Ramezani, E. and Eehteshamei, F.,

**2020.** Feeding ecology and trophic level estimation of Giant Catfish (*Netuma thalassina*) in the northern Omen Sea. *Journal of Animal Environment*, 11, 147-154.

- Asadi, H. and Dehghani, R., 1996. Fishes of the Persian Gulf and Oman Sea. Iran, Iranian Fisheries Science Resaech Inistitue. 97 P.
- Azhir. M.T., Hosseinv. S. and Daryanabard, **G.**. 2007. An investigation of some biological aspects of three species: tiger toothed croaker, Otolithes ruber, Javelin grunter, Pomadasys kaakan and black pomfret, Parastromateus niger in the Oman Sea for optimizing fishing season. Final report, Iran, Iranian Fisheries Science Resaech Inistitue, 218 P.
- Aziz, A., Bujang, J.S., Zakaria, M.H., Suryana, Y. and Ghaffar, M.A.,
  2006. Fish communities from seagrass bed of Merchang Lagoon, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia. *Coastal Marine Science*, 30, 268-275.
- Barakzaii, A., Valinassab, T. and Shamsaee Mehrjan, M., 2011. Feeding of *Saurida tumbil* in the Oman Sea Waters. *Journal of Renewable Natural Resources*, 2.
- Bawazeer, A., 1987. The fishery management of the stock of chim, the giant sea catfish Arius thalassinus in Kuwait waters. *Kuwait Bulletin of Marine Science*, 9, 87-100.
- Beverton, R.J. and Holt, S.J., 1993. Recruitment and egg-production. *On*

the dynamics of exploited fish populations. Springer.

- **Biswas, S. 1993.** *Manual of methods in fish biology*, South Asian Publishers.
- Chea, R., Lek, S., Ngor, P. and Grenouillet, G., 2017. Large-scale patterns of fish diversity and assemblage structure in the longest tropical river in Asia. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*, 26, 575-585. DOI:10.1111/eff.12301
- Cheraghi, M., Valinassab, T. and Hafezie, M., 2013. Evaluation of feeding indices of catfish Arius dussumieri in Oman Sea (Sistine and Baluchistan). *Iranian Scientific Fisheries Journal*, 22, 31-40.
- Eatemadi, E., Savari, A., Valinassab,
  T. and Sakhaei, N., 2012.
  Identification and effect of monsoon on family Ocypodidae in the intertidal zone in Gulf of Oman, Hormozgan Province. *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*, 11, 16-30.
- Gal, J.K., Ock, G., Park, H.K. and Shin, K.H., 2016. The effect of summer monsoon on pelagic and littoral food webs in a large regulated reservoir (Lake Paldang, Korea): A stable isotope approach. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology*, 31, 327-340.

DOI:10.1080/02705060.2015.11369 67

Hahn, N.S., Fugi, R. and Andrian,I.D.F., 2004. Trophic ecology of the fish assemblages. The Upper Paraná River and its floodplain: physical aspects, ecology and conservation.

Backhuys Publishers, Leiden. pp. 247-269.

- Heng, K., Chevalier, M., Lek, S. and Laffaille, P., 2018. Seasonal variations in diet composition, diet breadth and dietary overlap between three commercially important fish species within a flood-pulse system: The Tonle Sap Lake (Cambodia). *PloS one*, 13, e0198848.
- Hyslop, E., 1980. Stomach contents analysis—a review of methods and their application. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 17, 411-429. DOI:10.1111/j.1095-8649.1980.tb02775.x
- Jedari Attari, M., Haghshenas, S.A., Bakhtiari, A. and Nemati, M.H., 2018. Ocean Currents Modeling along the Iranian Coastline of the Oman Sea and the Northern Indian Ocean. Journal of Hydraulic Structures, 4, 36-54. DOI:10.22055/JHS.2018.24985.107 5
- Jereb, P. and Roper, C.F., 2005. Cephalopods of the World: Myopsid and Oegopsid squids, FAO.
- Junk, W.J., Bayley, P.B. and Sparks, R.E., 1989. The flood pulse concept in river-floodplain systems. *Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 106, 110-127.
- Kamali, E., Valinassab, T., Dehghani, R. and Behzadi, S., 2004. A study on the some of biological aspect of javelin grunter (Pomadasys Kaakan), tigertooth croaker (Otolithes ruber) and spotted croaker (Protonibea diacanthus) in Hormozgan waters.

Final report. Iran, Iranian fisheries Science Resaech Inistitue, 180 P.

- Kim, B., Choi, K., Kim, C., Lee, U.H. and Kim, Y.H., 2000. Effects of the summer monsoon on the distribution and loading of organic carbon in a deep reservoir, Lake Soyang, Korea. *Water Research*, 34, 3495-3504. DOI:10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00104-4
- Lizama, M. and Ambosio, A., 2002. Condition factor in nine species of fish of the Characidae family in the upper Paraná river floodplain, Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 62, 113-124. DOI:10.1590/S1519-69842002000100014
- Manojkumar, P. and Pavithran, P., 2016. Diet and feeding habits of Saurida tumbil (Bloch, 1795) from northern Kerala, south-west coast of India. Indian Journal of Fisheries, 63, 41-47.
- Mirzaei, M. R., Valinassab, T., Khalil, M. and Mirzaei, S. 2015. Reproductive cycle and spawning patterns of Lizardfish, *Saurida tumbil* (Bloch, 1795) in southern water of Iran. *International Journal* of Biosciences, 6, 110-118. DOI:10.12692/ijb/6.6.110-118
- Mohamed, **K.S.**, Sajikumar, K., N., Ambrose, Ragesh, Т., Javasankar, J., Said Kova, K. and Sasikumar, G., 2018. Relating abundance of purpleback flying sauid Sthenoteuthis oualaniensis (Cephalopoda: Ommastrephidae) to environmental parameters using GIS and GAM in south-eastern Arabian Sea. Journal of Natural History, 52,

1869-1882.

DOI:10.1080/00222933.2018.14977 21

- Pauly, D., 1998. Tropical fishes: patterns and propensities. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 53, 1-17.
- Pauly, D. and Gayanilo, F., 1996. Estimating the parameters of lengthweight relationships from lengthfrequency samples and their weights. *Baseline studies of biodiversity: the fish resource of western Indonesia. ICLARM Stud. Rev*, 23, 136.
- Piontkovski, S., Mh Al-Gheilani, H., P Jupp, B., R Al-Azri, A. and Al-Hashemi, K., 2012. Interannual changes in the Sea of Oman ecosystem. *The Open Marine Biology Journal*, 6. DOI: 10.2174/187445080120601003 8
- Saberi, M., Paighambari, S., Darvishi, M. and Farkhondeh Shilsar, G., 2017. Length–weight relationships of six fish species from the Coastal Waters of Jask, Iran. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 33, 1226-1228. DOI:10.1111/jai.13326
- Sakamoto, T. and McCormick, S.D., 2006. Prolactin and growth hormone in fish osmoregulation. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 147, 24-30.

DOI:10.1016/j.ygcen.2005.10.008

Silva, G., Costa, J.L., De Almeida, P.R. and Costa, M.J., 2006. Structure and dynamics of a benthic invertebrate community in an intertidal area of the Tagus estuary, western Portugal: a six-year data series. *Marine Biodiversity*. *Springer*, 555, 115–128

- Soleimanirad, A., Keshavarz, M., Bahremand, M., Kamrani, E. and Vazirizade, A., 2013. The effect of summer monsoon on macrobenthic's community structure in Jask creek (Gulf of Oman). *Journal of Aquatic Ecology*, 3(1), 50-39.
- Taghavi motlagh, S., 2019. EconomicFishes of the Persian Gulf and OmanSea and prediction of theirsustainable yield, Iran, Iranianfisheries Science Resaech Inistitue.600 P.
- Toutouni, M.M., Savari, A., Doustshenas, B. and Azhdari, D., 2016. The Effect of Monsoon on Energy Budget of Azumapecten ruschenbergerii (Tryon, 1869) Bivalvia in Chabahar Bay (Oman Sea). Journal of Oceanography, 7, 99-108.
- Tzeng, T.D., Lin, D.R. and Yeh, S.Y., 2002. Comparison on growth characteristics of Southern East China Sea's lizard fish (*Saurida tumbil*) between 1970's and 1990's. *Acta Oceanographica Taiwanina*, 40, 93-104.
- Vahabnezhad, A., Taghavi motlagh, S. and Shabani, J., 2013. Diet composition, Trophic level estimation and Food consumption of Saurida tumbil rate (Teleostomi/Synodontidae) in the Bushehr coastal waters. Persian Gulf. Iran. Iranian *Scientific* Fisheries Journal, 21, 139-150.

- Vahabnezhad, A., 2015. Feeding habits and trophic levels of some demersal fish species in the Persian Gulf (Bushehr Province) using Ecopath model. PhD Theses, Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch, Tehran. 220 P.
- Valinassab, T., Daryanabard, R., Dehghani, R. and Pierce, G., 2006. Abundance of demersal fish resources in the Persian Gulf and Sea. Marine Oman **Biological** Association of the United Kingdom. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 1455. 86. DOI: 10.1017/S0025315406014512
- Valinassab, T., Jalali, S., Hafezieh,
  M. and Zarshenas, G., 2011.
  Evaluation of some feeding indices of Pomadasys kaakan in the Northern Persian Gulf. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 10, 497-504.
- Xu, C., Liu, W.B., Remø, S.C., Wang, B.K., Shi, H.J., Zhang, L., Liu, J.D. and Li, X.F., 2019. Feeding restriction alleviates high carbohydrate diet-induced oxidative stress and inflammation of Megalobrama amblycephala by activating the AMPK-SIRT1 pathway. Fish Shellfish and 92, Immunology, 637-648. DOI:10.1016/j.fsi.2019.06.057
- Zar, J.H., 1999. *Biostatistical analysis*, Pearson Education, 5, illustrated, Prentice Hall, India, 944 P.