<u>Original Article</u>

Impact of the Central Histaminergic and Melanocortin Systems on Leptin-Induced Hypophagia in Neonatal Layer Chicken

Shalikar, M¹, Zendehdel, M^{2*}, Vazir, B¹, Asghari, A³

1. Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

 Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, 14155-6453, Tehran, Iran
Department of Clinical Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

> Received 13 April 2021; Accepted 22 May 2021 Corresponding Author: zendedel@ut.ac.ir

Abstract

The present study aimed to assess the probable impact of the central histaminergic and melanocortin systems on leptin-induced hypophagia in neonatal layer chickens. In experiment 1, the chickens received intracerebroventricular (ICV) injections of the control solution, 250 nmol of α-FMH, 10 µg of leptin, and α-FMH+leptin. Experimental groups 2-8 were injected the same as experiment 1. Nonetheless, the chickens in experiments 2-8 received ICV injections of 300 nmol of chlorpheniramine (H1 receptor antagonist), 82 nmol of famotidine (H₂ receptor antagonist), 300 nmol of thioperamide (H₃ receptor antagonist), 0.5 nmol of SHU9119 $(M_3/M_4$ receptors antagonist), 0.5 nmol of MCL0020 (M₄ receptor antagonist), 30 µg of astressin-B (CRF₁/ CRF₂ receptors antagonist), and 30 μ g of astressin2-B (CRF₂ receptor antagonist), instead of α -FMH, respectively. Food was provided for the birds immediately following the injection, and 30, 60, and 120 min after the injection, cumulative food intake (g) was measured. The findings pointed out that the ICV injection of leptin diminished food intake in neonatal chickens (P < 0.05). The co-administration of M_3/M_4 receptor antagonist+leptin significantly decreased the hypophagic effect of leptin (P<0.05). A significant decrease was also detected in the hypophagic effect of leptin following the co-administration of the M₄ receptor antagonist and leptin (P<0.05). Moreover, the co-injection of the antagonists of CRF₁/CRF₂ receptors and leptin significantly mitigated the hypophagic effect of leptin (P < 0.05). The co-injection of CRF₂ receptor antagonist and leptin led to a decrease in the hypophagic effect of leptin. As evidenced by the results of the current study the hypophagic effect of leptin is mediated by the receptors of H_1 , H_3 , M_3/M_4 , and CRF_1/CRF_2 in neonatal layer chicken.

Keywords: Histaminergic, Melanocortin, Leptin, Food intake, Neonatal layer chicken

1. Introduction

There exist some differences between avian and mammalian species in terms of central regulation of food intake due to the regulatory impact of neurotransmitters and their receptors, apart from the relevant nucleus (1). Leptin is known as a peptide hormone secreted from the white adipose tissue, with blood levels being correlated with body fat mass (2). This hormone can cross the blood-brain barrier (3) and exert an effect on diverse hypothalamic areas, including the amygdala, nucleus tractus solitaries, and arcuate nucleus (ARC) (4) Leptin has a role to play in the regulation of feeding and satiety so that food intake diminished after the intracerebroventricular (ICV)

injection of leptin at the doses of 2.5, 5, and 10 μ g in broiler chickens (2).

Central histaminergic neurons are located in the tuberomammillary nucleus (TMN) with axon projects branched to various brain areas (5). Brain histamine is of great importance in determining feeding behavior. Consequently, histamine administration through the ICV route reduced food intake, while food intake was elevated under the influence of chlorpheniramine, as an antagonist of H_1 receptor, and alphafluoromethylhistidine (α -FMH), as a selective inhibitor the histamine-synthesizing enzyme histidine of decarboxylase (6). Different physiological functions are mediated by the melanocortin system, namely thermoregulation, learning, and grooming, the regulation of energy balance. Among melanocortin receptors (MC1R-MC5R), MC3R and MC4R have been recognized to be crucial for central appetite regulation in the ARC, ventromedial hypothalamus, and periventricular nucleus (PVN) (7). The previously conducted studies have indicated that the ICV injection of the agonists of MC₃R/MC₄R receptors could reduce food intake in broiler chickens (8).

Some studies in the past decades assessed the central and peripheral systems responsible for the regulation of appetite in avians (9). The investigations that compared physiology pointed to some variations in the pathways of food intake regulation among mammals and avian (10). Central leptin, histamine, species and melanocortin systems were revealed have to interactions. Furthermore, previous investigations applied the histaminergic system for the leptin-induced suppression of food intake. Nonetheless, this influence is suggested to be indirect due to the lack of leptin receptor expression in the TMN (11). Some authors reported that the anorectic effect of leptin was amplified by the H_1 receptors (12).

Leptin is the link between the peripheral energy stores and proopiomelanocortin (POMC), signaling activity in the hypothalamus. It is worth noting that all mammalian hypothalamic POMC neurons do not express leptin receptors, indicating that a leptinunrelated melanocortin signaling system may also exist. The diacetyl- α -MSH is acetylated to α -MSH, as a more active melanocortin, and the latter reaction is facilitated by leptin (13). Despite the interaction among leptin, histamine, and melanocortin, there is no report concerning their possible interactions in terms of food intake regulation in birds. In light of the aforementioned issues, the present study aimed to assess the impact of the central histaminergic and melanocortin systems on leptin-induced hypophagia in neonatal layer chickens.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals

The present study was performed on 352 one-day-old layer chickens (Hy-Line), which were purchased from a local hatchery (Morghak Co., Iran). Birds were kept in stabilizing electrically-heated batteries at the temperature, relative humidity, and lighting/dark cycle of 32±1°C, 40%-50%, and 23:1, respectively (14). The subjects were kept at the mentioned conditions for 2 days as flocks, followed by random allocation and transferring to individual cages. A commercial diet was provided with 21% crude protein and 2850 kcal/kg metabolizable energy during the study (Chineh Co., Iran) (Table 1). Birds had free access to food and fresh water. The subjects were food-deprived 3 h before injections; nonetheless, they still had free access to water. The ICV injections were performed at the age of 5 days.

2.2. Experimental Medications

The administered medications were leptin, α -FMH, chlorpheniramine, famotidine (i.e., H₂ receptor antagonist), thioperamide (i.e., H₃ receptor antagonist), SHU9119 (i.e., M₃/M₄ receptors antagonist), MCL0020 (i.e., M₄ receptor antagonist), astressin-B (i.e., CRF₁/CRF₂ receptors antagonist), astressin2-B (i.e., CRF₂ receptor antagonist), and Evans blue. All the medications were bought from Sigma-Aldrich (USA) and Tocris Co. (UK) and dissolved in absolute

dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Following that, the medicines were diluted using 0.85% saline containing Evans blue at a ratio of 1/250 (0.4% DMSO). No

cytotoxic effect was observed for DMSO at this ratio (15, 16). The DMSO/saline mixture that had Evans blue was utilized for the control group.

Ingredient (%)		Nutrient analysis	
Corn	52.85	ME, kcal/g	2850
Soybean meal, 48% CP	31.57	Crude protein (%)	21
Wheat	5	Linoleic acid (%)	1.69
Gluten meal, 61% CP	2.50	Crude fiber (%)	3.55
Wheat bran	2.47	Calcium (%)	1
Di-calcium phosphate	1.92	Available phosphorus (%)	0.5
Oyster shell	1.23	Sodium (%)	0.15
Soybean oil	1.00	Potassium (%)	0.96
Mineral premix	0.25	Chlorine (%)	0.17
Vitamin premix	0.25	Choline (%)	1.30
Sodium bicarbonate	0.21	Arginine (%)	1.14
Sodium chloride	0.20	Isoleucine (%)	0.73
Acidifier	0.15	Lysine (%)	1.21
DL-Methionine	0.10	Methionine (%)	0.49
Toxin binder	0.10	Methionine + cystine (%)	0.83
L-Lysine HCl	0.05	Threonine (%)	0.70
Vitamin D ₃	0.1	Tryptophan (%)	0.20
Multi enzyme	0.05	Valine (%)	0.78

Table 1. Ingredient and nutrient analysis of experimental diet

ME: metabolizable energy, CP: crude protein, per kg of diet, the mineral supplement contains 35.2 g manganese from MnSO₄·H₂O; 22 g iron from FeSO₄·H₂O; 35.2 g zinc from ZnO; 4.4 g copper from CuSO₄·5H₂O; 0.68 g iodine from ethylene diamine dihydroiodide; 0.12 g selenium from Na₂SeO₃. The vitamin supplement contains 1.188 g of retinyl acetate, 0.033 g of dl- α -tocopheryl acetate, 8.84 g of tocopherol, 1.32 g of menadione, 0.88 g of thiamine, 2.64 g of riboflavin, 13.2 g of nicotinic acid, 4.4 g of pantothenic acid, 1.76 g of pyridoxine, 0.022 g of biotin, 0.36 g *of folic acid, 1500 mg of* choline chloride.

2.3. Intracerebroventricular Injections

The subjects were randomly assigned to nine experimental groups with four sub-groups (n=44). The birds were weighed and allocated to test groups based on their body weight (BW) since the mean BW of diverse treatment groups was the same. The ICV injections were performed once for each group by a microsyringe (Hamilton, Switzerland) without anesthesia according to the techniques of Davis, Masuoka (17) and Furuse, Matsumoto (18). In brief, the chicken head was held applying an acrylic device with the bill holder at 45°. Calvarium was in a parallel position relative to table surface as described by Van Tienhoven and Juhasz (19). Thereafter, an orifice was made in a plate over the skull of the right lateral ventricle, through which a microsyringe was inserted. The needle tip perforated 4 mm under the skull skin

(20), and the volume of all injections was 10 μ L (21).

The animals in the control group received 10 μ L of control solution (21). It is noteworthy that the mentioned method does not cause physiological stress for newly hatched chickens (22). To identify the accuracy of injection, decapitation was carried out at the end of the experiments. The accuracy of the injection site in the ventricle was confirmed based on the presence of Evans blue and the slicing of frozen brain tissues. All birds in each intervention group received injections. Nevertheless, only the data of 11 birds in each group, which had dye in their lateral ventricle were analyzed. All test procedures were performed during 8-13:30.

2.4. Feeding Experiments

In experiment 1, the birds received a control solution, 250 nmol of α -FMH, 10 µg of leptin, as well as a coinjection of α -FMH and leptin. The chickens in experiment 2 received a control solution, 300 nmol of chlorpheniramine, 10 of leptin, and μg chlorpheniramine+leptin. Injections in experiment 3 were control solution, 82 nmol of famotidine, 10 µg of leptin, in addition to famotidine+leptin. The birds in experiment 4 received a control solution, 300 nmol of thioperamide, 10 µg of leptin, and thioperamide+leptin. In experiment 5, the control solution, 0.5 nmol of SHU9119, 10 µg of leptin, and SHU9119+leptin was injected. In experiment 6, the subjects were administered a control solution, 0.5 nmol of MCL0020, 10 µg of leptin, and MCL0020+leptin. The birds in experiment 7 received ICV injections of control solution, 30 µg of astressin-B, 10 µg of leptin, and astressin-B+leptin. In experiment 8, chickens received the control solution, 30 µg of astressin2-B, 10 µg of leptin, and astressin2-B+leptin. Immediately following the injections, the birds were fed, and cumulative food intake (g) was measured 30, 60, and 120 min after the injection. Food consumption (g) was calculated as the percent of body weight (g/100g BW) to minimize the influence of body weight on food intake. The latter doses of medications were determined based on the previous investigations (2, 8, 23, 24).

2.5. Statistical Analysis

In the current study, eight experimental groups were designed. Each test group entailed four subgroups (I-IV). Only one injection was performed in each group. Cumulative food intake stated as a percent of body weight was analyzed for each intervention group using two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) by the following model:

 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_j + \beta_k + (\alpha\beta)_{jk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$, with $\varepsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma 2)$

where Y_{ijk} represents the value of individual observation for valuables, μ is the grand mean, α_j denotes the treatment effect for the time, β_k refers to the treatment effect for the medications, $(\alpha\beta)_{jk}$ denotes the effect of time×medicine interaction, and ε_{ijk} signifies an error. All the data were statistically analyzed in SPSS software (version 16) (IBM, Chicago, Il., USA). The means were compared by the Tukey test (P<0.05), and descriptive statistics are presented as mean±SEM (standard error of the mean).

3. Results

In experiment 1, hypophagia was observed after the ICV injection of 10 µg leptin, compared to the control group (P<0.05). Cumulative food intake was not affected by the injection of 250 nmol of α -FMH, in comparison with the control group (P>0.05). Moreover, the hypophagic effect of leptin significantly diminished due to the co-administration of α -FMH+leptin (P<0.05; Figure 1).



Figure 1. Effects of intracerebroventricular injection of control solution, α -FMH (250 nmol), and leptin (10 µg), as well as the co-injection of the α -FMH+leptin on cumulative food intake (gr/100gr BW) in neonatal chicks. Control: normal saline. α -FMH: alpha-Fluoromethylhistidine. Data are expressed as mean±SEM. Different letters (a and b) indicate significant differences between treatments at each time (*P*<0.05).

In experiment 2, hypophagia was detected following the ICV injection of 10 µg of leptin, in comparison with the control group (P<0.05). Chlorpheniramine injection through the ICV route (300 nmol) did not influence cumulative food intake, compared to the control group (P>0.05). The co-injection of chlorpheniramine and leptin resulted in a significant decline in the hypophagic effect of leptin (P<0.05; Figure 2).



Figure 2. Effects of intracerebroventricular injection of control solution, chlorpheniramine (300 nmol), and leptin (10 μ g), as well as the co-injection of the chlorpheniramine+leptin on cumulative food intake (gr/100gr BW) in neonatal chicks. Control: normal saline. Chlorpheniramine: H₁ receptor antagonist. Data are expressed as mean±SEM. Different letters (a and b) indicate significant differences between treatments at each time (*P*<0.05).

In experiment 3, the ICV injection of 10 µg of leptin significantly reduced food intake, in comparison with the control group (P<0.05). The ICV injection of 82 nmol famotidine did not affect cumulative food intake in this group, compared to the control group (P>0.05). Furthermore, co-injecting famotidine and leptin exerted no impact on hypophagia due to the existence of leptin in chickens (P>0.05; Figure 3).

In experiment 4, 10 µg of leptin was injected through the ICV route, causing the hypophagic effect, as compared to the control group (P<0.05). No significant alteration was observed in the cumulative food intake of this group following thioperamide injection (300 nmol) (P>0.05). However, the co-injection of thioperamide and leptin resulted in a significant reduction in the hypophagic effect of leptin (P<0.05; Figure 4).

In experiment 5, hypophagia was reported following the ICV injection of 10 μ g leptin (*P*<0.05). It was observed that the ICV injection of 0.5 nmol SHU9119 did not influence food intake, compared to the control group (*P*>0.05). The administration of SHU9119 and leptin together caused a significant reduction in the hypophagic effect of leptin (*P*<0.05; Figure 5).



Figure 3. Effects of intracerebroventricular injection of control solution, famotidine (82 nmol), and leptin (10 μ g), as well as the co-injection of the famotidine+leptin on cumulative food intake (gr/100gr BW) in neonatal chicks. Control: normal saline. Famotidine: H₂ receptor antagonist. Data are expressed as mean±SEM. Different letters (a and b) indicate significant differences between treatments at each time (*P*<0.05).







Figure 5. Effects of intracerebroventricular injection of control solution, SHU9119 (0.5 nmol), and leptin (10 μ g), as well as the co-injection of the SHU9119+leptin on cumulative food intake (gr/100gr BW) in neonatal chicks. Control: normal saline. SHU9119: M₃/M₄ receptors antagonist. Data are expressed as mean±SEM. Different letters (a, b, and c) indicate significant differences between treatments at each time (*P*<0.05).

In experiment 6, the ICV injection of leptin (10 µg) led to hypophagia, in comparison with the control group (P<0.05). The injection of 0.5 nmol MCL0020 did not affect food intake in this group, compared to the control group (P>0.05). The leptin-induced hypophagia decreased significantly after the injection of MCL0020 and leptin together (P<0.05; Figure 6).



Figure 6. Effects of intracerebroventricular injection of control solution, MCL0020 (0.5 nmol), and leptin (10 μ g), as well as the co-injection of the MCL0020+leptin on cumulative food intake (gr/100gr BW) in neonatal chicks. Control: normal saline. MCL0020: M4 receptor antagonist. Data are expressed as mean±SEM. Different letters (a and b) indicate significant differences between treatments at each time (*P*<0.05).

In experiment 7, food intake significantly diminished due to leptin ICV injection (P < 0.05). Nonetheless, food intake did not change significantly after the injection of 30 µg astressin-B (P > 0.05). The effect of leptininduced hypophagic declined significantly after the coadministration of astressin-B+leptin (P < 0.05; Figure 7).



Figure 7. Effects of intracerebroventricular injection of control solution, astressin-B (30 μ g), and leptin (10 μ g), as well as the co-injection of the astressin-B+leptin on cumulative food intake (gr/100gr BW) in neonatal chicks. Control: normal saline. Astressin-B: CRF₁/CRF₂ receptors antagonist. Data are expressed as mean±SEM. Different letters (a, b, and c) indicate significant differences between treatments at each time (*P*<0.05).

In birds in experiment 8, leptin ICV injection caused a significant decrease in their food intake, compared to the subjects in the control group (P<0.05). The injection of astressin-2B (30 µg) through the ICV route imposed no significant impact on food intake, in comparison with the control group (P>0.05). The injection of astressin-2B and leptin together significantly reduced the hypophagic effect of leptin (P<0.05; Figure 8).



Figure 8. Effects of intracerebroventricular injection of control solution, astressin2-B (CRF₂ receptor antagonist; 30 µg), and leptin (10 µg), as well as the co-injection of the astressin2-B+leptin (10 µg) on cumulative food intake (gr/100gr BW) in neonatal chicks. Control: normal saline. Astressin2-B: CRF₂ receptor antagonist. Data are expressed as mean±SEM. Control: normal saline. Different letters (a and b) indicate significant differences between treatments at each time (P < 0.05).

4. Discussion

A review of the literature revealed that the present investigation is the first study on the role of the central glutamatergic system in the hypophagic effect of melatonin in neonatal broiler chickens. As evidenced by the obtained results, the injection of leptin through the ICV route diminished food intake in neonatal chickens. Leptin, which is secreted by the adipose tissue, can pass across the blood-brain barrier and bind its receptor to the central nervous system (25). Consistent with the results of the current study, it has been reported that the ICV injection of leptin may reduce food intake in broilers and Leghorns (22). Nevertheless, in a study by Furuse, Matsumoto (18), food consumption was not affected by the ICV injection of mouse leptin in neonatal Single-comb White Leghorn chickens. The genetic factors of animals, age, and the source of leptin might contribute

to the mentioned varieties. The gene that encodes leptin in chicken can be cloned (26). Although the types of leptin produced by chickens and rodents are different, they can be highly similar with 95% identical amino acids (27).

There is a dearth of information concerning the effect of leptin on CNS in birds. Leptin in birds triggers the downstream signaling pathways through its receptor. Nonetheless, the highest rate of the expression of their mRNA in distinct species takes place in the liver or brain (28). The sensitivity of broilers to peripheral leptin is lower than that of layer chickens (10). Food consumption, basal metabolism. and energy expenditure are all higher in broilers, which could be attributed to the genetic alterations in the mechanisms responsible for controlling food intake. Broilers are believed to be less sensitive to the anorexigenic signals from peripheral tissues (10).

According to the findings of the current study, the coadministration of the antagonists of H₁ receptors and leptin reduced leptin-induced hypophagia. Moreover, the hypophagic effect of leptin declined as the result of co-injecting an antagonist of H₂ receptors with leptin. Hypothalamic neuronal histamine, along with its H₁ receptor, plays a role in the formation of the leptinsignaling pathway in the brain. They were demonstrated to influence food intake and uncoupling protein mRNA expression, leading to the regulation of body weight and adiposity in mice with diabetes (db/db) or diet-induced obesity (29).

It was observed that α -FMH had the potential to inhibit food intake decrease induced by leptin in fasting rats. Leptin reduced the histamine content of the hypothalamus. On the other hand, it augments the ratio of tele-methylhistamine and histamine, signifying that leptin has the capacity to diminish the metabolism of HA. In addition, α -FMH suppressed Corticotropinreleasing hormone (CRH) expression both at the basic condition and following induction by leptin. On the contrary, it stimulated Neuropeptide Y (NPY) expression in fasting rats. In conclusion, histamine contributes to the inhibition of food intake, which is caused by leptin. Histamine can be a mediator and directly activates and/or alters the histaminergic system metabolism. The histaminergic system might play a role permissively (30).

The findings of the present study revealed that the coadministration of M_3/M_4 receptor antagonists and leptin caused a significant decline in leptin-induced hypophagia. The co-injection of an antagonist of the M_4 receptor and leptin significantly diminished the hypophagic effect of leptin. The co-administration of the antagonists of CRF₁/CRF₂ receptors+leptin led to a decline in the hypophagic effect of leptin. The injection of CRF₂ receptor antagonist and leptin reduced the hypophagic effect imposed by leptin. It has been suggested that the MC₄ receptor is of great importance for leptin signaling in rodents (29). The results of the current study were in agreement with the latter report. This receptor is known to be among the main pathways of leptin signaling in the hypothalamus (31).

Agouti protein may be over-expressed ectopically in Ay/a obese mice, resulting in higher food intake and body weight due to the antagonistic impact of MC₄ receptor that causes notable obesity in the abdomen region and leptin-resistant diabetes (32). Although leptin injection through the ICV route diminished food intake in wild-type mice, it did not happen in MC₄ receptor knockout obese or Ay/a obese mice (29). The mentioned results demonstrated that the MC₄ receptors were involved in the regulation of leptin-induced hypophagia.

Leptin and the MC₄ receptor can severely elevate adiposity, regardless of the type of diet consumed (33). In general, it is believed that MC₄ and H₁ receptors are involved in the regulation of energy homeostasis in the brain pathways downstream from the leptin action site. Nonetheless, there is a paucity of data about the relationship between neuronal histamine H₁ and MC₄ receptors (29). Leptin has the potential to stimulate α -MSH, as well as M₃ and M₄ receptor agonists. Moreover, it can inhibit Agouti-related peptide (AgRP), in addition to M₃ and M₄ receptor antagonists (34). In line with the findings of the present research and the study by Morimoto, Yamamoto (35), it was reported that the CRH remarkably mediates the hypophagic effect of leptin (36). The blockade of histamine synthesis by α -FMH inhibits CRH gene expression, which is stimulated by leptin in the PVN, demonstrated that the influence of leptin results from interaction with the histaminergic neurons (30).

Histaminergic fibers project to diverse discrete areas, such as the PVN, in which the leptin receptors are expressed (30). Some authors have suggested that leptin might stimulate CRH directly in the PVN. Furthermore, the inhibition of CRH expression due to histaminergic system blockade bv α-FMH demonstrates that the activation of the neuronal histamine system is needed (permissive effect) for CRH stimulation by leptin (30). The findings of the current study pointed out that H₁, H₃, M₃/M₄, and CRF₁/CRF₂ receptors mediate the hypophagic effect of leptin in neonatal layer chickens. It is recommended that further studies clarify the cellular and molecular signaling pathways that underlie the interactions among leptin, melanocortin, histamine systems, and food intake in neonatal chickens.

Authors' Contribution

Study concept and design: M. S.

Acquisition of data: M. S.

Analysis and interpretation of data: M. Z.

Drafting of the manuscript: M. S.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: M. Z. and A. A.

Statistical analysis: B. V.

Administrative, technical, and material support: M. S.

Ethics

All the procedures approved by the ethics committee of the Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Grant Support

Study supported by Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to extend their gratitude to the central laboratory (Dr. Rastegar Lab.) of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, the University of Tehran for their cooperation. The present study is a part of the Ph.D. thesis of the first author.

References

- 1. Zendehdel M, Hassanpour S, Movahedi N. Central and peripheral methylamine-induced hypophagia is mediated via nitric oxide and TAAR1 in neonatal layertype chicken. Neurosci Lett. 2020;739:135408.
- 2. Adeli A, Zendehdel M, Babapour V, Panahi N. Interaction between leptin and glutamatergic system on food intake regulation in neonatal chicken: role of NMDA and AMPA receptors. Int J Neurosci. 2020;130(7):713-21.
- 3. Irving AJ, Harvey J. Leptin regulation of hippocampal synaptic function in health and disease. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2014;369(1633):20130155.
- 4. Doherty GH, Beccano-Kelly D, Yan SD, Gunn-Moore FJ, Harvey J. Leptin prevents hippocampal synaptic disruption and neuronal cell death induced by amyloid beta. Neurobiol Aging. 2013;34(1):226-37.
- 5. Rafiei M, Taati M, Alavi S, Nayebzadeh H, Zendehdel M. Effects of intracerebroventricular injection of histamine and H1, H2 receptor antagonists on electrocardiographic parameters in broiler chickens. Iran J Vet Res. 2011;12(3):192-8.
- 6. Rozov SV, Zant JC, Karlstedt K, Porkka-Heiskanen T, Panula P. Periodic properties of the histaminergic system of the mouse brain. Eur J Neurosci. 2014;39(2):218-28.
- 7. Schneeberger M, Gomis R, Claret M. Hypothalamic and brainstem neuronal circuits controlling homeostatic energy balance. J Endocrinol. 2014;220(2):T25-46.
- Ahmadi F, Zendehdel M, Babapour V, Panahi N, Hassanpour S, Khodadadi M. Modulatory function of NMDA glutamate receptor on MC3/MC4 receptors agonist-induced hypophagia in neonatal meat-type chicken. Vet Res Commun. 2017;41(4):241-8.
- 9. Zendehdel M, Khodadadi M, Vosoughi A, Mokhtarpouriani K, Baghbanzadeh A. beta2 adrenergic

receptors and leptin interplay to decrease food intake in chicken. Br Poult Sci. 2020;61(2):156-63.

- Richards MP, Proszkowiec-Weglarz M. Mechanisms regulating feed intake, energy expenditure, and body weight in poultry. Poult Sci. 2007;86(7):1478-90.
- 11. Michael NJ, Caron A, Lee CE, Castorena CM, Lee S, Zigman JM, et al. Melanocortin regulation of histaminergic neurons via perifornical lateral hypothalamic melanocortin 4 receptors. Mol Metab. 2020;35:100956.
- 12. Gloy VL, Lutz TA, Langhans W, Geary N, Hillebrand JJ. Basal plasma levels of insulin, leptin, ghrelin, and amylin do not signal adiposity in rats recovering from forced overweight. Endocrinology. 2010;151(9):4280-8.
- 13. Guo L, Munzberg H, Stuart RC, Nillni EA, Bjorbaek C. N-acetylation of hypothalamic alphamelanocyte-stimulating hormone and regulation by leptin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(32):11797-802.
- Olanrewaju H, Purswell J, Collier SD, Branton SL. Effects of Light Ingress through Ventilation Fan Apertures on Selected Blood Variables of Male Broilers. Int J Poult Sci. 2017;16:288-95.
- 15. Blevins JE, Stanley BG, Reidelberger RD. DMSO as a vehicle for central injections: tests with feeding elicited by norepinephrine injected into the paraventricular nucleus. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2002;71(1):277-82.
- 16. Qi W, Ding D, Salvi RJ. Cytotoxic effects of dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) on cochlear organotypic cultures. Hear Res. 2008;236(1-2):52-60.
- 17. Davis JL, Masuoka DT, Gerbrandt LK, Cherkin A. Autoradiographic distribution of L-proline in chicks after intracerebral injection. Physiol Behav. 1979;22(4):693-5.
- 18. Furuse M, Matsumoto M, Saito N, Sugahara K, Hasegawa S. The central corticotropin-releasing factor and glucagon-like peptide-1 in food intake of the neonatal chick. Eur J Pharmacol. 1997;339(2):211-3.
- 19. Van Tienhoven A, Juhasz LP. The chicken telencephalon, diencephalon and mesencephalon in sterotaxic coordinates. J Comp Neurol. 1962;118:185-97.
- 20. Jonaidi H, Noori Z. Neuropeptide Y-induced feeding is dependent on GABAA receptors in neonatal chicks. J Comp Physiol A Neuroethol Sens Neural Behav Physiol. 2012;198(11):827-32.
- 21. Furuse M, Ando R, Bungo T, Ao R, Shimojo M, Masuda Y. Intracerebroventricular injection of orexins does not stimulate food intake in neonatal chicks. Br Poult Sci. 1999;40(5):698-700.

- 22. Saito ES, Kaiya H, Tachibana T, Tomonaga S, Denbow DM, Kangawa K, et al. Inhibitory effect of ghrelin on food intake is mediated by the corticotropin-releasing factor system in neonatal chicks. Regul Pept. 2005;125(1-3):201-8.
- 23. Ahmadi F, Zendehdel M, Babapour V, Panahi N. CRF1/CRF2 and MC3/MC4 Receptors Affect Glutamate-Induced Food Intake in Neonatal Meat-Type Chicken. Braz J Poult Sci. 2019;21.
- 24. Zendehdel M, Lankarani Mohajer L, Hassanpour S. Central muscarinic receptor subtypes (M1 and M3) involved in carbacol-induced hypophagia in neonatal broiler chicken. Int J Neurosci. 2020;130(2):204-11.
- 25. Morton GJ, Blevins JE, Kim F, Matsen M, Figlewicz DP. The action of leptin in the ventral tegmental area to decrease food intake is dependent on Jak-2 signaling. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2009;297(1):202-10.
- 26. Lamosová D, Mácajová M, Zeman M, Mózes S, Jezová D. Effect of in ovo leptin administration on the development of Japanese quail. Physiol Res. 2003;52(2):201-9.
- 27. Hen G, Yosefi S, Ronin A, Einat P, Rosenblum CI, Denver RJ, et al. Monitoring leptin activity using the chicken leptin receptor. J Endocrinol. 2008;197(2):325-33.
- 28. Wang D, Xu C, Wang T, Li H, Li Y, Ren J, et al. Discovery and functional characterization of leptin and its receptors in Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica). Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2016;225:1-12.
- 29. Masaki T, Chiba S, Yasuda T, Tsubone T, Kakuma T, Shimomura I, et al. Peripheral, but not central, administration of adiponectin reduces visceral adiposity and upregulates the expression of uncoupling protein in agouti yellow (Ay/a) obese mice. Diabetes. 2003;52(9):2266-73.
- 30. Toftegaard CL, Knigge U, Kjær A, Warberg J. The role of hypothalamic histamine in leptin-induced suppression of short-term food intake in fasted rats. Regul Pept. 2003;111(1):83-90.
- 31. Satoh N, Ogawa Y, Katsuura G, Numata Y, Masuzaki H, Yoshimasa Y, et al. Satiety effect and sympathetic activation of leptin are mediated by hypothalamic melanocortin system. Neurosci Lett. 1998;249(2):107-10.
- 32. Halaas JL, Boozer C, Blair-West J, Fidahusein N, Denton DA, Friedman JM. Physiological response to long-

term peripheral and central leptin infusion in lean and obese mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997;94(16):8878-83.

- 33. Kenny PJ. Common cellular and molecular mechanisms in obesity and drug addiction. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2011;12(11):638-51.
- 34. Dridi S, Swennen Q, Decuypere E, Buyse J. Mode of leptin action in chicken hypothalamus. Brain Res. 2005;1047(2):214-23.
- 35. Morimoto I, Yamamoto S, Kai K, Fujihira T, Morita E, Eto S. Centrally administered murine-leptin stimulates the hypothalamus-pituitary- adrenal axis through arginine-vasopressin. Neuroendocrinology. 2000;71(6):366-74.
- 36. Uehara Y, Shimizu H, Ohtani K, Sato N, Mori M. Hypothalamic corticotropin-releasing hormone is a mediator of the anorexigenic effect of leptin. Diabetes. 1998;47(6):890-3.

1744