Research Article

In vivo study on probiotic Lactobacillus brevis in Sander lucioperca and some of their nonspecific immune parameters, intestinal morphology and survival against Aeromonas hydrophila

Faeed M.^{1*}; Kasra Kermanshahi R.²; Pourkazemi M.³; Ahmadnezhad M.¹

Received: June 2019

Accepted: January 2020

Abstract

Lactobacillus brevis was isolated from the intestinal tract of *Sander lucioperca* and its immunological parameters as well as intestinal morphology were studied. Thereafter, the fish were challenged with 4.5×10^8 CFU/g *Aeromonas hydrophila*. The fish were monitored daily and the rate of survival was recorded over a period of 7 days post-challenge. The results showed that feeding with supplemented *L. brevis* (10^8 to 10^{10} CFU/g) had significant effect (p < 0.05) on the survival rate. The serum lysozyme, alternative complement activity (ACH50) and IgM was significantly enhanced by dietary probiotics during the feeding period and post challenge compared to the control group. The serum IgM levels of dietary supplementation of *L. brevis* were significantly higher than that of the control after 8 weeks (p < 0.05). After 60 days total bacteria and probiotics in the intestinal tract in groups fed with doses of 10^8 to 10^{10} CFU/g *L. brevis* had increased ratio in compare with control sampels. The survival rates after dietary administration of *probiotics* were significantly higher than that in control in post challenge. The probiotic (10^{10} CFU g⁻¹) can be used as an effective bio-control agent and as an immune stimulant in aquaculture.

Keywords: *Lactobacillus brevis, Sander lucioperca,* Intestinal morphology, Immune parameters, *Aeromonas hydrophila*

¹⁻ Inland Waters Aquaculture Research Center, Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute (IFSRI), Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Bandar Anzali, Iran.

²⁻ Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, Alzahra University, Tehran, Iran.

³⁻ Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute (IFSRI), Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO) Tehran, Iran.

^{*}Corresponding author's Email: m_faeed@yahoo.com

388 Faeed et al., In vivo study on probiotic Lactobacillus brevis in Sander lucioperca and some of ...

Introduction

The aquaculture industry is one of the fastest growing and economically important sector of food production worldwide (FAO, 2000; Mohapatra *et al.*, 2012; FAO, 2014).

On the other hand, integrated management of aquaculture for the diseas control emphasizes on healthy fish and safe production. The indiscriminate use of antibiotics in aquaculture not only could increase the risk of bacterial resistance in fish, but also in fish consumers. Probiotics have an inhibitory effect on the growth of pathogens, as well as improving the health and survival rate of the fish (Eissa Abou-ElGheit, and 2014: Pérez-Sánchez et al., 2014; El-Saadony et al., 2021; Zorriehzahra et al., 2016). The main probiotics obtained from species like Bacillus spp., Lactobacillus Saccharomyces spp., spp., Pseudomonas spp., Micrococcus spp. (El-Saadony et al., 2021 ; Zorriehzahra et al., 2016).

Lactococcus used spp. are in aquaculture and their effects against Aeromonas hydrophila are presented in reports of in vivo and in vitro studies (Giri et al., 2011; Geng et al., 2012). Lactobacillus genus is an important lactic acid bacteria that prevent adhesion and colonization of specific fish pathogens in the gastrointestinal tract (Holzapfel and Wood, 2012). Lactic acid bacteria have different application such as an alternative method for the prevention and control of disease incidence, growth promoting factors, enhancement of immune

antioxidant response. improve conditions, digestion of enzymatic contribution, anti-carcinogenic and antimutagenic performances (Pérez-Sánchez et al., 2014; Shaheen et al., 2014; Butt et al., 2021; Low et al., 2021). One of the most important pathogens of fish is A. hydrophila (Giri et al., 2013). According to FAO reports, Sander lucioperca is susceptible to disease induced by A. hydrophila (FAO, 2018). Pike perch (Sander lucioperca) is one of the main fish species of economical relevance in the Caspian Sea. It lives in Anzali wetland and Aras dam. Only few studies have been conducted on this species (Gharibkhani et al., 2014). The aims of the present study were to examine the effect of the probiotic L. brevis on growth, intestinal morphology, and survival rate against A. hydrophila disease and on selected immunological parameters.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strain

In this research, L. brevis was isolated and identified by biochemical methods and sequencing of 16 SrRNA gene bank Accession (Gen number KR021404) from the intestinal tract of juvenile Sander lucioperca. In general, 50 µL of L. brevis suspension was inoculated into 20 mL De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) broth and maintained at 30°C for 24 h. Then the bacteria were inoculated into 500 mL fresh MRSB and incubated for 48h at 30°C under aerobic condition. Bacterium cells were harvested and supernatant of the suspension was

discarded and the precipitate was resuspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). It was compared to the half-McFarland standard and adjusted in a spectrophotometer with a wavelength of 625 nm (total bacterial gram). Incubation flora per was performed for 30h at 30°C in anaerobic condition and then transferred to 10 mL of Aeromonas hydrophila grown in trypticase soy agar at 30°C to 8 mL of Muller Hinton agar medium at 45 to 50°C, and then poured into a plate containing Lactobacillus brevis.

The plates were incubated again for 24h at 30°C, and then the diameter of *Aeromonas* growth inhibition zone was measured. Then the two dilutions that had the greatest impact on *Aeromonas hydrophila* were identified (10^8 and 10^{10} CFU/mL).

Diet preparation

The basal diet was prepared by mixing special Gammarid powder with trout pellet (Faradaneh, Iran) containing 44.8% crude protein, 22.5% crude lipid, (Table 1). 11.2% ash Proximate analysis of the diet was conducted according to the AOAC (1990) method. The basal diet was given as control and the bacterial suspension with doses (10^8) and 10^{10} CFU/g) were sprayed and mixed with basic diet by the mixer. The diet was air dried at room temperature under sterile condition for 2h. and stored at 4°C until use. The diets were prepared nearly every week.

Experimental design

Sander lucioperca specimens with average weight of 14.5g were collected from a private fish farm in Guilan province, Iran. The fish had no sign of any bacterial or parasitic diseases or viral infections. Fish specimens were acclimatized in tanks to the conditions in the wet laboratory for two weeks. The treatments with 30 fish were replicated three times. Feeding was performed using 3% of the body weight of the fish. All fish were fed with basal diet during the acclimatization period, water temperature was 21±2°C and approximately 30% of the illumination were natural, during feeding period it artificially dark. The was physicochemical factors were evaluated as, pH (7.2 to 7.8), oxygen (7.5 to 9 mg O₂ per L, ammonium (NH3) and nitrite (NO^{-2}) at 0.04-0.09 mgL⁻¹ and 0.03 - $0.07 \text{ mgL}^{-1}\text{ppm}.$

 Table 1: Dietary formulation and proximate composition of the experimental basal diet (%).

Ingredients	
Fish meal	40
Gammarid powder	20
Soybean meal	7
Maize gluten	6
Wheat gluten	5
Wheat	7
Fish oil	11
Mineral premix	2
Vitamin premix	1
Antioxidant	0.5
Anti fungi	0.5
Proximate	
composition (% dry	
matter basis)	
Crude protein	44.8
Crude lipid	22.5
Ash	11.2

Measurement of immunological parameters Serum lysozyme activity

Serum lysozyme activity was evaluated using list Gram positive bacteria (*Micrococcus lysodeikticus*) that was sensitive to lysozyme enzyme, according to Clerton's method (Clerton *et al.*, 2001).

Alternative complement pathway activity assay

ACH50 was determined as described by Yano (1992) and was calculated by using the following formula:

ACH50 (unit/mL) = $1/K \times r \times \frac{1}{2}$

K= the rate of serum giving 50% hemolysis and $\frac{1}{2}$ is the correction factor

IgM Level

The serum IgM level was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). This test uses antibodies and color change to identify a material. samples were read at 450 NM in a plate reader (Bio Tek), and mean absorbance of the negative controls were subtracted from the optical density at 450 NM, negative control was without biotin antibody (Nikoskelainen et al., 2001).

Phagocytic activity

Phagocytic activities were investigated using method described by Fujiki and Yano (1997). The first 50 ul. of leukocytes ($5x10^6$ cells) was placed on a glass slide, and allowed to adhere for 20 min at 25°C in a moisture incubation chamber. Then 50 µl. of latex beads $(10^7 \text{ beads/ml.}, \text{ Sigma-Aldrich})$ was added to the leukocytes monolayer, and incubated for 30 min. at 25°C. The percentage of phagocytes ingesting beads (Phagocytic rate, PR) and the number of ingested beads per phagocyte (Phagocytic index, PI) were evaluated by enumerating 100 phagocytes under a microscope. Phagocytic activity was remarked as the phagocytic index (PI) al., (Matsuyama et 1992). The phagocytic rate (PR) and phagocytic index (PI) were calculated as follows:

$PR = (Phagocytosis cell/Total cell) \times 100$ $PI = (Total phagocytosed beads/Phagocytosis cell) \times 100$

Total bacteria count and probiotic bacteria of intestinal tract

After 60 days of feeding with probiotic containing dosages of *L. brevis* (10^8 and 10^{10} CFU /g) to *Sander lucioperca*, from each treatment 4 fish were chosen. After scarification, abdominal sections were cut under sterile conditions and

the intestine was homogenized in saline serum (9%) and various dilutions (10⁻¹-10⁻⁹ CFU mL⁻¹) were prepared and cultured as a pure plate in Tryptone soya agar, TSA (for total bacteria counting) and MRSA (for lactic acid bacteria count) (Bagheri *et al.*, 2008).

Histological examination of intestine

To determine the effect of probiotic diets on intestine. S. lucioperca specimens from treatments were sampled for histological examination after 60 days of experimental period. They were fixed in Bouin's fluid for 24 hours, washed by 70 percent ethanol and dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol. Paraffinated blocks of fish intestine was sectioned by microtom wih 5µ sections. Each section was stained by Hematoxylin and Eosin blue, then studied under light microscope (Wittekind, 2003).

Challenge test

A. hydrophila was obtained from the European Union Reference Laboratory for fish and crustacean diseases, Denmark. Bacteria were first grown in brain, heart infusion agar (BHI), then incubated at 35°C for 18-24h. After growing the bacteria, the cells were centrifuged at 4000 RPM for 15 min at 4° C for ELISA harvesting. First 10^1 to 10⁸ dilutions (total bacterial flora per gram) of Aeromonas hydrophila were prepared separately in sterile physiological serum, then inoculated with 0.1 mL of each dilution in 20 fish and kept in aquarium for seven days. The bactericidal activity of Aeromonas hydrophila was examined according to the method of Reed and Muench (1938) 4.5×108 CFU /mL (total bacterial flora / mL).

Bacterial suspension (0.5 mL) with 100μ L PBS was injected to all treatments (10^8 and 10^{10} CFU /g) and 0.5 mL of saline serum was injected

into the control treatment. All treatments were kept under observation for 7 days. Fish were fed according to the basal diet. Then, the results were recorded as the rate of survival and mortality (Andani *et al.*, 2012).

Statistical analysis

The obtained data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance. The significant differences among treatments were analyzed at 95% confidence level (p<0.05). To test significance between pairs of treatments Duncan's multiple range test was conducted. All statistical analyzes were done using the SPSS software package version 17.

Results

Humeral immune parameters

The alternative complement activity (ACH50) and serum IgM level of dietary administered *L. brevis* at (10⁸ and 10¹⁰ CFU /g) were significantly higher than those of the control treatment over 8 weeks ($p \le 0.05$, Fig. 1). The serum lysozyme activities and the Phagocytic did not show significant difference among treatments (p>0.05). However, the ACH50 between groups fed with diet containing *L. brevis* (10⁸ and 10¹⁰ CFU /g) did not show significant difference (p>0.05, Fig. 1).

Count of gut microbiota

Total bacterial counts and the average number of probiotics in groups fed with diet supplemented with *L. brevis* at 10^8 and 10^{10} CFU g⁻¹ in the intestine of *Sander lucioperca*, were significantly

higher than those in the control treatment after feeding for 60 days. In the control treatment, s showed no

probiotic in the intestine of the fish (Table 2).



Figure 1: Alternative complement activity (A), Lysozyme activity (B), Phagocytic activity (C), and IgM levels (D) of *sander lucioperca* fed a control diet (C) and *L. brevis*-containing diets at [(A1)10⁻¹⁰, (A2)10⁻⁸] CFU g⁻¹ after 8 weeks feeding. Data means at the same sampling day with different letters were significantly different.

 Table 2: Total bacterial counts and the average number of probiotic cells (±standard error) in the intestine of Sander lucioperca during the feeding period. Columns with different letters were significantly different.

were significantly an			
Treatment	Probiotic cells	Total microflora	Ratio (%) (pro biotic/total
A1	$1.6 \times 10^{6} \pm 0.1^{a}$	2.4×10 ⁶ ±0.1 ^a	75
A2	$1.05 \times 10^5 \pm 0.3^{b}$	$2.2 \times 10^{6} \pm 0.3^{a}$	72.7
Control	_	5×10 ^{4b}	

However, two weeks after stopping the probiotic feeding, there was a reduction in the rate of probiotic and total bacterial in fish digestive track (Table 3).

Histology of the intestine

To determine the effect of probiotic diets on intestine, *S. lucioperca* specimens in each treatment were sampled for preparing histological sections after 60 days of experimental period. Samples were fixed in Bouin's fluid for 24 hours, washed by 70 percent ethanol and dehydrated through

graded series of ethanol (Kelly, 1984). Fixed fish intestine was paraffinated and then 5 μ sections were prepared by microtome. Sections were then stained by Hematoxylin and Eosin blue and studied under light microscope (Wittekind, 2003) (Fig. 2).

 Table 3: Total bacterial counts and the average number of probiotic cells (±standard error) in the intestine of Sander lucioperca two weeks after stopping the feeding. Columns with different letters were significantly different.

	cic significantly unicici	11.			
Treatment	Probiotic cells	Total microflora	Ratio (%) (pro biotic/total		
A1	1.3×10 ⁵ ±0.1 ^a	5. $4 \times 10^5 \pm 0.6^a$	24.07		
A2	$1.1 \times 10^5 \pm 0.2^a$	$4.5 \times 10^5 \pm 0.78^{b}$	24.4		
Control	-	$4.2 \times 10^4 \pm 0.8^{b}$	-		

A1=*L. brevis* (10¹⁰) CFU /g and A2 =*L. brevis* (10⁸) CFU /g were significantly different (p<0.05) among treatments.



Figure 2: Comparative histology of the epithelial layer of the villi of middle intestine of *sander lucioperca* (A). Treatments were supplemented with probiotic(10¹⁰ CFU mL⁻¹)(B) and control treatments. The layer thickness in probiotic treatment(A) is more than the control treatment(B). *Note the difference in the thickness of the lamina propria at the base of the folds.

After challenge with A. hydrophila The survival rate of Sander lucioperca challenged with A. hydrophila after 8 weeks of feeding with different doses of L. brevis (10^8 and 10^{10} CFU mL⁻¹) diets were significantly higher than that of the control (Table 4). The survival rate of *Sander lucioperca* challenged with *A. hydrophila* in A1, A2 and control treatments were 86.6%, 86.6% and 60%, respectively.

Bacterial dosage (CFU g ⁻¹ fish)	<i>L. Brevis</i> in diet (CFU g ⁻¹)	Survival rate%						
-	10 10	24	48	72	96	120	144	168
-	10^{8}	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
4.5×10^{8}	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
4.5×10^{8}	10^{10}	93.3	80	73.3	60	60	60	60
4.5×10^{8}	10^{8}	100	93.3	93.3	93.3	86.6	86.6	86.6

Table 4: Survival rate of *Sander lucioperca* challenged with *A. hydrophila* after 8 weeks feeding with different doses of *L. brevis* (0, 10⁸, 10¹⁰ CFU mL⁻¹) diets.

Discussion

Probiotic may play a different role and affect human and animals in various ways, such as being an adequate alternative to antibiotics and chemicals, making the intestinal microbial balance, stimulation, effects of non-specific defense system in the host, digestive enzyme activities, increase in disease resistance and improved growth rate (Fuller, 1989; Gatesoupe, 1999: Verschuere et al., 2000; Balcázar et al., 2006; Giri et al., 2011; Eissa and Abou-ElGheit, 2014).

The complement system is a nonspecific humoral immune response that plays such an essential role as making immune system the alert when pathogens attack the body and can directly inhibit pathogens (Panigrahi et al., 2005; Faeed et al., 2016). Balcázar et al. (2007) studied Salmo trutta fed with supplemented Lactococcus lactis and Leuconostoc mesenteroides with dosages at 10⁶ CFU /g for 14-21 days and indicated a significant increase of ACH50. Son et al. (2009) studied dietary supplemented Lactiplantibacillus plantarum at values of 10^6 , 10^8 , and 10^{10} CFU /g in grouper *Epinephelus* coioides which demonstrated enhanced ACH50 in the 10^8 CFU /g treatment and significantly

higher than that of *L. plantarum* at the dosage of 10^{10} CFU /g as compared to other treatments.

In the present study ACH50 was significantly increased in both dosages probiotic treatments. of Serum immunoglobulins constitute the main part of the humoral immune system. IgM is a basic antibody and is the most important immunoglobulin in fish (Wilson et al., 1995). Nikoskelainen et al. (2001) noted the probiotic bacteria (Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus) as a stimulus for immune globulin production. Panigrahi et al. (2004) showed that dietary supplemented L. rhamnosus JCM1136 (live or dead) enhanced serum immunoglobulin in Rainbow trout (O. mykiss) for 20 days, after this period, the immunoglobulin level reduced in all probiotic fed treatments and showed an amount similar to that of the control group. These results confirmed findings of Reves-Becerril et al. (2012) obtained from Pacific red snapper (Lutjanus peru). These results indicated as well that the immune system of fish fed with a diet containing L. brevis were different from the control group.

Lysozyme is a cationic enzyme and a defense factor against invading microorganisms in vertebrates. Its function is bactericidal and opsonin (Jollès and Jollès. 1984). Sharifuzzaman and Austin (2009) found that feeding of rainbow trout with probiotic diet caused a significant increase in lysozyme activity over 2, 4 and 6 weeks. Panigrahi et al. (2004) reported enhanced serum lysozyme activity in O. mykiss fed with the probiotic L. rhamnosus JCM1136, but Balcázar et al., (2006) did not record significant differences in Rainbow trout after 14 days of feeding with lactic acid bacteria. Giri et al. (2013) noted that Labeo rohita fed with a diet supplemented with L. plantarum VSG3 (10⁸ CFU g⁻¹) during a period of 60 days, indicated higher serum lysozyme activity level, in contrast to L. plantarum (10¹⁰ CFU g⁻¹). Kim and Austin (2006) showed that in O. mykiss fed Carnobacterium maltaromaticum containing diet (10⁷ CFU g⁻¹) showed increased lysozyme activity. Reyes-Becerril et al. (2012) recorded dietary supplementation of Latilactobacillus sakei (10^6 CFU g⁻¹) with marine silages enriched for pacific red snapper for 6 weeks in which post challenge with Aeromonas veronii showed a significant increase in the lysozyme concentration fish blood. The Function of of phagocytosis as part of the innate immune response is necessary for the keep up of the organism. The disfeatured of this activity results in bacterial and fungal infections. In the present study on the lysozyme and phagocytic activity there was no significant difference in probiotic and control groups. The results support the

findings on grouper *Epinephelus* coioides (Son et al., 2009), *Oreochromis niloticus* (Eissa and Abou-ElGheit, 2014) and *Labeo rohita* (Giri et al., 2013).

Intestinal microbiota plays an important role in the health and safety of aquatic animals. In the current study, dietary supplemented L. brevis at 10^8 and 10^{10} CFU /g over 8 weeks were able to increase the probiotic reacting bacteria in comparison with the total bacteria in the intestine of Sander lucioperca after 60 days. After feeding for 60 days the average number of probiotic bacteria in groups fed with L. brevis supplemented in 10^{10} and 10^{8} (CFU g⁻¹) in the intestine of fish were 75% and 72.7%, respectively. In the control no probiotic bacteria were found in the intestine. Two weeks after stopping feeding with L. brevis supplemented at 10⁸ and 10¹⁰ CFU g⁻¹, not only the number of probiotics but also the total bacterial number in the digestive system reduced markedly (Table 2).

Mohammadian *et al.* (2017) showed *L. plantarum* and *L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus* caused significant changes in gut microbiota in *Tor grypus.* Bagheri *et al.* (2008) reported that concentration of probiotic bacteria in the intestinal contents increased as a result of their continual use. As long as probiotic bacteria were in the fish's diet, they can be dominant in the intestinal tract. When probiotic bacteria were removed from the diet, their numbers in the gut were urgently reduced (Table 3). The intestine is a digestive and absorbing system, but few studies have been conducted in relation to feeding with probiotics and fish intestinal morphology (Mohammadian *et al.*, 2019; El-Saadony *et al.*, 2021). The studies were conducted on assessing the effect of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* (Salinas *et al.*, 2008) and lactic acid bacteria (Ringø *et al.*, 2010) on the intestinal epithelium of the salmonids.

Nakandakare *et al.* (2013) reported that the average length of the proximal, middle and intestinal distal, the total surface of the intestine in the fishes which received probiotic feed were higher than those in the fishes received control feed. An increase in the thickness of the epithelial layer of the middle intestine of tilapia juveniles, and the morphology of the intestinal microvilli improvements after using for fed probiotics were also observed.

The results showed that the intestinal villi in probiotic treatments were more folding and thicker than those in the control group and thus in interactions with the intestinal microflora, intestinal morphology, the immune system and nutrient absorption they may better participate in the health of the fishes, confirming the results obtained by Sweeteman et al. (2008). The highest survival rates were found in fish with dietary administration of L. brevis at 10^8 and 10^{10} CFU g⁻¹ (86.6%). The L. results showed that brevis supplementation provides resistance to A. hydrophila disease development by simultaneously triggering the nonspecific immune defense in *Sunder lucioperca* (Table 4).

This study supported earlier research on rainbow trout (Nikoskelainen *et al.*, 2001; Panigrahi *et al.*, 2004; Balcázar *et al.*, 2007), tilapia (Pirarat *et al.*, 2006; Aly *et al.*, 2008; Ngamkala *et al.*, 2010), African catfish (Al-Dohail *et al.*, 2009), *Labeo rohita* (Giri *et al.*, 2013) and *Sander lucioperca* (Faeed *et al.*, 2016).

Giri et al. (2013) reported that feeding of higher dosages of L. plantarum VSG3 (10¹⁰ CFU g⁻¹) did not result in highest post-challenge survival rate. better growth or immune performance. Although the reason is unclear, it may be related to the period of feeding and dosage, aquatic species and probiotic bacteria strain or isolate. Therefore, the dietary delivery of L. brevis clearly showed a positive effect performance, food on growth efficiency, non-specific immune system and survival rate of Sander lucioperca after challenge with A. hydrophila. The probiotic $(10^{10} \text{ CFU g}^{-1})$ can be used as an effective bio-control agent and as an immune stimulant in aquaculture.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute, Thanks to S. M. Bergmann from Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, Institute of Infectology, Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany for their cooperation.

References

- Al-Dohail, M.A., Hashim, R. and Aliyu-Paiko, M., 2009. Effects of probiotic, Lactobacillus the acidophilus, the growth on performance, haematology parameters and immunoglobulin concentration in African Catfish (Clarias gariepinus, Burchell 1822) fingerling. Aquaculture Research, 1642-1652. 40(14), https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02265.x.
- Aly, S.M., Ahmed, Y.A.G., Ghareeb, A.A.A. and Mohamed, M.F., 2008. Studies on *Bacillus subtilis* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, as potential probiotics, on the immune response and resistance of Tilapia nilotica (*Oreochromis niloticus*) to challenge infections. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 25(1-2), 128-136.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2008.03. 013.

- Andani, H.R.R., Tukmechi, A., Meshkini, S. and Sheikhzadeh, N., 2012. Antagonistic activity of two potential probiotic bacteria from fish intestines and investigation of their effects on growth performance and immune response in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 28(5), 728-734. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2012.01974.x.
- AOAC, 1990. Official methods of analysis. Vol. I. 15th edition.
 Association of Office of Analytical Chemist (AOAC), Arlington, USA.

- Bagheri, T., Hedayati, S.A., Yavari,
 V., Alizade, M. and Farzanfar, A.,
 2008. Growth, survival and Gut
 Microbial load of rainbow trout (Onchorhynchus mykiss) fry given
 Diet supplemented with probiotic during the two months of first feeding. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 8(1), 43-48.
- Balcázar, J.L., De Blas, I., Ruiz-Zarzuela, I., Cunningham, D., Vendrell, D. and Múzquiz, J.L., 2006. The role of probiotics in aquaculture. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 114(3-4), 173-186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2006.01.009.
- Balcázar, J.L., De Blas, I., Ruiz-Zarzuela, I., Vendrell, D., Calvo, A.C., Márquez, I. and Múzquiz, J.L., 2007. Changes in intestinal microbiota and humoral immune response following probiotic administration in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). British Journal of Nutrition, 97(3), 522-527. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007114507 432986.
- Butt UD, Lin N, Akhter N, Siddiqui T, Li S, Wu B. 2021. Overview of the latest developments in the role of probiotics, prebiotics and synbiotics in shrimp aquaculture. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 114:263-281.doi: 0.1016/j.fsi.2021.05.003.
- Clerton, P., Troutaud, D., Verlhac,
 V., Gabaudan, J. and Deschaux,
 P., 2001. Dietary vitamin E and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) phagocyte functions: effect on Gut and on head kidney

398 Faeed et al., In vivo study on probiotic Lactobacillus brevis in Sander lucioperca and some of ...

Leucocytes. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 11(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1006/fsim.2000.02 87.

- Eissa, N. and Abou-ElGheit, E., 2014. Dietary supplementation impacts of potential non-pathogenic isolates on growth performance, hematological parameters and disease resistance in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Journal of Veterinary Advances*, 4(10), 712-719. https://doi.org/10.5455/jva.20141025 045451.
- El-Saadony MT, Alagawany M, Patra AK, Kar I, Tiwari R, Dawood MAO, Dhama K, Abdel-Latif HMR. 2021. The functionality of probiotics in aquaculture: An overview. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2021 Jul 15:S1050-4648(21)00196-0. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi..07.007.
- Faeed, M., Kasra Kermanshahi, R., Pourkazemi, M., Darboee, M. and Haghighi Karsidani, S., 2016. Effect of the probiotic *Enterococcus faecium* on hematological and nonspecific immune parameters and disease resistance in Zander (*Sander Lucioperca*). Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences, 15(4),1581-1592.
- FAO, 2000. The state of world fisheries and aquaculture, 2000 (Vol. 3), Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Fisheries Department. Rome, Italy.
- **FAO, 2014.** *The state of food insecurity in the world 2014: Strengthening the enabling environment for food security and nutrition:* Food and

Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.

- FAO, 2018. Cultured aquatic species information Programme: Sander lucioperca. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Fujiki, K. and Yano, T., 1997. Effects of sodium alginate on the nonspecific defense system of common carp (*Cyprinus Carpio L*). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 7(6), 417-427. https://doi.org/10.1006/fsim.1997.00 95.
- Fuller, R., 1989. Review: Probiotics in man and animals. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 66(5), 365-378.
- Gatesoupe, F.J., 1999. Review: The use of probiotics in aquaculture. *Aquaculture*, 180(1-2), 147-165. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0044-8486(99)00187-8.
- Geng, X., Dong, X.H., Tan, B.P., Yang, Q.H., Chi, S.Y., Liu, H.Y. and Liu, X.Q., 2012. Effects of dietary probiotic on the growth performance, non-specific immunity and disease resistance of cobia, *Rachycentron canadum. Aquaculture Nutrition*, 18(1), 46-55. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2011.00875.x.
- Gharibkhani, M., Pourkazemi, M., Rezvani Gilkolai, S., Tatina, M. and Azizzadeh L., 2014. Genetic analysis of pike-perch, *Sander lucioperca* L., populations revealed by microsatellite DNA markers in Iran. *Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 12(1), 99-108.

Giri, S.S., Sukumaran, V., Sen, S.S., Vinumonia, J., Banu, B.N. and Jena, P.K., 2011. Antagonistic activity of cellular components of potential probiotic bacteria, isolated from the gut of *Labeo rohita*, against *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Probiotics* and Antimicrobial Proteins, 3(3-4), 214-222.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-011-9078-3.

- Giri, S.S., Sukumaran, V. and Oviya, М., 2013. Potential probiotic Lactobacillus VSG3 plantarum improves the growth, immunity, and resistance of disease tropical freshwater fish, Labeo rohita. Fish and Shellfish Immunology, 34(2), 660-666. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2012.12. 008.
- Holzapfel, W.H.N. and Wood, B.J., 2012. The genera of lactic acid bacteria (Book 2). Springer Science and Business Media, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany.
- Jollès, P. and Jollès, J., 1984. What's new in lysozyme research? Always a model system, today as yesterday. *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry*, 63(2), 165-189. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00285225.
- Kelly, W.R., 1984. Veterinary clinical diagnosis, 3rd edition. Bailliere, Tindall and Cox Publishers, London, UK: 440 P.
- Kim, D.H. and Austin, B., 2006. Innate immune responses in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum) induced by probiotics. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 21(5), 513-

524.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2006.0 2.007.

- Low CX, Tan LT, Ab Mutalib NS, Pusparajah P, Goh BH, Chan KG, Letchumanan V, Lee LH. 2021. Unveiling the Impact of Antibiotics and Alternative Methods for Animal Husbandry: A Review. Antibiotics (Basel). May 13;10(5):578. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics10050578.
- Matsuyama, S., Fujita, Y., Sagara, K. and Mizushima, S., 1992. Overproduction, purification and characterization of SecD and SecF, integral membrane components of the protein translocation machinery of *Escherichia coli*. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1122(1), 77-84. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-4838(92)90130-6.
- Mohammadian, T., Alishahi, M., Tabandeh, M.R., Ghorbanpoor, M. and Gharibi, D., 2017. Effect of *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* on growth performance, gut microbial flora and digestive Enzymes activities in *Tor grypus* (Karaman, 1971). *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 16(1), 296-317.
- Mohammadian, T., Alishahi, M., Tabandeh, M. R., Nejad, A. J., Karami,E., &Zarea, M .2019.
 Effects of autochthonous probiotics, isolated from Tor grypus (Karaman, 1971) intestine and *Lactobacillus casei* (PTCC 1608) on the expression of immune-related genes.Aquaculture International, 27,

239–260. https ://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-018-0320-9

- Mohapatra, S., Chakraborty, Т.. Prusty, A.K., Das, P., Paniprasad, K. and Mohanta, K.N., 2012. Use of different microbial probiotics in the diet of rohu. Labeo rohita growth, fingerlings: effects on nutrient digestibility and retention, digestive enzyme activities and intestinal microflora. Aquaculture Nutrition. 18(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2011.00866.x.
- Nakandakare, I.B., Iwashita, M.K.P., **D.C.**, Tachibana, Dias. L., Ranzani-Paiva, M.J.T. and E., Romagosa, 2013. Growth and performance intestinal Histomorphology of Nile tilapia iuveniles fed probiotics. Acta Scientiarum Animal Sciences, 35(4), 365-370.

https://doi.org/10.4025/actascianims ci.v35i4.18610.

- Ngamkala, S., Futami, K., Endo, M., Maita, M. and Katagiri, T., 2010. Immunological effects of Gucan and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG, a probiotic bacterium, on Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* intestine with oral *Aeromonas* challenges. *Fisheries Science*, 76(5), 833-840. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-010-0280-0.
- Nikoskelainen, S., Ouwehand, A.,Salminen, S. and Bylund, G., 2001.Protectionofrainbowtrout(Oncorhynchusmykiss)FurunculosisbyLactobacillus

rhamnosus. Aquaculture, 198(**3-4**), 229-236. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0044-8486(01)00593-2.

- Panigrahi, A., Kiron, V., Kobayashi, T., Puangkaew, J., Satoh, S. and Sugita, H., 2004. Immune responses in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* induced by a Potential probiotic bacteria *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* JCM 1136. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, 102(4), 379-388. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.200 4.08.006.
- Panigrahi, A., Kiron, V., Puangkaew, J., Kobayashi, T., Satoh, S. and Sugita, H., 2005. The viability of probiotic bacteria as a factor influencing the immune response in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquaculture*, 243(1-4), 241-254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture. 2004.09.032.
- Pérez-Sánchez, T., Ruiz-Zarzuela, I., de Blas, I. and Balcázar, J.L., 2014. Probiotics in aquaculture: a current assessment. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 6(3), 133-146. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12033.
- Pirarat, N., Kobayashi, T., Katagiri, T., Maita, M. and Endo, M., 2006. Protective effects and mechanisms of a probiotic bacterium Lactobacillus rhamnosus against experimental *Edwardsiella tarda* infection in tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Veterinary Immunology and *Immunopathology*, 113(3-4), 339-347.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.200 6.06.003.

Reed, L.J. and Muench, H.A., 1938. A simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoints. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 27(3), 493-497.

https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournal s.aje.a118408.

Reyes-Becerril, M., Ascencio-Valle, F., Macias, M.E., Maldonado, M., Rojas, M. and Esteban, M.Á., 2012. Effects of marine silages enriched with *Lactobacillus sakei* 5-4 on haemato-immunological and growth response in Pacific red snapper (*Lutjanus peru*) exposed to *Aeromonas veronii. Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 33(4), 984-992. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.fsi.2012.08

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2012.08. 014.

- Ringø, E., Løvmo, L., Kristiansen, Bakken, Y., Salinas, М., I.. Myklebust, R., Olsen R.E. and Mayhew, T.M., 2010. Lactic acid bacteria vs. pathogens in the gastrointestinal tract of fish: a review. Aquaculture Research. 41(4), 451-467. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02339.x.
- Salinas, I., Myklebust, R., Esteban,
 M.A., Olsen, R.E., Meseguer, J.
 and Ringø, E., 2008. In vitro studies
 of Lactobacillus delbrueckii
 subsp.Lactis in Atlantic salmon
 (Salmo salar L.) Foregut: tissue
 responses and evidence of protection
 against Aeromonas salmonicida
 subsp. Salmonicida epithelial

damage. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 128(**1-2**), 167-177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2007 .10.011.

- Shaheen, A.A., Eissa, N., Abou-ElGheit, E.N., Yao, H. and Wang, H.P., 2014. Effect of probiotic on growth performance and growthregulated genes in yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*). Global Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture Researches, 1(2), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.4325.28 03.
- Sharifuzzaman, S.M. and Austin, B., 2009. Influence of probiotic feeding duration on disease resistance and immune parameters in rainbow trout. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 27(3), 440-445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2009.06. 010.
- Son, V.M., Chang, C.C., Wu, M.C., Guu, Y.K., Chiu, C.H. and Cheng, W., 2009. Dietary administration of Lactobacillus the probiotic. plantarum, enhanced the growth, innate immune responses, and disease resistance of the grouper Epinephelus coioides. Fish and Shellfish Immunology, 26(5), 691-698.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2009.02. 018.

Sweeteman, J., Dimitroglou, A.,
Davies, S. and Torrecillas, S.,
2008. Nutrient uptake: gut morphology a key to efficient nutrition. *International AquaFeed*, 11, 27-30.

402 Faeed et al., In vivo study on probiotic Lactobacillus brevis in Sander lucioperca and some of ...

- L., Verschuere, Rombaut, **G.**, Sorgeloos, P. and Verstraete, W., 2000. Probiotic bacteria as biological agents in aquaculture. control Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, 64(4), 655-671. https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.64.4.6 55-671.2000.
- Wilson, M.R., van Ravenstein, E., Miller. N.W.. Clem, L.W.. Middleton, D.L. and Warr, G.W., 1995. cDNA Sequences and organization of IgM heavy chain genes in two Holostean fish. Developmental and Comparative Immunology, 19(2), 153-164. htps://doi.org/10.1016/0145-305X(94)00063-L.
- Wittekind, D., 2003. Traditional staining for routine diagnostic Pathology including the role of tannic acid. 1. Value and limitations of the hematoxylin-eosin stain.

BiotechnicandHistochemistry,78(5),261-270.Https://doi.org/10.1080/10520290310001633725.

- Yano, T., 1992. Assays of hemolytic complement activity. In: Techniques in fish immunology, Volume 2. Stolen, J.S., Fletcher, T.C., Anderson, D.P., Roberson, B.S. and van Muiswinkel W.B. Editors. SOS Publications, Fair Haven, New Jersey, USA: 131-141.
- Zorriehzahra MJ, Delshad ST, Adel M, Tiwari R, Karthik K, Dhama K, Lazado CC. 2016. Probiotics as beneficial microbes in aquaculture: an update on their multiple modes of action: a review. Vet Q.;36(4):228-241. doi: 10.1080/01652176.2016.1172132

10.1080/01652176.2016.1172132.