1	Oropouche Virus: The Silent Threat of a Re-emerging
2	Arbovirus
3	
4	
5	Balamurugan Shanmugaraj $1,2*$ Karthikayan Sankar ³
7	Dalamar ugan Shanmugar aj 🦷, Kar tinkeyan Sankar
8	¹ Department of Biotechnology, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education,
9	Coimbatore, 641021, Tamil Nadu, India
10	² Centre for Natural Products and Functional Foods, Karpagam Academy of Higher
11	Education, Coimbatore, 641021, Tamil Nadu, India
12	³ Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Faculty of Pharmacy, Karpagam Academy
13	of Higher Education, Coimbatore, 641021, Tamil Nadu, India
14	*Correspondence
15	Dr. Balamurugan Shanmugaraj
16	Department of Biotechnology,
17	Karpagam Academy of Higher Education,
18	Coimbatore, 641021, Tamil Nadu, India
19	Email: balasbm17@gmail.com
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Abstract

Oropouche virus is a neglected, emerging virus belongs to the *Peribunyaviridae* family that has caused significant public health concerns especially in South America. Since its discovery in 1950s, this virus has demonstrated a considerable impact on public health through its silent spread and occasional outbreaks. At least 30 major outbreaks have been reported with more than half a

million cases have been documented in many countries including Brazil, Peru, 34 Panama, Trinidad and Tobago so far. In 2024, more than 16000 confirmed cases were 35 reported including four deaths. It is endemic to Amazon and currently spreading 36 outside of its territory to other non-endemic countries and has been linked to human 37 death for the first time. This has raised the major concerns about the threat of this 38 virus to the public health. The virus is primarily transmitted through the bite of midge 39 Culicoides paraensis and possibly of certain mosquitoes. Oropouche virus fever did 40 not exhibit any specific clinical symptoms and hence it is often undiagnosed or 41 misdiagnosed as other arboviral diseases. Currently there are no vaccines or antiviral 42 treatment available; hence disease prevention mainly focused on vector control and 43 personal protection measures. Understanding the comprehensive drivers influencing 44 the emergence and spread of these diseases is vital for effective control and 45 prevention strategies. This infection is recently emerging as one of the most important 46 viral diseases in Latin America and likely to remain a considerable threat to global 47 public health in the near future. Here, an overview of Oropouche virus, clinical 48 features and its pathogenesis are presented. 49

50 Keywords: arbovirus; emerging disease; epidemiology; health threat; midge

51

52

53 **1. Context**

Arboviral diseases are a group of infections that cause significant challenges to public health globally. Arboviral diseases are mainly transmitted by vectors such as mosquitoes, ticks, and midges (1, 2). These vectors have the ability to transmit the

viruses to humans and animals resulting in life-threatening diseases such as dengue, 57 zika, chikungunya, malaria and yellow fever (3, 4). Arboviruses are distributed 58 worldwide and the arboviral infections are often mild to severe and sometimes lead to 59 death. Mosquitoes such as Anopheles, Aedes, and Culex are one of the predominant 60 vectors that transmit several arboviruses to humans (5). There are hundreds of 61 thousands of deaths were reported every year due to the arboviral diseases (6, 7). A 62 large number of human disease causing arboviruses belongs to the members of 63 Togaviridae, Flaviviridae, Bunyaviridae and Reoviridae family. These viruses are 64 predominately circulating in tropical, and subtropical regions, largely due to the 65 prevalence of high vector populations (5). Hence, there is a significant burden 66 67 particularly in the low and middle-income countries in tropics. For instance, dengue was responsible for over 6.5 million cases and >7300 fatalities worldwide in 2023 (8). 68 More number of dengue cases was reported in over 80 countries, where in Asia, the 69 highest number of cases were reported in Bangladesh (321 000), Viet Nam (369 000) 70 and Thailand (150 000) (9). Before 2015, Oropouche was the second most prevalent 71 arboviral disease after dengue in South America. Nowadays many diseases are re-72 emerging in previously unreported new regions due to the complex interplay of 73 various factors including urbanization, climate change, increased population, global 74 trade and travel (10, 11). 75

76	The arboviral infections such as Zika, Chikungunya and dengue have a major
77	impact on South America over the last decade (12). In addition to this, cases of
78	Oropouche virus have been increasing recently. Oropouche virus is one of the
79	reemerging arthropod-borne viruses responsible for Oropouche fever which is
80	characterized by severe acute febrile disease. It is one of the neglected diseases and
81	disease burden was often overlooked due to significant underreporting. The virus was
82	first identified in Trinidad and Tobago in 1955 (13) and till 2000, outbreaks were
83	reported mainly in Brazil, Panama, and Peru. In 2023, the virus was reported in new
84	places in South America which showed the high potential for the spread of virus to
85	other non-endemic countries (14). Here in this review, an overview of Oropouche
86	virus, its clinical features and pathogenesis is presented.
87	2. Epidemiology
88	Oropouche virus is a spherical, enveloped virus (80 to 120 nm in diameter)
89	belonging to Peribunyaviridae family, order bunyavirales and genus Orthobunyavirus

Oropouche fever was first reported in 1955 and subsequently the virus wasisolated from the blood of a symptomatic patient in a village Vega de Oropouche,

evade the host immune response (16-18).

(Simbu serogroup) (15). It is a negative-sense ribonucleic acid (RNA) virus, consists

of three segments such as small (S), medium (M), and large (L). The proteins encoded

by these fragments help for virus replication inside the host cells and also help to

90

91

92

93

96	Trinidad (13). Since its first identification, more than half a million human cases were
97	reported. This virus is circulating throughout much of Central and Southern America
98	and the Caribbean (19). Oropouche virus fever is the most frequent arboviral disease
99	after dengue fever (20). Although the virus was identified six decades ago, much
100	attention has been given recently due to its reemergence and outbreaks in different
101	areas of South America in 2023-2024. The geographic range of the virus is becoming
102	wider, where the virus is currently even reported in non-endemic areas (21, 22).
103	From 2023, there has been significant increase in Oropouche fever cases have
104	been reported in Brazil and surrounding countries including Bolivia, Colombia, Cuba,
105	Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Guyana and Peru. From 2015 to 2022, Brazil recorded
106	only less number of cases (261) of Oropouche fever. However, in 2023, there was a
107	significant surge, with confirmed cases reaching 831. In 2024, about 16,239
108	confirmed cases were reported in Americas region including four deaths, whereas
109	3,765 confirmed Oropouche cases were reported in 2025 (As of Feb 11, 2025) (23-26).
110	The virus has been reported first time in Cuba, Ecuador and Guyana in 2024 (27, 28).
111	The travel-associated cases have been reported in the USA, Canada, Italy, Germany
112	and Spain, all involving the travelers who had visited Brazil or Cuba (29, 30).
113	However, no local transmission has been reported in USA. Two deaths associated
114	with Oropouche virus was confirmed by Brazilian Ministry of Health in the state of
115	Bahia on July 25, 2024 and vertical transmission resulting in congenital infection,

fetal death, and microcephaly in pregnant women have been reported in Brazil in
August 2024 (31, 32). Brazil reported a case of encephalitis associated with this virus,
13 fetal deaths, three spontaneous miscarriages, and four cases of congenital
anomalies (as of October 15, 2024) (28). This has raised the serious concerns about
the threat of this virus to the public health.

121 **3. Transmission**

The virus exhibits both sylvatic and urban transmission cycles. For the sylvatic 122 cycle, the vertebrate host is sloth (Bradypus tridactylus), non-human primates and 123 birds, whereas in the urban cycle, humans are the primary host and the virus 124 transmission occurs by the bite of infected midge Culicoides paraensis (genus: 125 Culicoides, Order: Diptera, Family: Ceratopogonidae) (33, 34). The genus Culicoides 126 includes the vectors of arboviruses (>50 viruses) of human and veterinary importance 127 (35). The mosquitoes such as Cx. quinquefasciatus, Cq. venezuelensis and Ae. 128 serratus can also act as possible vectors and transmit the disease mostly in sylvatic 129 environment (36, 37). There is no evidence of human-to-human transmission reported 130 so far. During the first week of illness, the virus has been detected in serum samples 131 and not detected beyond day 5 (14). The viral RNA can be detected by real-time 132 reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and virus specific 133 neutralizing antibodies can be detected by plaque reduction neutralization tests 134

(PRNTs). The diagnostic or rapid tests based on antigens or immunoassays are notcommercially available (14, 38).

137 **4. Symptoms and Treatment**

Most of the symptoms are usually mild and self-limited, appearing four to 138 eight days after an infected bite and can last for up to seven days. The incubation 139 period is variable and typically ranges from three to ten days (39). The symptoms are 140 similar like other mosquito-borne infections (dengue, chikungunya, Zika) making it 141 difficult to distinguish between them (40, 41). In some cases, Oropouche virus fever 142 goes undiagnosed due to mild symptoms or misdiagnosed due to similar clinical 143 characteristics like other arboviral diseases (20). It presents a sudden onset of fever 144 (38-40°C), chills, headache, extreme weakness, joint pain, muscle aches, nausea, and 145 vomiting (29, 42). Other symptoms including diarrhea, bleeding, abdominal pain, 146 retro-orbital pain, photophobia, dizziness, conjunctival injection have also been 147 reported. The infection typically resolves within two to three weeks. In some cases, 148 149 severe complications including meningitis or encephalitis were reported (39). Some affected individuals reported recurrent symptoms after resolution of their initial illness 150 (29). Though fatal outcomes are rare, mortality has been reported in Brazil (22, 43). 151 Treatment is primarily supportive which includes rest, hydration, use of analgesics, 152 antipyretics to alleviate the symptoms and hospitalization might be required in case 153 the patient is experiencing severe symptoms or complications. The vaccine 154

development efforts such as chemically inactivated, DNA-vectored, live attenuated,
and protein-subunit approaches are currently in progress to control the Oropouche
infection (14, 44, 45).

158 **5. Prevention**

Arboviral diseases are challenging to manage due to their complex 159 transmission dynamics, unpredictable outbreaks and limited treatment options (46). 160 The research on vector competence studies, transmission and viral pathogenesis is 161 essential. Due to the unpredictable nature of viral diseases, it is essential for all 162 countries to be prepared for the unexpected. The local and national health authorities 163 should focus on preventing small, localized viral outbreaks from escalating into 164 epidemics or pandemics by implementing integrated surveillance systems, emergency 165 response protocols and community-based prevention strategies. As Oropouche virus is 166 spreading in new territories, the epidemiological and entomological surveillance is 167 critical to reinforce the prevention measures. Early detection and differential 168 diagnosis is essential for good patient management and to prevent the possible virus 169 transmission. Currently, there are no licensed vaccines or specific antiviral therapies 170 available to treat Oropouche virus disease. The plant system can be utilized for the 171 expression of immunogenic proteins of Oropouche virus for vaccine development 172 (47). The genetic diversity of the virus makes the vaccine development a challenging 173 174 task (48). Therefore, the vector control and personal protection strategies appear to be

the best prevention and control measures right now (20). The monitoring of vector is 175 crucial, as they play an important role in the transmission of the disease. The risk of 176 getting an infection can be reduced by reducing midge populations by controlling 177 their breeding sites around at-risk communities, minimizing the vector bites by using 178 mosquito nets, using insect repellants and insecticides (38, 49, 50). Further educating 179 at risk communities in endemic regions about the potential health threats due to midge 180 bites along with personal protection options can also significantly prevent the 181 Oropouche virus. 182

183 6. Conclusion

Oropouche virus is a neglected arbovirus that has recently become a major 184 public health threat causing major outbreaks in South America. Like other arboviral 185 diseases, Oropouche virus fever was considered as a neglected disease for the last six 186 decades. Now, this virus is reemerging and becomes one of the most important viral 187 diseases in Latin America and likely to remain a considerable threat to global public 188 189 health in the future. Hence, further research is essential to assess the disease burden and there is urgency to develop effective vaccines in order to effectively respond to 190 future outbreaks. 191

192 Author contributions

Conceptualization - BS., literature review - BS, KS., writing—original draft
preparation BS., writing—review and editing - BS, KS.

195 Acknowledgements

196		The authors are thankful to Karpagam Academy of Higher Education and
197	DST-]	FIST (SR/FST/LS-I/2018/187 dt 20.12.2018) for the support.
198	Ethic	s approval and consent to participate
199		Not applicable.
200	Confl	ict of Interest
201		The authors declare no conflict of interests.
202	Fund	ing
203		This research received no external funding. This study received no specific
204	grant	from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
205	Avail	ability of data and material
206		The data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new datasets were
207	genera	ated or analyzed during the current study.
208 209	Refer	ences
210	1.	Wilder-Smith A, Gubler DJ, Weaver SC, Monath TP, Heymann DL, Scott
211		TW. Epidemic arboviral diseases: Priorities for research and public
212		health.Lancet Infect Dis. 2017 Mar 1;17(3):e101-6.doi:
213		http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S1473-3099(16)30518-7
214	2.	Kampen H, Werner D. Biting midges (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) as vectors of
215		viruses. Microorganisms. 2023 Nov 4;11(11):2706.
216		doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11112706
217	3.	Paixão ES, Teixeira MG, Rodrigues LC. Zika, chikungunya and dengue: The
218		causes and threats of new and re-emerging arboviral diseases. BMJ global
219		health. 2018 Jan 1;3(Suppl 1):e000530. doi: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-
220		2017-000530
221	4.	Murugan SB, Sathishkumar R. Chikungunya infection: A potential re-
222		emerging global threat. Asian Pac J Trop Med. 2016 Oct 1;9(10):933-7.doi:
223		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtm.2016.07.020

224	5.	Liang G, Gao X, Gould EA. Factors responsible for the emergence of
225		Arboviruses; Strategies, challenges and limitations for their control.Emerg
226		Microbes Infect. 2015 Jan 1;4(1):1-5. doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/emi.2015.18
227	6.	Tajudeen YA, Oladunjoye IO, Mustapha MO, Mustapha ST, Ajide-
228		Bamigboye NT. Tackling the global health threat of Arboviruses: An appraisal
229		of the three holistic approaches to health. Health PromotPerspect. 2021 Dec
230		19;11(4):371. doi: 10.34172/hpp.2021.48
231	7.	Manouana GP, Sarah-Matio EM, Hellhammer F, Zahouli JZ, Tapé AS, Biré
232		YN et al. Ecology of arboviruses and their potential mosquito vectors in
233		Benin, Côte d'Ivoire and Gabon: A mini review. Front Trop Dis. 2024 Mar
234		6;5:1355778. doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/fitd.2024.1355778.
235	8.	Haider N, Hasan MN, Onyango J, Asaduzzaman M. Global landmark: 2023
236		marks the worst year for dengue cases with millions infected and thousands of
237		deaths reported. IJID regions. 2024 Dec 1;13:100459. doi:
238		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijregi.2024.100459
239	9.	WHO. Dengue and severe dengue 2024 [cited 2024 24 October]. Available
240		from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dengue-and-severe-
241		dengue
242	10	. Shanmugaraj B. Mpox global health crisis: Implications and actions. Asian
243		Pac J Trop Med. 2025 Jan 1;18(1):1-2. doi: 10.4103/apjtm.apjtm_527_24
244	11	. Shanmugaraj B. A call for proactive public health preparedness against the
245		Zika virus in India. Microbes and Infectious Diseases. 2024 Oct 3. doi:
246		10.21608/mid.2024.310776.2143
247	12	Santos LL, de Aquino EC, Fernandes SM, Ternes YM, Feres VC. Dengue,
248		chikungunya, and Zika virus infections in Latin America and the Caribbean: A
249		systematic review. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2023 Mar 3;47:e34. doi:
250		10.26633/RPSP.2023.34
251	13	Anderson CR, Spence L, Downs WG, Aitken TH. Oropouche virus: A new
252		human disease agent from Trinidad, West Indies. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1961
253		Jul;10:574-8.doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.1961.10.574
254	14	. CDC. Clinical overview of Oropouchevirus disease 2024 [cited 2024 24

254 14. CDC. Chincal overview of Oropouchevirus disease 2024 [cited 2024 24 255 October]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/oropouche/hcp/clinical-

- overview/index.html#:~:text=Supportive%20care%20is%20recommended%2
 0for,close%20observation%20and%20supportive%20treatment.
 15. Hughes HR, Adkins S, Alkhovskiy S, Beer M, Blair C, Calisher CH et al.
- 259ICTV virus taxonomy profile: Peribunyaviridae.J Gen Virol. 2020260Jan;101(1):1-2. doi: https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.001365
- 261 16. Elliott RM. Orthobunyaviruses: Recent genetic and structural insights. Nat
 262 Rev Microbiol. 2014 Oct;12(10):673-85. doi:
 263 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3332
- 17. Files MA, Hansen CA, Herrera VC, Schindewolf C, Barrett AD, Beasley DW
 et al. Baseline mapping of Oropouche virology, epidemiology, therapeutics,
 and vaccine research and development. npj Vaccines. 2022 Mar 17;7(1):38.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-022-00456-2
- 18. Ladner JT, Savji N, Lofts L, Travassos da Rosa A, Wiley MR, Gestole MC et
 al. Genomic and phylogenetic characterization of viruses included in the
 Manzanilla and Oropouche species complexes of the genus Orthobunyavirus,
 family Bunyaviridae. J Gen Virol. 2014 May;95(5):1055-66. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.061309-0
- 273 19. Gutierrez B, Wise EL, Pullan ST, Logue CH, Bowden TA, Escalera-Zamudio
 274 M et al. Evolutionary dynamics of Oropouche virus in South America.J Virol.
 275 2020 Feb 14;94(5):10-128. doi: https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.01127-19
- 276 20. Sakkas H, Bozidis P, Franks A, Papadopoulou C. Oropouche fever: A review.
 277 Viruses. 2018 Apr;10(4):175. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/v10040175
- 278 21. Riccò M, Corrado S, Bottazzoli M, Marchesi F, Gili R, Bianchi FP et al. (Re-)
 279 Emergence of Oropouche virus (OROV) infections: Systematic review and
 280 meta-analysis of observational studies. Viruses. 2024 Sep 22;16(9):1498.
 281 doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/v16091498
- 282 22. Bandeira AC, Pereira FM, Leal A, Santos SP, Barbosa AC, Souza MS et al.
 283 Fatal Oropouche virus infections in nonendemic region, Brazil, 2024.
 284 Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2024 Nov;30(11):2370. doi: 10.3201/eid3011.241132
- 286 23. Diseases TL. Oropouche fever, the mysterious threat.Lancet Infect Dis. 2024
 287 Aug 8:S1473-3099. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(24)00516-4

- 288 24. Martins-Filho PR, Carvalho TA, Dos Santos CA. Oropouche fever: Reports of
 289 vertical transmission and deaths in Brazil.Lancet Infect Dis. 2024 Nov
 290 1;24(11):e662-3. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(24)00557-7
- 25. Scachetti GC, Forato J, Claro IM, Hua X, Salgado BB, Vieira A et al. Reemergence of Oropouche virus between 2023 and 2024 in Brazil: An
 observational epidemiological study.Lancet Infect Dis. 2025 Feb 1;25(2):16675. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(24)00619-4
- 295 26. World Health Organization W. Epidemiological update Oropouche in the
 296 Americas region 2025 [updated February 11, 2025; cited 2025 March 28].
 297 Available from: https://www.paho.org/sites/default/files/2025-02/2025-feb-10298 epi-update-oropoucheeng-final_0.pdf.
- 299 27. WHO. Oropouche virus disease Region of the Americas 2024 [cited 2024 24
 300 October]. Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak 301 news/item/2024-DON530.
- 302 28. Organization PAH. Epidemiological update Oropouche in the Americas region
 303 15 October 2024 2024 [cited 2024 October 25]. Available from:
 304 https://www.paho.org/en/documents/epidemiological-update-oropouche 305 americas-region-15-october-2024.
- 306 29. Morrison A, White JL, Hughes HR, Guagliardo SAJ, Velez JO, Fitzpatrick
 307 KA et al. Oropouche Virus disease among U.S. Travelers United States,
 308 2024.MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2024;73(35):769-73. doi:
 309 10.15585/mmwr.mm7335e1.
- 30. Portillo MT, Marwah A, Kraemer MU, Thomas-Bachli A, Khan K, Bogoch II.
 Potential for international spread of Oropouche virus via commercial air travel.
 J Travel Med. 2024 Dec;31(8):taae128. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taae128
- 31. Schwartz DA, Dashraath P, Baud D. Oropouche virus (OROV) in pregnancy:
 An emerging cause of placental and fetal infection associated with stillbirth
 and microcephaly following vertical transmission. Viruses. 2024 Sep
 9;16(9):1435. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/v16091435
- 318 32. Lenharo M. Mysterious Oropouche virus is spreading: what you should know.
 319 Nature. 2024 Aug 26. doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-024-02746-2
- 320 33. Sick F, Beer M, Kampen H, Wernike K. Culicoides biting midges—
 underestimated vectors for Arboviruses of public health and veterinary

322	importance. Viruses. 2019 Apr 24;11(4):376.
323	doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/v11040376
324	34. Pinheiro FP, Travassos da Rosa AP, Travassos da Rosa JF, Ishak R, Freitas
325	RB, Gomes ML et al. Oropouche virus. I. A review of clinical,
326	epidemiological, and ecological findings. Am J Trop Med
327	Hyg.1981;30(1):149-60.
328	35. Mellor PS, Boorman J, Baylis M. Culicoides biting midges: Their role as
329	arbovirus vectors. Annu Rev Entomol. 2000 Jan;45(1):307-40. doi:
330	https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.45.1.307
331	36. CDC. Oropouche: Causes and how it spreads 2024 [cited 2024 24 October].
332	Available from:
333	https://www.cdc.gov/oropouche/causes/index.html#:~:text=Some%20types%2
334	0of%20mosquitoes%20can,Louis%20encephalitis%20viruses
335	37. de Mendonça SF, Rocha MN, Ferreira FV, Leite TH, Amadou SC, Sucupira
336	PH et al. Evaluation of Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus, and Culex
337	quinquefasciatus mosquitoes competence to Oropouche virus infection.
338	Viruses. 2021 Apr 25;13(5):755. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/v13050755
339	38. WHO. Oropouche virus disease 2024 [cited 2024 24 October]. Available from:
340	https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/oropouche-virus-disease.
341	39. Da Rosa JF, De Souza WM, de Paula Pinheiro F, Figueiredo ML, Cardoso JF,
342	Acrani GO et al. Oropouche virus: Clinical, epidemiological, and molecular
343	aspects of a neglected orthobunyavirus. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2017 May
344	3;96(5):1019. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.16-0672
345	40. Eckerle I, Briciu VT, Ergönül Ö, Lupşe M, Papa A, Radulescu A et al.
346	Emerging souvenirs-clinical presentation of the returning traveller with
347	imported arbovirus infections in Europe.Clin Microbiol Infect. 2018 Mar
348	1;24(3):240-5. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2018.01.007
349	41. Durango-Chavez HV, Toro-Huamanchumo CJ, Silva-Caso W, Martins-Luna J,
350	Aguilar-Luis MA, del Valle-Mendoza J et al. Oropouche virus infection in
351	patients with acute febrile syndrome: Is a predictive model based solely on
352	signs and symptoms useful?. PLoS One. 2022 Jul 26;17(7):e0270294. doi:
353	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270294.

- 42. Mourão MP, Bastos MS, Gimaque JB, Mota BR, Souza GS, Grimmer GH et
 al. Oropouche fever outbreak, Manaus, Brazil, 2007–2008. Emerging
 infectious diseases. 2009 Dec;15(12):2063. doi: 10.3201/eid1512.090917
- 357 43. Sah R, Srivastava S, Mehta R, Khan SR, Kumar S, Satpathy P et al.
 358 Oropouche fever fatalities and vertical transmission in South America:
 359 Implications of a potential new mode of transmission. Lancet Reg Health
 360 Am.2024 Oct 1;38. doi: 10.1016/j.lana.2024.100896
- 44. Wesselmann KM, Postigo-Hidalgo I, Pezzi L, de Oliveira-Filho EF, Fischer C,
 de Lamballerie X et al. Emergence of Oropouche fever in Latin America: A
 narrative review.Lancet Infect Dis. 2024 Jul 1;24(7):e439-52.
 doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(23)00740-5
- 365 45. Porwal S, Malviya R, Sridhar SB, Shareef J, Wadhwa T. Mysterious
 366 Oropouche virus: Transmission, symptoms, and control. Infect Med. 2025 Mar
 367 17:100177. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imj.2025.100177
- 368 46. Conway MJ, Colpitts TM, Fikrig E. Role of the vector in Arbovirus
 369 transmission.Annu Rev Virol.2014 Sep 29;1(1):71-88. doi:
 370 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-031413-085513
- 47. Shanmugaraj B, Loganathan N, Chandra HM. Plant system as a versatile and
 robust platform for the development of vaccines against arboviral infections.
 Vacunas (English Edition). 2024 Oct 1;25(4):492-501. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacune.2024.10.010
- 48. Zhang Y, Liu X, Wu Z, Feng S, Lu K, Zhu W et al. Oropouche virus: A
 neglected global arboviral threat. Virus Res. 2024 Mar 1;341:199318. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2024.199318
- 49. CDC. Preventing Oropouche 2024 [cited 2024 25 October]. Available from:
 https://www.cdc.gov/oropouche/prevention/index.html#:~:text=Prevention%2
 0tips&text=How%20It%20Spreads-
- 381 ,There%20are%20no%20vaccines%20to%20prevent%20or%20medicines%20
 382 to%20treat,Central%20America%2C%20and%20the%20Caribbean.
- 50. Douglas KO. The silent invaders: Oropouche and Melao viruses, causes of
 increased public health risks for the Americas. Infect Dis (Lond). 2024 Nov
 1;56(11):1009-14. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/23744235.2024.2403712
- 386
- 387